

# **Method Validation and Verification Protocols for Test Methods**

# What is it ?

- **Method validation & verification** provides objective evidence that a test method is fit for purpose, i.e. that the particular requirements for a specific intended use are fulfilled.
- *The term 'method' includes kits, individual reagents, instruments, platforms and software.*
- **Method Validation** : in-house and modified standard methods
- **Method Verification** : standard methods

# When it is required ?

- **Method Validation** : in-house and modified standard methods
- **Method Verification** : standard methods

Method	Requirement
Fully validated standard methods	Verification
Standard methods – modifications	Validation
Standard methods – outside their intended scope	Validation
Laboratory developed and non-standard methods	Validation

# Why it is necessary ?

- *A test method must be shown to be fit for purpose by validation and verification for the customers to gain confidence in the test results*

# Verification

- Standard validated methods - AOAC, ASTM, ISO, etc
- Peer accepted methods published in scientific literature
- Commercial test kits

*Laboratory needs to verify that **analysts** using their **equipment** in their **laboratory environment** obtain the **same outcomes** as defined in the validation data*

# Verification

- **Method performance demonstrated by**
  - blanks or un-inoculated media - to assess contamination;
  - laboratory control samples - to assess accuracy;
  - duplicates - to assess precision
  - calibration check standards - for quantitative analyses
  - monitoring quality control samples, and
  - participation in a PT testing program

# Some examples

Method	Requirement
using the same type of chromatographic column from a different manufacturer	Verification
a slight change in a non-critical incubation temperature	Verification
use of a different non-selective growth medium,	Verification
differences in details of sample dilutions as a consequence of expected counts	Verification

# Some examples

Method	Requirement
use of a different extraction solvent; use of HPLC instead of GLC	Validation
differences in the formulation of the selective/differential medium (e.g. addition of an alternative antibiotic)	Validation
different antibiotic concentration to the base medium	Validation
a change to a critical incubation temperature or time (e.g. 3 days rather than 5 days incubation)	Validation
different confirmation procedure (e.g. use of an alternative suite of biochemical tests other than those specified)	Validation

# Key parameters for verification

Tests	Parameters
For quantitative results	measurement of <u>bias</u> and measurement of <u>precision</u> - minimum requirements
For trace analyses	<b>limit of detection (LOD)</b> and <b>limit of quantification (LOQ)</b>
For qualitative methods	correlation studies with validated methods or comparisons with known outcomes
For diagnostic methods	sensitivity and selectivity (specificity)

# Validation

- Non-standard and in-house-developed methods
- Scope and validation criteria to be defined and documented

## **Tools to demonstrate the method performance**

- *Blanks*
- *Certified Reference Material (CRMs)*
- *Fortified materials*
- *Replication*
- *Statistical analysis*

# Types of Validation

- Comparative Validation
  - To demonstrate equivalent performance between two methods (validated and revised analytical method)
- Primary Validation
  - an exploratory process to establish operational limits and performance characteristics for alternative or new method

# Validation

## **Two steps**

1. to specify what you intend to identify or measure
2. to determine selected performance parameters

# Validation Parameters

1. Linearity range
2. Measuring interval
3. Matrix effects
4. Selectivity
5. Sensitivity
6. Accuracy .
7. Precision
8. Repeatability
9. Reproducibility
10. Trueness
11. Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ)
12. Ruggedness
13. Measurement Uncertainty.

# Analytical Performance Characteristics Procedure

- *Before validation, design, maintain, calibrate and validate the **analytical system (protocol, conc. range and specified material)***
- ***Train** all the personnel who perform the validation testing*
- *Get **approval** of method validation protocol from CA before execution.*

## 1. Specificity

**Test procedure:** Investigate by injecting of the extracted sample to demonstrate the absence of interference with the elution of analyte

**Documentation :** Print chromatograms.

**Acceptance criteria :** The excipient compounds must not interfere with the analysis of the targeted analyte.

## 2. Linearity

- **Test procedure :**
- Prepare standard solutions at six concentrations, typically 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, and 200% of target conc.
- Analyze three individually prepared replicates at each concentration.
- Use same method of standard preparation and number of injections as in the protocol
- **Documentation:**
- Record results on a datasheet.
- Calculate the mean, standard deviation, and RSD for each conc.
- Plot concentration (x-axis) versus mean response (y-axis) for each conc.
- Calculate the simple regression or weighted regression equation & correlation coefficient and record.

## 2. Linearity

- **Acceptance criteria :**
- The correlation coefficient for six conc. levels will be  $\geq 0.999$  for the range of 80 to 120% of the target conc.
- The y-intercept must  $\leq 2\%$  of the target conc. response.
- A plot of response factor vs conc. must show all values within 2.5% of the target level response factor.
- The coefficient for active ingredients should be  $\geq 0.997$ , for impurities 0.98 and for biologics 0.95

### 3. Range

- **Test procedure :**
- Use the data obtained during linearity and accuracy studies to assess the range of the method.
- We can use the precision data for this assessment, if precision of the three replicate samples is analyzed at each level in the accuracy studies.
- **Documentation :** Record the range on the datasheet.
  
- **Acceptance criteria**  
Acceptable range (- defined as the conc. interval over which linearity and accuracy are obtained)  
It yields a precision of  **$\leq 3\%$  RSD.**

## 4. Accuracy

- **Test procedure**
- Prepare spiked samples at three conc. over the range of 50 to 150% of the target conc.
- Analyze three individually prepared replicates at each conc..
- When it is impossible or difficult to prepare known sample, use a low concentration of a known standard.
- **Documentation :**
- For each sample, report the theoretical value, assay value, and percent recovery.
- Calculate the mean, standard deviation, RSD, and percent recovery for all samples.
- Record results on the datasheet.

## 4. Accuracy

- **Acceptance criteria**
- The mean recovery will be within 90 to 110% of the theoretical value for non-regulated products.
- For the U.S. pharmaceutical industry,  $100 \pm 2\%$  is typical for an assay of an active ingredient in a drug product over the range of 80 to 120% of the target concentration.
- Lower percent recoveries may be acceptable based on the needs of the methods.
- Health Canada states that the required accuracy is a bias of  $\leq 2\%$  for dosage forms and  $\leq 1\%$  for drug substance.

## 5. Precision - Repeatability

- **Test procedure:**
- Prepare one sample solution containing the target level of analyte
- Make ten replicates from this sample solution
- **Documentation:**
- Record retention time, peak area, & peak height on datasheet.
- Calculate the mean, standard deviation, and RSD.
- **Acceptance criteria:**
- FDA states - typical RSD should be 1% for drug substances and drug products,  $\pm 2\%$  for bulk drugs and finished products.
- HC states - RSD should be 1% for drug substances and 2% for drug products. For minor components, it should be  $\pm 5\%$  but may reach 10% at the LOQ.

## 6. Intermediate Precision

- **Test procedure:**
- Demonstrate Intermediate precision (within-laboratory variation) by two analysts, using two HPLC systems on different days and evaluate the relative percent purity data across the two HPLC systems at three conc. levels (50%, 100%, 150%) covering range of 80 to 120%.
- **Documentation:**
- Record the relative % purity (% area) of each conc. on the datasheet.
- Calculate the mean, standard deviation, and RSD for operators and instruments.
- **Acceptance criteria:**
- The results obtained by two operators using two instruments on different days should have a statistical RSD  $\leq$  2%.

## 7. Limit of Detection

- **Test procedure**
- Determine the lowest concentration of the standard solution by sequentially diluting the sample.
- Make six replicates from this sample solution.
- **Documentation**
- Print the chromatogram and record the lowest detectable concentration and RSD on the datasheet.
- **Acceptance criteria**
- The International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) references a signal-to-noise ratio of 3:1.2
- Health Canada recommends a signal-to-noise ratio of 3:1.
- Some analysts calculate the standard deviation of signal (or response) of a number of blank samples and then multiply this number by 2 to estimate the signal at LOD

## 8. Limit of Quantitation

- **Test procedure**
- Determine the lowest concentration at which an analyte in the sample matrix can be measured with the accuracy & precision.
- This value may be the lowest concentration in standard curve.
- Make six replicates from this solution.
  
- **Documentation**
- Print the chromatogram and record the lowest quantified concentration and RSD on the datasheet.
- Provide data that demonstrates the accuracy and precision required in the acceptance criteria.

## 8. Limit of Quantitation

- **Acceptance criteria:**
- The limit of quantitation for chromatographic methods is described as the conc. that gives a signal-to-noise ratio of 10:1.2
- Quantitation limit is the best estimate of a low conc. that gives an RSD of approx. 10% for a minimum of six replicate determinations.

## 9. System Suitability

- **Test procedure**
- Perform system suitability tests on both HPLC systems to determine the accuracy and precision of the system by injecting six injections of a solution containing analyte at 100% of test conc..
- Determine plate count, tailing factors, resolution, & reproducibility (% RSD of retention time, peak area, & height)
- **Documentation:**
- Print the chromatogram and record the data on the datasheet

## 9. System Suitability

- **Acceptance criteria:**
- Retention factor (k): the peak of interest be well resolved from other peaks and the void volume; generally k should be  $\geq 2.0$ .
- Resolution (Rs): Rs should be  $\geq 2$  between the peak of interest and the closest eluted peak (impurity, excipient, and degradation product).
- Reproducibility: RSD for peak area, height, and retention time will be 1% for six injections.
- Tailing factor (T): T should be 2.
- Theoretical plates (N):  $\geq 2000$

## 10. Robustness

- Measures the capacity of an analytical method to remain unaffected by small but deliberate variations in method parameters.
- Provides some indication of the reliability of an analytical method during normal usage.
- Parameters investigated - % organic content in the mobile phase or gradient ramp, pH of the mobile phase, buffer concentration, temperature, and injection volume.
- Evaluate these parameters - one factor at a time or simultaneously as part of a factorial experiment.

## 10. Robustness

- Compare the chromatography obtained for a sample containing representative impurities, when using modified parameter(s), to the chromatography obtained using the target parameters.
- Determine the effects of the following changes in chromatographic conditions :
  - methanol content in mobile phase adjusted by  $\pm 2\%$ ,
  - mobile phase pH adjusted by  $\pm 0.1$  pH units,
  - Column temperature adjusted by  $\pm 5^{\circ}\text{C}$ .
- If these changes are within the limits that produce acceptable chromatography, incorporate in the method procedure.

## 11. Measurement Uncertainty

- Calculation of measurement uncertainty by mathematical model according to law of propagation of uncertainty

$$u [y (x_1, x_2, \dots)] = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1, n} c_i^2 u(x_i)^2}$$

Where

$u [y (x_1, x_2, \dots)]$  is a function of several independent variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots$

$c_i$  is a sensitivity coefficient evaluated as  $c_i = \partial y / \partial x_i$ , the partial differential of  $y$  with respect to  $x_i$

$u(x_i)$  and  $u(y)$  are **standard uncertainties** i.e measurement uncertainties expressed as SD

So,  $u [y (x_1, x_2, \dots)]$  is referred as a **combined standard uncertainty**

# Estimation of Uncertainty

Uncertainty calculation for Chloramphenicol analysis

- Type A and Type B errors are the sources to calculate uncertainty.
- **Type A** – Due to sample (Repeatability Measurement) ( $U_{\text{Rep}}$ )
- **Type B** – a). Due to Equipments ( $U_{\text{Equip}}$ )  
b). Due to Purity of Chemicals and CRM ( $U_{\text{Pur}}$ )  
c). Due to Glassware ( $U_{\text{g}}$ )
- **Coverage factor k = 2** at 95 % confidence level.

## Type A Error

Repeatability Readings $X_i$	Average $\bar{X}$	Std.	$U_{STD} = Sd/\sqrt{n}$
0.28000	0.2912	0.02100	0.00860
0.27300			
0.30000			
0.26700			
0.32000			
0.30700			

## Type B

i. Uncertainty due to Equipments

Equipment	Uncertainty	k	U. Equip= U/k
Weighing Balance	0.09	2	0.045
Refrigerated Centrifuge	0.06	2	0.03
Vortex Mixer	0.06	2	0.03

## ii. Uncertainty due to Chemicals and CRM ( $U_{pur}$ )

Chemical	Purity %	U. Chem %	% Conv = U	k	Std Uncertainty = U/k
Chloramphenicol (CRM)	99.7	0.3	0.003	2	0.0015
Acetonitrile	99.9	0.1	0.001	1.732	0.0006
Carbon Tetrachloride	99	1	0.01	1.732	0.0058
Ethyl Acetate	99.7	0.3	0.003	1.732	0.0017

## iii. Due to Standard Uncertainty Glassware ( $U_g$ )

Glassware	Capacity	Std Uncertainty
Volumetric Flask ( $U_{Vol}$ )	10 ml	0.00200
Measuring Cylinder	25 ml	0.00200
Micro Pipette ( $U_{Pip}$ )	1000 $\mu$ l	0.11000
Micro Pipette ( $U_{Pip}$ )	100 $\mu$ l	0.01000
Micro Pipette ( $U_{Pip}$ )	20 $\mu$ l	0.09500

# Calculation of Combined Standard Uncertainty

Uncertainty Sources	Value X	Standard Uncertainty $U_{(X)}$	Relative Uncertainty $U_R = U_{(X)} / X$
$U_{Rep}$	0.2912	0.0086	0.029536
$U_{Bal}$	2.0050	0.04500	0.022444
U.RF	6000.00	0.03000	0.000005
U. VM	2500.00	0.03000	0.000012
$U_{pur (CAP)}$	99.70	0.0015	0.000015
$U_{pur (ACN)}$	99.90	0.0006	0.000006
$U_{pur (Ethyl Acetate)}$	99.70	0.0017	0.000017
$U_{pur (Carbon Tetrachloride)}$	99.00	0.0058	0.000059
Volumetric Flask ( $U_{Vol}$ )	10.00	0.0020	0.000200
Measuring Cylinder	25.00	0.00200	0.000080
Micro Pipette ( $U_{Pip}$ )	1000.00	0.00200	0.000002
Micro Pipette ( $U_{Pip}$ )	100.00	0.11000	0.001100
Micro Pipette ( $U_{Pip}$ )	20.00	0.01000	0.000500
Combined Standard Uncertainty $\sqrt{U_R^2} =$			<b>0.01080707</b>

**Therefore, Chloramphenicol residues in shrimp (ppb) = 0.2912 ± 0.011**