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## Overview

- Definition of a bilevel problem and its general form
— Optimality (KKT-type) conditions
- Reformulation of a general bilevel problem
- Iterative (descent direction) methods
- Numerical results


## Southampton

## Stackelberg Game (Bilevel problem)

- Players: the Leader and the Follower
- The Leader is first to make a decision
- Follower reacts optimally to Leader's decision
- The payoff for the Leader depends on the follower's reaction
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## Example

- Taxation of a factory
- Leader - government
- Objectives: maximize profit and minimize pollution
- Follower - factory owner
- Objectives: maximize profit
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## General structure of a Bilevel problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{x \in X} F(x, y) \\
& \text { subject to } G(x, y) \leq 0 \\
& H(x, y)=0 \\
& \min _{y \in Y} f(x, y) \\
& \text { subject to } g(x, y) \leq 0 \\
& h(x, y)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Important Sets
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## $\min _{x \in X} F(x, y)$

subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(x, y)=0 \\
& \min _{y \in Y} f(x, y) \\
& \text { subject to } g(x, y) \leq 0 \\
& \quad h(x, y)=0
\end{aligned}
$$
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## General linear Bilevel problem

$F(x, y)$
ject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$
$H(x, y)=0$
$\min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)$
subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
h(x, y)=0
$$
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## Solution methods

-Vertex enumeration in the context of Simplex method
-Kuhn-Tucker approach
-Penalty approach
-Extract gradient information from a lower objective function to compute directional derivatives of an upper objective function

## Southanamptorn

## Concept of KKT conditions

$\min _{x \in X} F(x, y)$
$x \in X$
subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(x, y)=0 \\
& \min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { subject to } g(x, y) \leq 0
$$

$$
h(x, y)=0
$$
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## Value function reformulation

 $\min _{x \in X} F(x, y)$ $x \in X$subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$
$H(x, y)=0$
$\min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)$
subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$
$h(x, y)=0$
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## KKT for value function reformulation

```
minx }\mp@subsup{\operatorname{min}}{x\inX}{}F(x,y
subject to G(x,y)\leq0
H(x,y) =0
ming
subject to g(x,y)\leq0
h(x,y) =0
```
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## Assumptions

## $\min _{x \in X} F(x, y)$

subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
H(x, y)=0
$$

$$
\min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)
$$

subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$
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## KKT-type optimality conditions for

$$
\nabla_{x} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})+r \nabla_{x} f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})-r \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \eta_{s} \nabla_{x} f\left(\bar{x}, y_{s}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\nabla_{x} g(\bar{x}, \bar{y})^{T} u-r \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \eta_{s} \nabla_{x} g\left(\bar{x}, y_{s}\right)^{T} u_{s} \\
& +\nabla_{x} h(\bar{x}, \bar{y})^{T} v-r \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \eta_{s} \nabla_{x} h\left(\bar{x}, y_{s}\right)^{T} v_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\nabla G(\bar{x})^{T} u^{\prime}+\nabla H(\bar{x})^{T} v^{\prime}=0
$$

$$
\nabla_{y} F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})+r \nabla_{y} f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})+\nabla_{y} g(\bar{x}, \bar{y})^{T} u+\nabla_{y} h(\bar{x}, \bar{y})^{T} v=0,
$$

$$
\nabla_{y} f\left(\bar{x}, y_{s}\right)+\nabla_{y} g\left(\bar{x}, y_{s}\right)^{T} u_{s}+\nabla_{y} h\left(\bar{x}, y_{s}\right)^{T} v_{s}=0
$$

$$
u \geq 0, u^{T} g(\bar{x}, \bar{y})=0
$$

$$
u^{\prime} \geq 0, u^{\prime T} G(\bar{x})=0
$$

$$
u_{s} \geq 0, u_{s}^{T} g\left(\bar{x}, y_{s}\right)=0
$$
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Further Assumptions (for simpler version $\min F(x, y)$
$x \in X$
subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
H(x, y)=0
$$

$$
\min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)
$$ $y \in Y$

subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$
$h(x, y)=0$
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## Simpler version of KKT-type conditions

## $\min _{x \in \mathcal{Z}} F(x, y)$

$x \in X$
subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$
$H(x, y)=0$
$\min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)$
$y \in Y$
subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
h(x, y)=0
$$
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## NCP-Functions

$\min _{x \in X} F(x, y)$
$x \in X$
subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(x, y)=0 \\
& \min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
h(x, y)=0
$$
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## Problems with differentiability

- Fischer-Burmeister is not differentiable at 0



## Southanmpratrof

## subiection nein f

nin $\min ^{(\pi)}$
$x \in X$
subje ${ }^{-1+n \prime}$, -n
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Simpler version with perturbed Fischer-Burmeister NCP functions

```
\mp@subsup{m}{x\inX}{}\mp@subsup{|}{~}{}F(x,y)
```

subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$
$H(x, y)=0$
$\min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)$
subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$
$h(x, y)=0$
$\min _{x \in(x, y)}$
subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$
$H(x, y)=0$
$\operatorname{minin}_{y \in y} f(x, y)$
subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$

## Iterative methods
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$\min _{x \in X} F(x, y)$
subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$
$H(x, y)=0$
$\min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)$
subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$
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## Newton method

$\min _{x \in X} F(x, y)$
$x \in X$
subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(x, y)=0 \\
& \min _{y \in Y} f(x, y) \\
& \text { subject to } g(x, y) \leq 0 \\
& \quad h(x, y)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Pseudo inverse
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min $x(x, y)$
subiect to G(x,y) $=0$


d.ject $10(x, y) \leq 0$
$H(x, y)=0$
$\min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)$
subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$
$\min _{x \in X} F(x, y)$
$h(x, y)=0$
subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$
$H(x, y)=0$
$\min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)$
subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
h(x, y)=0
$$
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## Gauss-Newton method

$\min _{x \in X} F(x, y)$
$x \in X$
subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$
$H(x, y)=0$
$\min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)$
subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
h(x, y)=0
$$
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## Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

$\min _{x \in X} F(x, y)$
$x \in X$
subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$ $H(x, y)=0$
$\min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)$ $y \in Y$ ( $x, y$ )
subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
h(x, y)=0
$$
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## SVD for wrong direction

$\min F(r x)$
$\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}\sigma_{1} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{2} & 0 & \ldots & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ldots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & \sigma_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}\sigma_{1} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{2} & 0 & \ldots & \vdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \vdots & \ldots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \sigma_{n} & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}\sigma_{1}^{2} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{2}^{2} & 0 & \ldots & \vdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ldots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \vdots & \ldots & 0 & \sigma_{n}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$

## $\min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)$

subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$
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## SVD for right direction

$\min _{v \subset Y} F(x, y)$
$\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}\sigma_{1} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{2} & 0 & \ldots & \vdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \vdots & \ldots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \sigma_{n} & 0\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}\sigma_{1} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{2} & 0 & \ldots & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ldots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & \sigma_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}\sigma_{1}^{2} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{2}^{2} & 0 & \ldots & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ldots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots & \ldots & 0 & \sigma_{n}^{2}\end{array}\right)$.
subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
h(x, y)=0
$$
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## Levenberg-Marquardt method $\min _{x \in X} F(x, y)$ <br> subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$ <br> $$
H(x, y)=0
$$ <br> $$
\min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)
$$ <br> subject to $g(x, y) \leq 0$ <br> 
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## Numerical results

| Name | multiplier | B(average) | iterations exitflag | message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bard1 | $10^{\wedge}(-3)$ | 9.966087 | 6 | -2 No solution found (regular) |
| Dempe9 | $10^{\wedge}(-9)$ | 9.702413 | 21 | 1 Equation solved |
| Hend58 | $10^{\wedge}(-2)$ | 3.929357 | 5 | -2 No solution found (regular) |
| Shim97 | $10^{\wedge}(-9)$ | 5.794647 | 10 | 1 Equation solved |
| Clarke90 | $10^{\wedge}(-10)$ | 1.248215 | 12 | 1 Equation solved |
| Shimi81P1 | $10^{\wedge}(-2)$ | 1.044174 | 23 | -2 No solution found (regular) |
| BIPA1 | $10^{\wedge}(-1)$ | 9.685432 | 32 | -2 No solution found (regular) |
| BIPA2 | $10^{\wedge}(-4)$ | 6.614896 | 19 | 1 Equation solved |
| BIPA3 | $10^{\wedge}(-1)$ | 2.591180 | 159 | -2 No solution found (ineffecti |
| BIPA4 | $10^{\wedge}(-3)$ | 7.637497 | 7 | -2 No solution found (regular) |
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## Plans for further work

## $\min _{x \in X} F(x, y)$

 subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(x, y)=0 \\
& \min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { subject to } g(x, y) \leq 0
$$

$$
h(x, v)=0
$$
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## Plans for further work

6. Construct the own code for Levenberg-Marquardt method in the context of solving bilevel problems within defined reformulation.
7. Search for good starting point techniques for our problem. 8. Do the numerical calculations for the harder reformulation defined.
8. Code Newton method with pseudo-inverse.
9. Solve the problem assuming strict complementarity
10. Look at other solution methods.
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## Thank you!

## Questions?

## References
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## $\min _{x \in X} F(x, y)$

$x \in X$
subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(x, y)=0 \\
& \min _{y \in Y} f(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { subject to } g(x, y) \leq 0
$$

$$
h(x, y)=0
$$

## References
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$\min _{x \in X} F(x, y)$
subject to $G(x, y) \leq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(x, y)=0 \\
& \min _{y \in Y} f(x, y) \\
& \text { subject to } g(x, y) \leq 0 \\
& \quad h(x, y)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

