# **Employment Discrimination Law**

by Robert B. Fitzpatrick 1666 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Suite 230 Washington, D.C. 20009 rfitzpatrick@robertbfitzpatrick.com

## DISCLAIMER OF ALL LIABILITY AND RESPONSIBILITY

- THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS BASED UPON SOURCES BELIEVED TO BE ACCURATE AND RELIABLE INCLUDING SECONDARY SOURCES. DILIGENT EFFORT WAS MADE TO ENSURE THE ACCURACY OF THESE MATERIALS, BUT THE AUTHOR ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY READER'S RELIANCE ON THEM AND ENCOURAGES READERS TO VERIFY ALL ITEMS BY REVIEWING PRIMARY SOURCES WHERE APPROPRIATE AND BY USING TRADITIONAL LEGAL RESEARCH TECHNIQUES TO ENSURE THAT THE INFORMATION HAS NOT BEEN AFFECTED OR CHANGED BY RECENT DEVELOPMENTS. THIS PAPER MAY CONTAIN LINKS OR REFERENCES TO OTHER THIRD-PARTY RESOURCES. SUCH LINKS OR REFERENCES ARE FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE READER. THE AUTHOR DOES NOT RECOMMEND OR ENDORSE THE CONTENTS OF THESE RESOURCES.
- READERS OF THIS PAPER SHOULD CONTACT AN ATTORNEY TO OBTAIN ADVICE WITH RESPECT TO ANY PARTICULAR LEGAL MATTER. NO READER OF THIS PAPER SHOULD ACT OR REFRAIN FROM ACTING ON THE BASIS OF INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS PAPER WITHOUT FIRST SEEKING LEGAL ADVICE FROM COUNSEL IN THE RELEVANT JURISDICTION. ONLY YOUR INDIVIDUAL ATTORNEY CAN PROVIDE ASSURANCES THAT ANY PARTICULAR RULE, INFORMATION, OR INTERPRETATION OF THE LAW MAY BE APPLICABLE TO YOUR PARTICULAR SITUATION.
- THIS PAPER IS PRESENTED AS AN INFORMATIONAL SOURCE ONLY. IT IS INTENDED TO ASSIST READERS AS A LEARNING AID; IT DOES NOT CONSTITUTE LEGAL, ACCOUNTING, OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVICE. IT IS NOT WRITTEN (NOR IS IT INTENDED TO BE USED) FOR PURPOSES OF ASSISTING CLIENTS, NOR TO PROMOTE, MARKET, OR RECOMMEND ANY TRANSACTION OR MATTER ADDRESSED; AND, GIVEN THE PURPOSE OF THE PAPER, IT MAY OMIT DISCUSSION OF EXCEPTIONS, QUALIFICATIONS, OR OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION THAT MAY AFFECT ITS UTILITY IN ANY LEGAL SITUATION. THIS PAPER DOES NOT CREATE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE AUTHOR AND ANY READER. DUE TO THE RAPIDLY CHANGING NATURE OF THE LAW, INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS PAPER MAY BECOME OUTDATED. IN NO EVENT WILL THE AUTHOR BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR OTHER DAMAGES RESULTING FROM AND/OR RELATED TO THE USE OF THESE MATERIALS.

### Robert B. Fitzpatrick

Robert Brian Fitzpatrick is the principal in the boutique law firm of Robert B. Fitzpatrick PLLC in Washington, D.C., which represents clients in employment law matters in the federal and state courts of the District of Columbia, Maryland and Virginia. Mr. Fitzpatrick has concentrated his practice in employment law disputes for nearly fifty years. He has been a member of the D.C. Bar since 1968. He is the father of three, and grandfather of three.



## Title VII Of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

- □ 42 U.S.C. § 2000e- et seq.
- https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/ 2000e
- Prohibits discrimination in employment based on race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.
- Whalen, Charles & Whalen, Barbara, The Longest Debate: A Legislative History of the 1964 Civil Rights Act (1989)
  - https://www.amazon.com/Longest-Debate-Legislati ve-History-Rights/dp/093202033X

#### **Disparate Treatment**

- 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2 (<a href="https://www.eeoc.gov/laws/statutes/titlevii.cfm">https://www.eeoc.gov/laws/statutes/titlevii.cfm</a>)
- (a) Employer practices
  - It shall be an unlawful employment practice for an employer -
  - (1) to fail or refuse to hire or to discharge any individual, or otherwise to discriminate against any individual with respect to his compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, because of such individual's race, color, religion, sex, or national origin; or
  - (2) to limit, segregate, or classify his employees or applicants for employment in any way which would deprive or tend to deprive any individual of employment opportunities or otherwise adversely affect his status as an employee, because of such individual's race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.
- McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Geen, 411 U.S. 792 (1973)
- https://scholar.google.com/scholar\_case?case=401188222 8792863251&hl=en&as\_sdt=6&as\_vis=1&oi=scholarr

#### **Disparate Impact**

- 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2 (<a href="https://www.eeoc.gov/laws/statutes/titlevii.cfm">https://www.eeoc.gov/laws/statutes/titlevii.cfm</a>)
- (1) (A) An unlawful employment practice based on disparate impact is established under this subchapter only if-
  - (i) a complaining party demonstrates that a respondent uses a particular employment practice that causes a disparate impact on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin and the respondent fails to demonstrate that the challenged practice is job related for the position in question and consistent with business necessity; or
  - (ii) the complaining party makes the demonstration described in subparagraph (C) with respect to an alternative employment practice and the respondent refuses to adopt such alternative employment practice.
- Griggs v. Duke Power Co., 401 U.S. 424 (1971)
- https://scholar.google.com/scholar\_case?case=86555986742291 96978&hl=en&as\_sdt=6&as\_vis=1&oi=scholarr

#### Relief

#### Make-Whole

- "To effectuate this "make whole" objective, Congress in § 706 (g) vested broad equitable discretion in the federal courts to "order such affirmative action as may be appropriate, which may include, but is not limited to, reinstatement or hiring of employees, with or without back pay . . . , or any other equitable relief as the court deems appropriate." The legislative history supporting the 1972 amendments... is emphatic confirmation that federal courts are empowered to fashion such relief as the particular circumstances of a case may require to effect restitution, making whole insofar as possible the victims of racial discrimination in hiring."
- Franks v. Bowman Transp. Co., 424 U.S. 747 (1976) (internal quotations and citations omitted).
- https://scholar.google.com/scholar\_case?case=6949439624
   756717709&hl=en&as\_sdt=6&as\_vis=1&oi=scholarr

#### Relief

- Caps on Damages
  - Civil Rights Act of 1991 (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 1981a(b)(3))
  - https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/1981a
- Caps apply to the "sum of punitive and compensatory damages".
- The caps are based on the size of the employer:
  - 15 to 100 Employees \$50,000.00
  - 101 to 200 Employees \$100,000.00
  - 201 to 500 Employees \$200,000.00
  - 501+ Employees \$300,000.00

## The Americans With Disabilities Act

- 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.
  - https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/1210
     1
- Bragdon v. Abbott, 424 U.S. 624 (1998) (Court held that HIV infection qualifies as a disability under the ADA)
  - https://scholar.google.com/scholar\_case?case=112 95524924367699420&hl=en&as\_sdt=6&as\_vis=1&o i=scholarr

### **The Americans With Disabilities Act Amendments** Act P.L. 110-325 (S. 3406)

- https://www.eeoc.gov/laws/statutes/adaaa.cfm
- Codified at 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.
- Overturns Sutton v. United Airlines, Inc., 527 U.S. 471 (1999)
  - https://scholar.google.com/scholar\_case?case=183897 76619126544360&hl=en&as\_sdt=6&as\_vis=1&oi=schola
- Summers v. Altarum Inst., Corp., 740 F.3d 325 (4th Cir. 2014)
  - https://scholar.google.com/scholar\_case?case=795672 5969330798863&hl=en&as\_sdt=6&as\_vis=1&oi=scholar

#### Age Discrimination in Employment Act

- 29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq.
  - Prohibits age discrimination in employment against individuals over 40 years of age.
  - https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/29/chapter-1
     4
- O'Connor v. Consolidated Coin Caterers, 517 U.S. 308 (1996) (ADEA liability does not require comparisons outside the protected class (e.g. you can compare a 50 year old and a 60 year old)).
  - https://scholar.google.com/scholar\_case?case=700262
     0990625859659&hl=en&as\_sdt=6&as\_vis=1&oi=scholar
     r

#### **ADEA - Disparate Treatment**

- 29 U.S.C. § 623
- https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/29/623
  - (a) EMPLOYER PRACTICES It shall be unlawful for an employer—(1) to fail or refuse to hire or to discharge any individual or otherwise discriminate against any individual with respect to his compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, because of such individual's age;
  - (2) to limit, segregate, or classify his employees in any way which would deprive or tend to deprive any individual of employment opportunities or otherwise adversely affect his status as an employee, because of such individual's age; or
  - (3) to reduce the wage rate of any employee in order to comply with this chapter.
- Gross v. FBL Financial Servs., Inc., 129 S. Ct. 2343 (2009) (but-for causation)
- https://scholar.google.com/scholar\_case?case=111618612 74984420877&hl=en&as\_sdt=6&as\_vis=1&oi=scholarr

#### **ADEA - Disparate Impact**

- 29 U.S.C. § § 623, 631
- <u>https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/29/chapter-14</u>
- Smith v. City of Jackson, 544 U.S. 228 (2005)
  - https://scholar.google.com/scholar\_case?case=974940 1509062904417&hl=en&as\_sdt=6&as\_vis=1&oi=scholar r
- Meacham v. Knolls Atomic Power Lab., 554 U.S. 84 (2008).
  - https://scholar.google.com/scholar\_case?case=176054 3897621539034&hl=en&as\_sdt=6&as\_vis=1&oi=scholar r

#### Retaliation

- 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3
- https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/ 2000e-3
- Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Ry. Corp. v. White, 548 U.S. 53 (2006)
- <u>https://www.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/05-2</u>
  <u>59.ZS.html</u>

### Civil Rights Act of 1866

- 42 U.S.C. § 1981
  - https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/1981
- (a) STATEMENT OF EQUAL RIGHTS All persons within the jurisdiction of the United States shall have the same right in every State and Territory to make and enforce contracts, to sue, be parties, give evidence, and to the full and equal benefit of all laws and proceedings for the security of persons and property as is enjoyed by white citizens, and shall be subject to like punishment, pains, penalties, taxes, licenses, and exactions of every kind, and to no other.
- (b) "Make and enforce contracts" defined For purposes of this section, the term "make and enforce contracts" includes the making, performance, modification, and termination of contracts, and the enjoyment of all benefits, privileges, terms, and conditions of the contractual relationship.
- **(c) PROTECTION AGAINST IMPAIRMENT** The rights protected by this section are protected against impairment by nongovernmental discrimination and impairment under color of State law.
- Runyon v. McCrary, 427 U.S. 160 (1976) (§1981 applies to private conduct)
  - https://scholar.google.com/scholar\_case?case=14335650974953296246&hl=en&as\_sdt=6&as\_vis=1&oi=scholarr

## Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978

- Amends Title VII to prohibit sex discrimination on the basis of pregnancy
  - 78 Stat. 265, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-12
  - https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/2000e-12
- General Electric Co. v. Gilbert, 429 U.S. 125 (1976)
  - (Held that a health insurance plan for employees that excluded coverage of pregnancy did not constitute sex discrimination)
  - https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/429/125/
- AT&T Corp. v. Hulteen, 556 U.S. 701 (2009)
  - (Maternity leave taken before passage of the PPA cannot be considered in calculating pension benefits)
  - https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/556/701/

#### **Equal Pay Act**

- 29 U.S.C. § 206(d)
- https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/29/ 206
- Corning Glass Works v. Brennan, 417 U.S. 188 (1974)
- https://scholar.google.com/scholar\_case?cas e=7542299068311812851&hl=en&as\_sdt=6& as\_vis=1&oi=scholarr

### Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act

- Amends Title VII of the Civil Rights Act to overturn Supreme Court decision in *Ledbetter* v. Goodyear, below.
  - Pub. L. 111-2
  - https://www.law.cornell.edu/topn/lilly\_ledbetter\_fai r\_pay\_act\_of\_2009
- Ledbetter v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., 550U.S. 618 (2007).
  - <u>https://www.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/05-1074.Z</u>
     <u>S.html</u>