

# **Contemporary strategic analysis**

Grant, Robert M., 6e Edition, Blackwell Publishing, 482p., 2008 ISBN 978-1-4051-6309-5

Slides prepared by Daniel Degravel

# The concept of strategy

## **Ch.1 Concept of Strategy**



# Ch.1 Concept of Strategy (Ctd.)



Δ

# Ch.1 Concept of Strategy (Ctd.)





# Ch.02

# Goals, values and performance

#### **Ch.2 Goals, values and performance**

Definition Box Value (for customers and profit) (p35) Value-added (p35)

Profit (p37-38) Accounting profit (p37) Economic profit (economic rent)(p38) EVA (p38)

Free Cash Flow (p40)

**Discounted Cash Flow DCF (p39)** 

Real options (p42)

ROIC, ROE, ROCE, ROA (p47)

# **Ch.2 Goals, values and performance**

To avoid ethical and societal issues, simplifying assumption:

8

Goal = interest of owners through long term profit maximization

Reasons: competition; market for corporate control; convergence of STOs' interests and simplicity



#### **Real options**

In a world of uncertainty, **flexibility** is invaluable Option value arises from potential to amend the project during development or abandon it Phases and Gates approach and Scalability

It can create STo value because increase in flexibility equates increase in value

Comparison Flex cost vs. Value Flex value

Creating option value means for complete strategy that large array of opportunities is possible

Strategies:

Platform investmentsStrategic alliancesJoint venturesOrganizational capabilities

# Ch.2 Goals, values and performance (Ctd.)



# Backward-looking performance

? Present

DCF function of 3 variables

-Return on K

-Weighted average cost of K

-Growth of operating profit

Result of the past

ROIC, ROCE, ROA, ROE

**Balanced Scorecard** 

- 1) Financial evaluation
- 2) Customer evaluation
- 3) Internal perspective (processes)
- 4) Innovation and learning

Linking overall value maximization to strategic and operational targets to balance ST-LT

# Forward-looking performance

Characteristics of desirable goals (consistent with long-term objectives; linked to strategy, meaningful to managers)

## Ch.2 Goals, values and performance (Ctd.)

Simplifying assumption Fundamental goal = LT profit

Paradox of profit Success seems to be inked with objectives other than profit Great entrepreneurs and B H A G Sony; Microsoft; Boeing; Ford

-obsession and blinding -motivation of members

#### CSR Debate

Friedman vs. Handy; Goshal ... Property conception vs. Social entity conception

But convergence in the LT

Values and Principles Pursuit of profit constrained by values and principles -Values as external image management -Values as guide -Values as motivator



# Industry analysis: the fundamentals

# **Ch.3 Industry analysis: the fundamentals**



## Program

- 1- Structure of industry features that impact competition and profitability
- 2- Explain differences in competition intensity and profitability
- 3- Forecast changes in competition and profitability
- 4- Influence industry structure
- 5- Identify KSF

## Ch.3 Industry analysis: the fundamentals (Ctd.)



14

## Ch.3 Industry analysis: the fundamentals (Ctd.)

Value = price that customer is willing to pay minus cost incurred by firm



# Profit Determined by: 1- Value of products to consumers 2- Intensity of competition 3- Relative bargaining power of industry players

#### Ch.3 Industry analysis: the fundamentals (Ctd.)



Relative prices and performance of substitutes

#### Ch.3 Industry analysis: the fundamentals (Ctd.)

Industry vs. Market Geography Micro-level approach Substituability on D and S sides

## **Description of industry**

Structure Complex value-chain and vertical integration Industry boundaries



1-Present effect of existing industry structure

2-Identification of trends

3-Impact of trends on structure and profitability

## **Altering industry structure**

1-Key structural features2-Which features amenable to change?

17

**Key Success Factors** 

**Question approach** 

1-What do customers want?

2-How to survive competition?

**Direct modeling of profitability** 

**Disagreggation of ROCE** 

No generic strategy guarantees success R&C and strategy and KSF

#### Ch.3 Industry analysis: the fundamentals (Ctd.)

**Definition Box** 

Consumer surplus (p67) Producer surplus (economic rent) (p67)

Monopoly (p69) Perfect competition (p69) Oligopoly (p69) Contestable market (p74)

**Barrier to entry (BTE) (p74) Barrier to exit (BTExit) (p76)** 

Industry (p85) Market (p85)

**KSF (p88)** 

# Ch.04

# Further topics in industry and competitive analysis

## Ch.4 Industry and competitive analysis: further

Themes of chapter

**1-What about « complementary » relationship** between products?

**2-Stability of industry** Which direction? Industry \_\_\_\_\_\_mpetition

**3-Impact of other players Game theory** 

**4-Competitor analysis** 

**5-Level of analysis Segmentation of industry** 

## Ch.4 Industry and competitive analysis: further (Ctd.)

#### 1-What about « complementary » relationship between products?

Research shows that industry specificities account for minority of differences in profitability

#### Razor – razor blade effect

Substitutes decrease value whereas Complements increase value, because customers value the whole system A missing force in P5F model?



## **Ch.4 Industry and competitive analysis: further** (Ctd.)

2-Stability of industry Which direction? Industry



#### Creative destruction (p.100)

Competition is a dynamic process of rivalry that constantly reformulates industry structure (Austrian school of Economics, J. Schumpeter)

#### Therefore, structure can be seen as outcome of competitive behavior

Speed of change is key Debate about reality of increase of creative destruction

Schumpeterian industry (p.101)

Hypercompetition (p.101)



## Ch.4 Industry and competitive analysis: further (Ctd.)

#### 3-Impact of other players: Game theory

Necessity to take into account interaction among players and fact that decision of player depends on actual and anticipated decisions of other players

1-Framing of strategic decisions

2-Predicts outcome of competitive situations and identifies optimal strategic choices

Prisoner dilemma

1-Cooperation
2-Deterrence (p.102)
3-Commitment
4-Signaling (p.105)
Nash equilibrium (p.103)
Bertrand model (p.121)
Cournot model (p.121)

Emphasis in strategy formulation is less in influencing behavior of rivals than transforming competitive games through building positions of unilateral competitive advantage, through exploiting uniqueness

## Ch.4 Industry and competitive analysis: further (Ctd.)

#### **4-Competitor analysis**

Competitor intelligence (p.107) 1-Forecast 2-Predict 3-Influence



## Ch.4 Industry and competitive analysis: further (Ctd.)

5-Level of analysis: Segmentation of industry

#### Segmentation (p.110)

Stages of segmentation 1-Identify key segmentation variables and categories 2-Construct segmentation matrix 3-Analyze segment attarctiveness 4-Identify segment's KSF 5-Select segment scope Barriers to mobility (p.113)

Profit pool mapping (p.117) Four steps for analysis [...]

Strategic groups (p.117)

Dimensions: product range; geography; distribution channels; quality; technology; VI; etc.

# Ch.05

# Analyzing Resources and Capabilities

## **Ch.5 Analyzing Resources and Capabilities**

**Themes of chapter** 

1-R&C and strategy

28

2-R&C: nature and attributes

**3-Appraising R&C** 

**4-R&C Management: a framework** 

**5-Developing R&C** 

6-KM and KBV

## Ch.5 Analyzing Resources and Capabilities (Ctd.)

#### 1-R&C and strategy





#### What?

- 1- Source of new products
- 2- Foundation for strategy

#### Link with strategy

Uniqueness of each firm is key. Profitability results from exploitation of differences and uniqueness of R&C portfolio

#### Strategic use of R&C

- 1- Exploit strengths
- 2- Change existing situation by filling gap between actual and required R&C

 Instability of environment
 Competitive advantage main source of profitability; industry factors explain little

Honda 126 Canon 126 3M 127 Motorola 127 Olivetti 127 Remington 128 Kodak 128 Mariah Carey 129 Walt Disney 129, 130 Toyota 129 Microsoft 129 Johnson & Johnson 129 British Petroleum 129

# Ch.5 Analyzing Resources and Capabilities (Ctd.)

#### 2-R&C: Nature and attributes

Resource = p	oroductive	asset	owned	by	the firm	(p.130)
--------------	------------	-------	-------	----	----------	---------

Capability = what the firm can do (p.130-131)

Three categories:	How to create additional value from them?					
1-Tangible resources	<ul><li>a) Economizing on their use</li><li>b) Employing assets more profitably</li></ul>	Disney 131 British Airways 131				

2-Intangible resources ———	More valuable; largely invisible a) Reputational assets b) Technology c) Intellectual property	Philip Morris 132 Harley-Davidson 132 Johnson & Johnson 132 Coca-Cola 132 Google 132 UPS 132 3M 132 Texas Instruments 133 Qualcomm 133 IBM 133
3-Human resources	<ul> <li>Expertise, knowledge and efforts People are not owned Attitude, motivation, learning capacity and potential for collaboration</li> <li>Competency modelling 133</li> <li>Emotional intelligence 134</li> <li>Organizational culture 134</li> </ul>	

## Ch.5 Analyzing Resources and Capabilities (Ctd.)

#### 2-R&C: Nature and attributes

Capability = what the firm can do (p.130-131) Capability = firm's capacity to deploy resources for a desired end result (p.135) (Helfat and Liberman, 2002) Capability = competence (p.135)

Distinctive competence = capability that can provide a basis for competitive advantage (p.135) (Selznick, 1957) Core competence = something that an organization does particularly well relative to its competitors (p.135) (Hamel and Prahalad, 1990) (disproportionate contribution to ultimate customer value or efficiency; basis for entering new markets)

> **Two bases for classification:** 1-Functional analysis 2-Value-chain analysis

31

## Ch.5 Analyzing Resources and Capabilities (Ctd.)

#### 2-R&C: Nature and attributes

Organizational routine = regular and predictable pattern of activity made up of a sequence of coordinated actions by individuals (p.137) (Nelson and Winter, 1982) Routines are basis for capabilities Routines develop through learning by doing Trade-off between efficiency and flexibility

Capabilities can be disaggregated into more specialist capabilities

Sony 135 RCA 135 GE 135 Thomson 135 3M 137 Wal\*Mart 137 Toyota, Ford and GM 137 McDonald's 137 Hospital 137 Toyota, Honda, Nissan 138-139

Telecom equipment manufacturer 138 -cross functional capabilities -broad functional capabilities -activity-related capabilities -specialized capabilities -single-task capabilities



## Ch.5 Analyzing Resources and Capabilities (Ctd.)

### 3-Appraising R&C

What is the potential of R&C to to earn profits?



a) Degree of definition of property rights in R&C

b) Embeddedness of individual skills and knowledge within routines

c) Identifiability of employee's contribution to profitability

d) Mobility of employee

e) Employee offers similar productivity to other firms

#### Sustaining competitive advantage

1-Durability
2-Transferability
-geography
-imperfect information
-complementarity between R
-integration
3-Replicability
Asset mass efficiencies
Time compression diseconomies

Heinz, Kelloggs, Campbell, Hoover 140 IBM, Lenovo 141 Investment banking and M&A 141 Financial services, retailing 141 Federal Express 142 Nucor 141 PPR, Gucci 142

#### Ch.5 Analyzing Resources and Capabilities (Ctd.)

#### 4-R&C Management R&CM: a framework

A practical guide to manage R&C

**1-Identifying key R&C** KSF; R&C and value-chain



#### 2-Appraising R&C

1-Assessing importance of R&C 2-Assessing relative strengths 3-Bring together Importance and Strengths

Success= recognize what you can do well and base your strategy on these strengths Benchmarking 144 Volkswagen 143, 146-147 Cutlery producers of Shieffeld 144 Steel in US 144 Federal Express 144 BMW 144 McDonalds 144 General Electric 144

For benchmarking: Xerox, L.L. Bean, GM, Toyota, Bank of America, Royal Bank of Canada 145



Volkswagen 143

# 3-Developing strategy implications

1-Strategy so that these R&C are deployed to the greatest effect 2-Managing key weaknesses (upgrade; outsource) 3-Superfluous strengths (Lower investment; turn them into valuable R&C) Volkswagen 147

Toyota, Hyundai, Peugeot 148 Ford, Nike, Harley Davidson, Yamaha, Honda, BMW 148 Retail bank 148 Edward Jones 148 Georgetown University McDonough School Business 149

## Ch.5 Analyzing Resources and Capabilities (Ctd.)

#### **5-Developing capabilities**

Gap identification and filling orientation; little use because expensive and complexity lead to limited returns

#### Relationship between R and C

We know little Resource base is not main factor but ability to leverage resources

#### **Replicating C**

Internal replication Systematization of knowledge that underlies C and formulation of procedure

#### **Developing new C**

High level of difficulty Sketchy understanding of how people, machine, technology and culture fit

#### Approaches to C development

1-Acquiring C M&A. C exists already but risk 2-Accessing C strategic alliance 153 More targeted and cost effective 3-Creating C Routine; role of manager; learning-by-doing Types of C; search; experimentation; problem-solving; pushing (dynamic resource fit 154) Culture; Integration 153 Concentrating R on goals; targeting on activities with high impact on customers Accumulating R, mining experience, learning, borrowing Complementing R; linking; blending Conserving R; recycling; co-opting through collaborative arrangements

European soccer, basket-ball 149 GM, Honda, Pixar, Aardman Animations, Walt Disney, Lucent, Nortel Networks, Alcatel 149 Starbucks, McDonalds, Ikea, eBay, mandarin Oriental Hotels, Intel 150

Path dependence (result of history that constraints future; importance of initial conditions) Core rigidities 152 Dynamic capabilities = ability to integrate, build and reconfigure internal and external competences to address rapidly changing environments (Teece et al., 1997; Eisenhardt and Martin, 2000; Zollo and Winter, 2002) 152 Advantage to new comer?

Tiger Woods, Dell, Electronic Arts 151 Wal\*Mart, oil and gas majors Exxon, Royal Dutch Shell 151-152 TV manufacturing, PC, wireless telephony 152 Cisco, Microsoft 153 HP, Canon, Pixar, Disney, GM, Toyota, NUMMI, Matsushita 153-154 Lockheed, IBM, Egg, Xerox, HP, Microsoft, Apple, Sun Microsystems, Saturn 155 Hyundai 15

# Ch.5 Analyzing Resources and Capabilities (Ctd.) 6-KM and KBV

Know-how 160 Knowing about 160

Knowledge Management KM = processes and pracxtices through which organizations generate value from knowledge 159
Knowledge-Based View KBV = perspective considering the firm as a set of knowledge assets with the purpose of deploying these assets to create value (Kogut and Zander, 1992; Grant, 1996) 159

KM influences performance Extension of RBV K is important productive R (scarce, difficult transfer and relicate) Valuable tool for creating, developing, maintaining, replicating C

Types of knowledge: tacit vs explicit Types of processes: generation vs application 160 Sub-processes [8..] 161
37

### Ch.5 Analyzing Resources and Capabilities (Ctd.)

### 6-KM and KBV

Saatchi & Saatchi 159 Coca-cola 160 US Army 161 Consulting firms 162 Skandia, Dow Chemicals 162 Booz Allen and Hamilton, Accenture, AMS 162 Ford 163 McDonalds, Marriott Hotels, Andersen Consulting, Starbucks 164 McKinsey 165

## Ch.06

# Organization structure and management systems



Themes of chapter

**1-Evolution of structure** 

2-Organizational problem: Specialization with Coordination

**3-Hierarchy** 

**4-Application of organizational design principles** 

**5-Alternative structural forms** 

6-Management systems for coordination and control

Great strategy, loosy implementation? Formulation vs. Implementation?

Spanish armada 170 Daimler-Benz and Chrysler 172 Benetton 170 Amway 170

## 40

### Ch.6 Organization structure and management systems (Ctd.)

### **1-Evolution of structure**



Alliances, networks and outsourcing partnerships 174

**Modern corporation** Legal entities distinct from the owners

### 2-Organizational problem: Specialization with Coordination

Structure = ways in which labor is divided between distinct tasks and coordination is achieved among these tasks 175



Pin manufacturer, Ford 175

Soccer team, Wal\*mart, Cirque du Soleil, Berlin Philarmonic

Orchestra 176

Starbucks, heart by-pass operation, systems integration project 177

Agency relationship 177 Mechanisms:

1-Control mechanisms through managerial supervision 2-Financial incentives 3-Shared values

Enron, World Com 177 Wal\*Mart, Four Season Hotels, Amway, Shell, Apple 178



#### Hierarchy = system composed of interrelated sub-systems 179

Fundamental to all organizations; present in virtually all complex systems



Bureaucracy 180 Principles: -specialization -hierarchical structure -coordination and control -standardized employment rules and norms -separation ownership and management -separation job and people -rational-legal authority -formalization in writing of administrative acts, decisions and rules

Mechanistic; Machine bureaucracy 182

Organic 182

**Economizing on coordination** (Fewer connections; communication through standard interfaces within a standardized architecture)

Adaptability Evolve more rapidly Decomposability Loosely coupled 180

Human body, planets and cosmos, social systems, book 179 Five programmers designing software 179 Automobile, GE 180 Ch'in Dynasty China 180 Beverage can, blood test, army hair cut, McDonalds 182 BP. GE 183 Span of control Ratio managerial/operational Speed of decision-making Degree of control

Stability of environment

Critical issue: how to reorganize hierarchies to increase responsiveness to environment

Accountability 183

**Structural modulation 183** to achieve balance between centralization and decentralization

### 4-Application of organizational structure design principles

Basic design is hierarchy Essence of hierarchy is to create specialized units coordinated and controlled by a superior unit





### 5-Alternative structural forms

#### Functional F 186-187

Functional lines

#### **Divisional D 188**

Key advantage: potential for decentralized decision-making Development of top management leadership Three levels: corporate, divisions, business units

#### Matrix M 189

Complexity, large head office staff, slow decision-making, diffused authority, dulling entrepreneurial spirit Focus on one dimension

#### DuPont, Apple, GM, ITT, BP 187-189 GE 189 Shell 189 Phillips, Nestle, Unilever, ABB 190

#### Adhocracy Ad 191

Flexible, spontaneous coordination and collaboration around problem solving and other non routine activities New product development, jazz band, consulting 191

**Team-based and project-based organization T 191** Construction, consulting, oil exploration, engineering services 191

Network N 191 Network of small independent firms Clothing industry Prato, Italy, Hollywood movie making, Microelectronics in Silicon Valley, Benetton, Toyota 191 AES 192

#### Characteristics in common:

1-Focus on coordination rather than control2-Coordination by mutual adjustment3-Individuals in multiple organizational roles



#### 6-Management systems

1-Information systems

5-Corporate culture Corporate culture 197

#### 2-Strategic planning systems

Vehicle to achieve coordination, consistency, commitment Varies Stages: a-Goals b-Assumptions or forecasts c-change of shape of business d-specific action steps e-financial projections MCI Communication, BP 193 Large oil majors 194 Starbucks, Shell, Nintendo, Google, Salomon Brothers, BBC, LAPD 197

> **3-Financial planning and Control systems** Capital expenditure budget Operating budget

4-Human Resources management systems

Incentive and performance Types of incentives **46** 



# The nature and source of competitive advantage



**Themes of chapter** 

- **1-Emergence of competitive advantage**
- 2-Sustaining competitive advantage
- **3-Competitive advantage in different market settings**
- 4-Types of competitive advantage: Cost and Differentiation



### 1-Emergence of competitive advantage

Competitive advantage = when one firm possesses a competitive advantage over rivals when it earns (or has the potential to earn) a persistently higher rate of profit 205 Competitive advantage emerges when disequilibrium between competing firms, then when change occurs

But firm may forgo current profit in favor of investments in MK share, technology, customer loyalty, HR, etc.





**1-External sources of change** Customer demand Prices Technology 2-Internal sources of change

Dell, Wal\*Mart, Toyota 205 Toyota, GM 205 Tobacco industry, toy industry 206

## 49

### Ch.7 Nature and source of competitive advantage (Ctd.)

### 1-Emergence of competitive advantage





### 2-Sustaining competitive advantage

Once established, competitive advantage is subject to erosion by competition

Speed of erosion depends on ability of rivals to challenge by imitation or by innovation

Barriers to imitation exist

-Isolating mechanisms = barriers that limit the ex-post equilibration of rents among individual firms 209 (Rumelt, 1984)

Over decades, inter-firm profit differentials tend to persist with little change in leaders and laggards

Process of competitive imitation

1-identification

2-Incentive to imitate

3-Diagnosis features of rival's strategy that give rise to competitive advantage

4-Resource acquisition (transfer or acquisition)

1-Obscure superior performance Theory of limit pricing 211

2-Deterrence 212 : persuade rivals that it will be unprofitable (signaling, commitment, reputation)
 Preemption 212: occupying existing and potential strategic niches to reduce opportunities for rivals (patent, product proliferation, production capacity)
 Two imperfections: small market in regards to MES and existence of FMA
 3-Diagnosis of competitive advantage
 Causal ambiguity 213
 Uncertain imitability 213

features of rival's strategy that give rise to competitive advantage

4-Resource acquisition (transfer or acquisition) Transferability of resources across firms; extent of FMA (patent, scare resources) Internal creation takes time Xerox, Savin 210 Mars 211 Nutrasweet, Holland Sweetener Co 212 Breakfast cereals 212 Monsanto 212 Xerox, IBM 212 Wal\*mart, Kmart 212 GM, Toyota, Filofax, Financial services 213 Starbucks 214

### **3-Competitive advantage in different market settings**

For the competitive advantage to exit, there must be some **imperfection** of competition To understand these imperfections, we have to understand the **types** of resources and capabilities necessary to compete and the circumstances of their **availability** 

Efficient market 215 = Prices reflect all available information and adjust instantaneously to newly available information, no market trader can expect to earn more than any other. Difference in ex-post returns reflect either different levels of risk or purely random factors (luck). You can't beat the market; competitive advantage is absent





52

4-Types of competitive advantage: Cost and Differentitation



53



# **Cost advantage**



**Themes of chapter** 

- 1-Strategy and cost advantage
- 2-Sources of cost advantage
- 3-Analysis of cost: value chain



### Ch.8 Cost advantage (Ctd.)

### 1-Strategy and cost advantage

#### First preoccupation was cost

Large corporations Search for EoSca, EoSco, mass production and distribution

Experience curve 225 Law of experience 225

Penetration pricing 225 Full cost pricing 225

#### Sears 223 Airlines, telecommunications, banking, electrical power generation 224 Automobile, steel, textiles, shipbuilding, manufacturing industries 225 British motorcycles 225 Skype, Vonage 226 Clothing, petrochemicals, semiconductors, Severstal, Nucor 227

#### **Recently, change** Innovation through outsourcing, Business Process Reengineering, Organization delayering



### Ch.8 Cost advantage (Ctd.)

### 2-Sources of competitive advantage

Cost drivers 227 Variations

- 1) Position firm / rivals and diagnosis of sources of inefficiency
- 2) Recommendations to improve cost efficiency

1-EoSca 228 MEPS 228

2-Economies of learning

Technical input – output relationship Indivisibilities Specialization Scale and concentration Limits to EoSca (3 factors)

### 3-Process technology and process design

(Input/Output; BPR 231)

4-Product design

5-Capacity utilization

Cyclical, structural 234

6-Input Cost \_\_\_\_\_

Locational difference in input price Ownership of low cost source of supply Non union labor Bargaining power Organizational slack 235 Toyota 228 Daihatsu 229 Investment banking, consulting, design engineering 229 Packaged consumer goods 229 **Sony 229** VW, Skoda, Seat, Rolls Royce, Ford, Jaguar, Mazda, Land Rover, Volvo 229 Passenger aircraft 230 Peugeot, Renault, BMW 230 Convair 230 IBM, Sharp, Samsung 230 Dell, Pilkington, Ford, GM, Toyota, Nucor, Dell, McDonalds, Wal\*Mart, Harley Davidson 231 VW, Skoda, Seat, IBM 232 Motel 6 233 Airlines, theme parks, Boeing online brokerage, semi conductor, construction, hotels, railroad, automobile, gasoline retail, hospital 234 Austek, Aramco, airlines, Wal\*Mart, Asda 234 Renault, Nissan 234 Wal\*Mart 235



### Ch.8 Cost advantage (Ctd.)

**3-Analysis of cost: value chain** 

## Value chain disaggregation of firm's activities Identification of cost drivers

- 1- Disaggregation of firm into activities
- 2- Relative importance of activities to total cost
- 3- Compare costs by activity (benchmark)
- 4- Identify cost drivers
- 5- Identify linkages
- 6- Identify opportunities for reducing costs

Auto plant 236 Xerox 236 Caterpillar 236



# **Differentiation advantage**



**Themes of chapter** 

- **1-Nature of Differentiation advantage**
- 2-Analysis: Demand side
- 3-Analysis: Supply side
- 4-Analysis: Value chain



### **0-Introduction**

Differentiation = providing something unique that is valuable to consumers beyond simply offering a low price (Porter, 1985) 241 Commodity 241

Differentiation is not simply offering different features but it is about understanding every possible interaction between the firm and its customers and asking how these interactions can be enhanced or changed in order to deliver additional value to the customer 241

Requires looking at demand and supply sides

What customers want, how they choose and what motivates them

Cement, wheat, memory chips 241 Dell 241 Shell 241

### **1-Nature of Differentiation advantage**

Differentiation can exist in every aspect of the way in which a company relates to its customers

**Tangible Differentiation 243 Intangible Differentiation 243** 

Differentiation is concerned with "HOW" a firm competes and uniqueness (consistency, reliability, status, quality, innovation) Segmentation is concerned with "WHERE" a firm competes

Differentiation is a strategic choice and is linked to the choice over the segment

Differentiation offers more potential for competitive advantage than low cost strategy

Socks, bricks, corkscrew, nail, spark plug, thermometer, airplane, automobile, vacation, wine, toy, shampoo, toilet paper, bottled water 242 Starbucks 242, Dell 242 Cosmetics, medical services, education 243 McDonalds, American Express, Federal Express, BMW, Sony 243 Ameritrade, E-Trade, TD Waterhouse 243 Toyota, McDonalds, Amazon, Starbucks 243 BMW, VW 244, Beer 244 Ford, Honda, Indesit, Matsushita 244 US integrated iron and steel, discount brokers, internet telephony 244 Colgate, Palmolive, Microsoft, Anheuser-Busch, Yum Brands, Kellogg's, Procter & Gamble, 3M, Wyeth 244

### 2-Demand side

Which product characteristics have potential to create value for customers, customers' willingness to pay and firm's optimal positioning in terms of differentiation variables

Understand customer: why does customer buy a product; what are his needs and requirements



Sociological and psychological factors

Status and conformity; self-identity, social affiliation

Demographic, socioeconomic, psychographic: what customers want and how they behave Observe and understand their lives and use of the product

Japanese home appliance firm and the coffee percolator 245 PC, windsurfing 246 Marriott Courtyard 246 European automatic washing machines 247 PC 247 Book retailing 247 Coca-Cola 247 Harley Davidson 247 Japanese firms approach to marketing 248

### **3-Supply side**

Differentiation depends on firm's ability to offer differentiation



**Typology: Product Differentiation and Ancillary services Differentiation 249** 



Product Integrity = consistency of firm's differentiation 250

Simultaneous internal and external integrity; especially important for products whose differentiation based on customers' social and psychological needs

Service stations 249, financial services, European tour operators, Beck (beer), auto industry 250 Harley Davidson, MTV 251 Body Shop Capsule 251-252

### **3-Supply side**

Differentiation effective only if communication to customers

#### Search good 252 Experience good 252

For experience good, situation is analogous to prisoner's dilemma when quality cannot be detected: equilibrium with low quality and low price



#### Brands

Signal of quality and consistency and acts as disincentives to provide poor quality

Differentiation has a cost:

- Direct
- Indirect

Postpone differentiation at later stage, modular design, new manufacturing technologies

Perfume, financial services 253 Mountaineering equipment, socks 254 Ecommerce, Coca-cola, Harley Davidson, Mercedes, Gucci, Virgin, American Express, Auto 254 Auto, motorcycle, domestic appliances, internet communications, Capital One, Adidas 255

## 65

### Ch.9 Differentiation advantage (Ctd.)

### 4-Analysis: value chain

**Process:** 

1-Construct value chain

- 2-Identify drivers of uniqueness in each activity
- 3-Select most promising differentiation variables for the firm (linkages among activities; ease of differentiating)
- 4-Locate linkages between value chain of firm and that of customer

Value chain analysis of consumer goods 258

Steel 255 Airline 256 Procter & Gamble 256 Metal container 257 Japanese producers of automobiles, consumer electronics, domestic appliances 258 Harley Davidson 258 Frozen TV dinner 258



# Industry evolution and strategic change

**Ch.10 Industry evolution and strategic change** 

Themes of chapter

**1-Introduction** 

2-Industry life cycle

**3-Structure, competition and success factors over life cycle** 

4-Organizational adaptation and change

5-Wrap-up

### **Ch.10 Industry evolution and strategic change** (Ctd.) **1-Introduction**

Change is the "constant"

Greatest challenge is match between environmental change and firm adaptation

Change is mix of result of external competitive forces and firm's strategy

Understand Predict Manage Change

Change is disruptive, uncomfortable and costly Inertia is strong

Telecommunications and digital technology 262 Food processing, aircraft production and funeral services 262

### Ch.10 Industry evolution and strategic change (Ctd.) 2-Industry life cycle

Product life cycle 263 Industry life cycle 263 Introduction; Growth; Maturity; Decline

Life cycle pattern varies with industry, and country General trend is compression Sometimes rejuvenation



Sony 263 Steam ships, home computer 266 IBM, Leica, McDonalds, Boeing, Grocery delivery, retailing air travel American Express, Expedia, Travelocity 267

Capsule Automobile industry 268-269

US railroad, US automobile, PC, Digital audio players, Consumer electronics, communication, pharmaceuticals, e-commerce, online gambling, B2B online auctions, online travel services, residential construction, food processing, clothing, motorcycle industry 269 TV receivers, retailing 270

### **Ch.10 Industry evolution and strategic change (Ctd.)** 3-Structure, competition and success factors over life cycle

Changes in demand and technology over cycle have implications on:

- Industry structure
- Competition
- Sources of competitive advantage (KSF)

 Table 10.1 p271 Synthesis of different variables over life cycle

#### **Product differentiation**

#### **Organizational demographics**

Organizational ecology (Darwinian process of natural selection within firms of an industry) Different evolutionary paths depending on industry

#### Location and international trade

International migration of production

#### Nature and intensity of competition

Shift from non-price to price competition Narrowing margins Intensity of competition depends on capacity/demand balance and extent of international competition

#### KSF and industry evolution

Product innovation and financial resources Product development and manufacturing, marketing and distribution Adaptation, administrative and strategic skills PC, credit card, securities broking, internet access 272

US automobile, TV receiver, US tire, US brewing, TV broadcasting, frozen food, plain paper copier, world petroleum, world steel 272

**Consumer electronics 273** 

Food retail, airlines, motor vehicles, metals, insurance, household detergents, breakfast cereal, cosmetics, investment banking 273

### Ch.10 Industry evolution and strategic change (Ctd.)

### 4-Organizational adaptation and change

**Evolutionary theory Variation Selection Retention VSR** 





Organizational routine Organizational routine 275

1-Capabilities and routine Competency trap 276

2-Social and political structures

3-Conformity Institutional isomorphism 276

4-Complementarities between strategy, structure and systems Punctuated equilibrium 276

5-Limited search and blinkered perceptions Bounded rationality 277 Satisficing 277 Exploitation vs. exploration 277

### **Ch.10 Industry evolution and strategic change** (Ctd.) **4-Organizational adaptation and change**

Empirical evidence shows changes in industries with the disappearing of well-established firms Evolutionary change less threatening than radical technological change

Different stages of life cycle requires different capabilities that established forms may struggle to develop

New technology may enhance existing capabilities or destroy them Is technological impact at architectural or component level?

Disruptive technology 278 De novo entrants 279 De alio entrants 279

Siemens, Exxon Mobil, Royal Dutch Shell, GM, GE 277 Apple, Commodore, Xerox, Dell, Lenovo, Acer, HP 278 McCaw communication, Cingular, Verizon 278 E-commerce grocery and banking, typesetter, Clayton Christensen, Sony 279 Nucor, Cisco Systems, Juniper Networks, Lucent Technologies, Alcatel, US automobile, US TV manufacturing, Akron tire, semi-conductor, Intel, Shockley Semiconductor Laboratories 279
# **Ch.10 Industry evolution and strategic change** (Ctd.) **4-Organizational adaptation and change**

#### Managing change

Recognition by managers of sources of inertia

Creation of new organizational unit for capacity to pursue simultaneously multiple strategies Ability of new business model to access and deploy firm's existing R&C Dual planning system

#### Bottom-up process of decentralized change

Manage conditions that foster process of change **Strategic inflection point 280** 

#### **Top-down process**

Orchestration from top

#### **Scenarios**

Scenario analysis 281 Scenario 281 Most important is less result than process and bringing together ideas and insights, surfacing deeply held beliefs

#### Shaping future Non linear world

Revolution instead of evolution

British Airways, Continental, United 279 GE, Intel 280 Oil and gas majors, Rand Corp, Hudson Institute, Shell 281

Capsule Royal Dutch Shell Scenarios 282

Nokia, BP, Microsoft 283

Enron, Vivendi, (GEC) Marconi, ICI, Skandia 284

# Ch.10 Industry evolution and strategic change (Ctd.)

# **5-WRAP-UP**

Change is the "constant"

Adaptation firm and environmental change is central challenge for managers

Change is result of competitive forces and firm's strategy and impacts the industry structure, its competition and its KSF

Different theories describe organizational change (Organizational ecology; Evolutionary theory)

Change is generally painful and surrounded by barriers to change

Patterns of industry state can be captured with the industry life cycle; different stages require different capabilities

Prescriptive material exists for managers to successful in handling organizational change

# Ch.11

Technology-based industries and the management of innovation

**Themes of chapter** 

**1-Introduction** 

2-Competitive advantage in technology-intensive industries

3-Exploit innovation: how and when to enter

**4-Competing for standards** 

**5-Creating conditions for innovations** 

6- Wrap-up

# **1-Introduction**

In industries where innovation is key, fascinating environment

Innovation is responsible for creation of new industries Innovation can change the course of the industry cycle Innovation can impact industry structure and competitive advantage

How does the firm use technology and innovation to establish competitive advantage and earn AAR? AT&T, NTT, BT 289 China Mobile, Vodafone, AT&T 289

AT&T, Alcatel, NEC, Siemens, GTE 289 Cisco Systems, Nokia, Qualcomm 289

Fixed-line telecommunication, cable operators, internet telecom providers 289

Pharmaceuticals, chemicals, telecomm, electronics 289

Food processing, fashion goods, domestic appliances, financial services 289

# 2-Competitive advantage in technology-intensive industries

#### Innovation process Invention 290 Innovation 290

#### Profitability

Depends on value created by innovation and share of that value that innovator is able to appropriate, because value is distributed among different parties (customers, suppliers, innovator, innovator)

Innovation is not guarantee of fame and fortune

#### **Regime of appropriability 293**

#### Morse's telegraph 290

Chemicals and pharmaceuticals, automobile 291 Anti-tamper package 291

Xerography, Xerox, IBM, Kodak, Ricoh, Canon 291 Comer, Boeing 291 Mathematics of fuzzy logic 292 MP3 292

PC, IBM, Dell, Compaq, Acer, Toshiba 292 Intel, Seagate technology, Quantum Corp., Sharp, Microsoft 292 Nutrasweet (Searle), Monsanto, Pfizer, Pilkington, VoIP

# 2-Competitive advantage in technology-intensive industries

Property rights Patent 292 Copyright 292 Trademark 292 Trade secret 292 Effectiveness of legal instruments depends on type of innovation

Tacitness and complexity of technology Codifiable knowledge 294 Complexity 294

Lead time 294 Lead time 294

#### **Complementary resources 295**

Require R&C needed to finance, produce, and market innovation Division of value depends on relative power of providers of these resources

Complementary resource 295 Specialized resource 295

Protection effectiveness Patent protection is limited Cross-licensing agreement 296; Freedom to design 297 Netflix, Amazon 293 RCA, IBM, AT&T, Texas Instruments 294

Coca-cola, Intel, Sharp, New toys, Airbus 294

Microsoft, Intel, Cisco Systems, DeHavilland, EMI, Clive Sinclair 294

Xerox, Searle, Monsanto, world automobile, Adobe 295 Linux, Intel 296

Semi-conductors and electronics 296

## 3-Exploit innovation: when and where to enter?



Pharmaceuticals, biotechnology, Dolby Laboratories, Apple 297

Ericsson, Dolby Labs, Qualcomm, Microsoft, Flextronics, Ballard, DaimlerChrysler, Psion, Symbian, Ericsson, Nokia, Motorola, Google 298

Capsule Dyson Vacuum and Benecol Margarine 299 Amway, Hoover, Maytag, Johnson & Johnson, Unilever 299

Biotechnologies, Electronics, Sony, GE, Siemens, Hitachi, IBM, video game software, Electronic Arts, Sega 300

## 3-Exploit innovation: when and where to enter?

#### Timing Innovation: to lead or to follow?

Both can lead to success or failure

#### Factors impacting choice

1-Extent to which innovation can be protected by property rights or lead time advantages If efficient protection, advantage of early mover

#### 2-Importance of complementary resources

If great importance, great risk and cost for pioneering Pioneer must organize and orchestrate functions; follower benefits from fact that specialty firms emerge

#### 3-Potential to establish standard

Greater importance of technical standard, advantage early mover Once standard established, moving very difficult

Optimal timing depends on R&C available Firms have strategic windows (opportunities aligned with R&C) 301 Active waiting 302

Clive Sinclair, GM 300 Unilever, IBM, Microsoft 301

Apple, IBM 302 Netscape, Microsoft 302 GE, EMI 302

# **3-Exploit** innovation: when and where to enter?

**Managing risks** 

Sources of uncertainty

**1-Technological uncertainty 302** (unpredictability of technical evolution)

2-Market uncertainty 302 (size and growth rates for new products)

Useful actions

1-Cooperation with lead users2-Limiting risk exposure3-Flexibility and response to signals

Xerox, Apple, Sony 302 Computer software, Nike, Communications, Space 303 Honda, Microsoft 303

# 4-Competing for standards



Value of product depends on number of users Network externalities require products' compatibility Sources of network externalities 1-Users linked to a network 2-Availability of complementary PS 3-Economizing on switching costs Vetwork externalities Network externalities produce 1-Positive feed-back 307 2-Tipping phenomenon 307 3-Winner-takes-all situation 307

Linux, Microsoft, Qualcomm, automobile safety, TV broadcasting, railroad gauge, wireless telecom, quadraphonic 305 Telephone, Glenlivet, Armani, wireless telephone, AT&T, Nextel, T-Mobile, railroads 306 Telephones, railroad systems, email messaging, software, social identification 306 Apple, Ford, Microsoft, typewriter 307

# 4-Competing for standards

#### Winning standard wars

In markets subjects to network externalities, control over standards is the basis of competitive advantage

Market will converge around a simple technical standard Role of positive feed-back: technology that can establish early leadership will attract new adopters

#### Actions:

1-Assemble allies

2-Preempt the market

3-Manage expectations

4-Create value and share with other parties, involve broad alliances

5-Achieve compatibility with existing products (evolutionary strategy, revolutionary strategy 308)

6-Control over an installed base of customers

7-Own intellectual property in the new technology

8-Innovate to extend and adapt the initial technological advance 9-FMA

10-Strengths in complements

11-Reputation and brand name

Apple, IBM, Microsoft, Netscape, WordPerfect 307 Sony, Toshiba, Windows, Sega, Nintendo 308 Capsule VCRs and PCs 309-310 Intel, Microsoft, Adobe 310

## Ch.11 Technology-based industries and the management of innovation (Ctd.) 5-Creating conditions for innovation

#### Creativity is key for innovation

Creativity is resistant to planning Productivity of R&D depends on organizational conditions that foster innovation How does the firm create conditions conducive to innovation?

#### Invention relies upon creativity

Innovation relies upon cooperation, interaction and collaboration

#### Conditions for creativity:

Knowledge and imagination Typically an individual act that establishes a meaningful relationship between concepts or objects that had not previously be related; triggered by accidents Creativity associated with personality traits; creativity stimulated by human interaction; catalyst of interaction is "play" Experimentation needs to be managed Innovation can be accelerated through conflict, criticism and debate **Creative abrasion 311** No cloning **"Whole brain teams" 312** Balancing creative freedom and direction and integration; link with market needs

#### **Open innovation 312**

**Creation nets 312** 

#### Management systems and incentives

Egalitarian culture, space, resources, spontaneous, experience freedom, fun, praise, recognition, education and professional growth

# 5-Creating conditions for innovation

#### **Cross-functional integration**

Linking creativity and technological expertise with capabilities in production, marketing, finance, distribution and customer support

Reconcile requirements for innovation and operation

#### **Differentiation vs. Integration 313**

#### Actions:

1-Cross-functional product development teams2-Product champions3-Buying innovation4-Incubators

US naval establishment 313 Automobile, electronics, construction equipment, 3M, Microsoft, Cisco Systems, Ford Consumer Connect, British Telecom Brightstar

Capsule Innovation at 3M 315-316

#### Central concepts: Invention and innovation

# How does invention/innovation create value and constitute a competitive advantage?

What it does How is value shared?

## How can the firm protect its innovation-based competitive advantage?

Four means for protection

## How can the firm exploit innovation?

Five alternative choices How does the firm choose among these alternative choices?

#### When should the firm enter? Leading vs. Following

Four factors impacting choice Two determinants of risk and three related actions

#### How can the firm fight for the industry standards?

How does it work? What to do? Eleven actions

#### How can the firm create the conditions for innovation?

What are the conditions? Actions regarding management and incentive systems, and structure



# **Competitive advantage in mature industries**

Themes of chapter

**1-Introduction** 

2-Competitive advantage in mature industries

**3-Strategy implementation in mature industries** 

**4-Strategies for declining industries** 

5- Wrap-up

# Ch.12 Competitive advantages in mature industries (Ctd.) 1-Introduction

What are the characteristics of mature industries and the way to take advantage of a competitive advantage in these mature industries?

McDonalds 320 Food, energy, construction, vehicles, financial services, restaurant 321 Massage parlor, steel 321 Heens & Mauritz, Ryanair, Starbucks, Nucor, Coca-cola, Exxon Mobil, GE 321

## 2-Competitive advantage in mature industries



3-Deterioration of profitability From "franchise" to "business" 322 Increased buyer knowledge, product standardization, less product innovation

Diffusion of process technology Cost advantage (superior process, advanced method) more difficult to obtain and sustain

Attack of specific niches easier (industry infrastructure more developed, presence of powerful distributors)

Capsule Media sector and Warren Buffett 322

# 2-Competitive advantage in mature industries

#### **Drivers of Cost Advantage**

1-Economies of scale Standardization

2-Low-cost inputs

3-Low overheads

### Actions

Cost inefficiencies tend to be institutionalized in mature industries, drastic intervention

## **Corporate restructuring 323**

1-Asset and cost surgery 2-Selective product and market pruning

3-Piecemeal productivity moves (adjustments to current market positions) Valero Energy Corp 323 Retailers, hotels, hospital groups, chemical firms 323 Wal\*Mart, Exxon, EMAP, Media News Group 323 British firms (sharpbender) 324

#### Segment and customer selection

Decrease in profitability. Then unattractive industries may offer **attractive niche segments** with strong growth, few competitors and potential for differentiation The more focus on mass market, more likely existence of niches Further disaggregation of markets **CRM 324** 

Target attractive customers and transform less valuable customer to more valuable Value exchange 324

Wal\*Mart, automobile, Las Vegas casinos, banks, supermarkets, credit card firms, hotels, Capital One 324

## 2-Competitive advantage in mature industries

#### **Quest for differentiation**

Commoditization narrows scope for differentiation and reduces customer's WTP a premium for differentiation Standardization does not eliminate opportunities for differentiation

Differentiation of complementary services

Tires, domestic appliances, airlines 325 Consumer goods, cola, cigarettes 325 Toys-R-Us, JC Penney, Circuit City 325 J. Sainsbury, Mothercare, Kingfisher 325 Royal Ahold 325 Target, Lowe's, TJX, Bed, Bath and Beyond 325 Zara-Inditex 325 Heens & Mauritz, Ikea 325

#### Innovation

Low technical change But mature industries are as innovative as emerging industries in terms of patents Innovation in other areas Third phase of innovation **Strategic innovation 326** 

#### **Redefining markets**

-embracing new customer groups -adding PS that perform new but related functions Experience economy 327 Reconciliation of multiple performance goals -maturity is state of mind -the firm matters, not the industry -strategic innovation is basis for competitive advantage -selection in choosing markets (limitation by R&C) -Entrepreneurial organization with freedom and learning

Honda, Toyota, Courtaulds, Benetton 327

Steel, textile, food processing, insurance, hotels, tires 325 Brassieres, fishing rods, Harley Davidson, Sony, Jehovah's witnesses in Russia, Amway Christian Fellowship in America 327 Arco, Barnes and Noble, Hard Rock Café, Planet Hollywood 327

# 2-Competitive advantage in mature industries

# Rejuvenation and Managerial and Organizational Cognition MOC

Change is hard Propensity for managers to be trapped within industry conventional thinking about KSF and business practices Industry-wide systems of beliefs **Industry recipes 327** 

**Cognitive maps 327** 

Why do some firms adapt better than others? Ability of managers to change their learning in the form of changing their mental models is critical

Contrarian thinking

Strategic revolution -reorganizing strategic management process -breaking top management monopoly over strategy formulation -bringing in younger people from further down the organization -involving those on the periphery of organization **Railroad firms 328** 

**Edward Jones 328** 

Rent-A-Car, Hertz, Avis 328

## **3-Strategy implementation in mature industries:** structure, systems, style

Reconcile operational efficiency and innovation and customer responsiveness

## Efficiency through bureaucracy

#### Machine bureaucracy 329

Standardized routines, division labor, management control, highly detailed rules and procedures

## **Beyond bureaucracy**

Bureaucracy not popular anymore

-environmental turbulence -emphasis on innovation -new process technology -alienation and conflict

-role of business managers in strategic decision processes
-shrinking corporate staff
-emphasis on customer requirement and greater flexibility
-teamwork

-profit incentive to motivate and control

However, still primary emphasis on cost efficiency Tension with turbulent environment (static efficiency requirements different from dynamic efficiency ones) Government departments, McDonalds, DaimlerChrysler, ExxonMobil, HSBC 329

GM, Chrysler, Sunbeam 330

GE, Nissan and Renault, Marks & Spencer, BP, Citigroup 331

# **4-Strategies for declining industries**

#### **Declining industry because:**

managerial commitment)

-strategies of surviving firms



General pattern of decline may hide existence of pockets of

demand comparatively resilient and price inelastic

Typewriter, railroad. Men's suits, babyware in Italy, cutlery in Sheffield, electronic vacuum tubes, cigars, leather tanning, baby food, rayon and meat processing 331.

Bakery, gold mining, long-haul bus transportation, traditional photography, steel, European gasoline retailing 332

GTE Sylvania, GE, fountain pen Mont Blanc, Cross, quality cigars 333

#### Declining industry characterized by:

-excess capacity -lack technological change -declining number rivals but some entry -high average age of resources -aggressive price competition -company failures and instability



#### Strategies:

Divest or harvest imply industry not profitable

-leadership -niche -harvest -divest

Assess industry profit potential and competitive position of firm Four questions Matrix for strategy p.334

#### Declining industries are characterized by classic features

Classically, competitive advantage built on cost advantage or differentiation were implemented through hierarchical organizations

#### But conditions of cost efficiency have changed because of dynamism of environment

#### New sources of competitive advantage: innovation and differentiation

Flexibility, exploited new technologies, employee commitment and cost efficiency (beyond bureaucracy)

#### Even in mature industries, potential for profit exists

-cost advantage -market selection -differentiation -innovation

#### Even in declining industries, potential for profit exists

Understand first the factors explaining decline and strength of competition -leadership -niche -divest -harvest

# Ch.13

# Vertical Integration and the scope of the firm

**Themes of chapter** 

**1-Introduction and goals** 

2-Scope of firm and transaction costs

**3-Costs and benefits of VI** 

•4-Designing vertical relationships

## **1-Introduction and goals**



# 2-Scope of firm and transaction costs

Firm exists because they are most efficient in organizing production that markets contracts between independent workers

Market mechanism = individuals make independent decisions that are guided and coordinated by market prices 341 Administrative mechanism = decisions over production, supply, and purchase of inputs are made by managers and imposed through hierarchies 341

"Invisible Hand" (Adam Smith) "Visible Hand" (Alfred Chandler)





# 3-Costs and benefits of VI

Vertical integration VI = firm's ownership of vertically related activities 344 Backward VI 344 Forward VI 344 Full VI 345 Partial VI 345

Which factors determine whether VI enhances performance

Media industry 343 Content and distribution 345 Liberty media, Viacom, Comcast 345 AOL Time Warner 346 Compagnie Generale des Eaux and Vivendi Universal 346 Oil and gas majors 346

# 3-Costs and benefits of VI

#### Technical economies from physical integration of processes Sources of transaction costs in vertical exchanges

Existence of **technical economies** Necessity to invest in integrated facilities Market becomes series of bilateral monopolies

Supplier-buyer relationship based on relative **bargaining** power and not on price equilibrium Mechanism based upon bargaining power is costly because mutual dependency is likely to increase opportunism and misrepresentation Existence of transaction-specific investment (once made, little value without the existence of the partner's investment). Each partner is tied to the other and opportunity to "hold up" the other

Steel and cans 346-347 Crown Holdings, Ball Corp. 347

Jewelry 347 Flour-milling 347 Pulp and paper production 346 Oil refining and petrochemical production 346 Automobile 348 Aerospace 348 Semi-conductor 348

VI allows avoids transaction costs by bringing partners into a **single administrative structure** Writing contract impossible because uncertainty about future makes contracts incomplete

# 3-Costs and benefits of VI



## **3-Costs and benefits of VI**

Federal Express 348 Ford 348 Anchor Brewing, Adnams 348 Anheuser Busch, SAB-Miller 349

> Xerox, Kodak, Philips, IBM, Accenture 349 GM 349 Wal\*mart 349 FedEx, Zara, Gucci, Wal\*Mart, Gap, Carrefour 349 Marriott Hotels 349 Whitbread, Scottish & Newcastle 349

> > Standard Oil, Disney, ABC 350 Construction industry 350 Apple, Microsoft, Dell 350 American Apparel 350 Zara 350-352 GM 353

Shell 350

Zara 353 Hennes & Mauritz 353 Gap 353 Armani 353 Donna Karan 353

# **4-Designing VI**



Characteristics Implication 354 of vertical relationship

#### **Recent trends**

Diversity of hybrid vertical relationships Long term collaboration Exploiting international cost differences Mutual dependence and vulnerability Reduction of transaction costs through internet Refocusing Outsourcing and greater potential for erosion of core competences System integrator and risk of hollow organization

Virtual corporation 357 Architectural capabilities 358 Component capabilities 358

# **4-Designing VI**

IT outsourcing 355 McDonalds, Century 21, Hilton hotels, seven-Eleven 357 Starbucks 356 IBM, EDS, Capital One 356

Oil exploration, construction, passenger rail service, local refuse collection, Toyota, Maks and Spencer 356

Silicon valley, Japanese supplier network 357 Industrial district of Northern Italy (textiles, packaging, motorcycles) 357 Commonwealth Bank of Australia, EDS Australia, pharmaceutical firms 357 Hon Hai Precision Industry Co 357 Aero engine manufacturers 358

# Ch.14

# **Global strategies and the Multinational Corporation**
# Themes of chapter

- **1-Introduction and goals**
- 2-Implication of international competition for industry analysis
- **3-Competitive advantage in international context**
- **4-Framework: international location of production**
- **5-Framework: Foreign entry strategies**
- 6-Multinational strategies: Globalization vs. National differentiation
- 7-Strategy and organization within the multinational corporation

## **1-Introduction and goals**

Globalization is reshaping competitive environment

New competitors New business opportunities Flows of international transactions

**Reasons for Globalization** 

Quest for new opportunities abroad Quest for exploit business opportunities (cost and global efficiency)

> Forms of Globalization Trade Direct Investment

L'Oreal, UBS, HSBC, McKinsey, Saatchi & Saatchi, Daewoo, Marks & Spencer 362

# 2-Implication of international competition for industry analysis



Commercial aircrafts, shipbuilding, defense equipment 364; diamond, caviar 364

Banking, consulting, hotel, frozen dinner, recorded music 364 Automobiles, consumer electronics, semi-conductors, pharmaceuticals, beer 364 GM, Chrysler, Ford 365 US auto, European motor scooter, paper, telecommunications, oil, airlines, aluminum 365

Marriott, Starbucks, Goldman Sachs 364

#### **3-Competitive advantage in international context**

#### **Fundamental model** Theory of comparative advantage 367 Industry environment Relative efficiencies of producing different KSF Firm Resources and products which translate into comparative Capabilities advantages (US and Bangladesh) Emphasis on natural resource endowments, labor supply and capital **Competitive advantage** Role of knowledge and resources to commercialize knowledge National environment Porter's National Diamond of competition **Factor conditions** Congruence between strategy and the pattern of the country's comparative advantage **Demand conditions** Related and supporting Relationship between industries organizational capabilities and national culture and social structure Strategies, structure and rivalry

#### **3-Competitive advantage in international context**

US Steel, Mittal Steel 366 IBM, Apple, Dell, HP, Lenovo, Acer 366 Deutsche Bank, Bank of Tokyo, UBS, HSBC 366

Hollywood in film production 368 Semi conductors, computers, software, chemicals, synthetic dyes, textiles, textile machinery 368

Swiss watches, Japanese cameras, world automobile, Japanese auto, cameras, consumer electronic products, office machinery 369

Audio equipment: Dussun and Skyworth, Bose, Bang & Olufsen, Sony, Matsushita 369

#### **4-Framework: international location of production**

#### WHERE?

Important reason for globalization is **access to R&C** available in other countries Production and distribution can be separated

To determine **geographical location**: 1-National resource availability 2-Firm-specific competitive advantages 3-Tradability

Location and **value-chain** Local advantages different according to stage of value chain

Analysis at each stage of value chain Off-shoring 371

**Model** to determine location of activity X 373 -Activity X considered independently -Activity X considered in connection with other activities Motorola 370

Oil, Nike, Reebok 370 Semi conductor, computer, Wal\*Mart, Toyota, Goldman Sachs, hairdressing, medicine 371

Textile, apparel, consumer electronics, Nike 371

Accel Partners, Chips, software, IT, eTelecare 372 Auto in Mexico 373 Zara, Dell 373

#### 5-Framework: Foreign entry strategies

Range of options exists to enter a foreign market; correspond to alternatives to exploiting innovations

Trade Direct Investment

Five issues for **choice mode entry** 1-Competitive advantage based on firm-specific or country-specific resources 2-Tradable product and barriers to trade 3-Does firm possess full range of R&C to establish a competitive advantage abroad 4-Can firm directly appropriate returns 5-What transaction costs (fundamental criterion to decide mode of entry)

Representation of modes of entry 374 Criterion: degree of commitment Toyota, Hyundai 374

Fuji-Xerox, Caterpillar-Mitsubishi 375

Chemicals, pharmaceuticals, software, computer, Cadbury-Schweppes, Hershey 375

Starbucks, McDonalds 375

#### 5-Framework: Foreign entry strategies

International alliances and Joint ventures

#### Goals

Multinational firm wants to access the market knowledge and distribution resources of the local firm, whereas the local firm wants to access the technology, brand and product development of the international company

Sometimes, local **regulation** obliging to have a partner

Success of international alliances or JV is mixed Disagreement, contributions and returns are source of friction

Key factors for **success**: 1-strategic intent of partners 2-appropriability of contribution 3-receptivity of company (assimilation of knowledge and experience) Gazprom, ENI, CNPC, Eon, PDVSA, MOL, Petrocanada, Sonatrach 376 GM 376 Western banks in China for credit card market 376

Computers, semi conductors, telecommunication equipment, pharmaceuticals, aerospace, energy 377

Sony-Ericsson 377 Renault-Nissan 377 HP-Canon 377 BT – AT&T 377 GM – FIAT 377 Swissair 377

Xerox – Fuji 377

#### 6-Multinational strategies: Globalization vs. National differentiation

Firms that operate on an International basis may gain competitive advantage over nationally focused firms

#### **Benefits**

1- Scale and replication (product development is the most important). Economies in replication of knowledge-based assets, including competences. Creation is expensive but replication is cheap

2-Exploiting efficiencies of national resources (labor, raw material)

3-Serving global customers

4-Learning Accessing, creating and transferring knowledge from multiple sources

5-Competing strategically Using resources of MNC to compete Cross-subsidization 379 Predatory pricing 379

#### Two assumptions:

1- Globalization of customer preferences 2-Scale economies

Corona, Adidas, McDonalds 378 Pharmaceuticals, Consumer electronics, Investment banking 378 Disney 378 Semi conductor 379 Investment banking, audit, advertising 379 Romans vs. Gauls and Goths 379 Kodak and Fuji 379 Daimler Benz Chrysler, Mitsubishi, Ford, GM 380

#### 6-Multinational strategies: Globalization vs. National differentiation

**Need for national differentiation** Global customer: myth?

# Factors encouraging **national differentiation**:

Laws and regulations
 Distribution channels
 Presence of lead countries
 National cultures
 Culture 381

#### Reconciliation of needs: Global and Differentiated Reconciling is challenge "Global Localization"

Auto 380 **Domestic appliances Electrolux, Whirlpool 380** Banking US Bancorp, Bank of China, National Bank of Kuwait, Anglo Irish Bank 380 Financial services, pharmaceuticals and health services, alcoholic beverages, telecommunications 380 Procter & Gamble 380 **Consumer electronics Japan 380 Computer hardware and software US 380** Financial services US 380 Auto technology and design Europe 380 Mobile communications South Korea 380 Wal\*Mart, Disney, Marks & Spencer 380 Funeral services, hairdressing 382 Telecommunication equipment, military hardware 383 Honda, McDonalds 383 Capital One, MBNA, UBS 384

National culture differences (Hofstede 382) McDonalds goes Glocal 383-384

#### 7-Strategy and organization within the multinational corporation

#### Inertia

Existence of organizational inertia MNC captive of its own history; change is slow, difficult and costly Structure constraints ability to build new strategic capabilities

#### Three eras

1-European Multinationals2-US Multinationals3-Japanese MultinationalsCharacteristics and traits at foundation still influence them

#### **Transnational corporation**

Shift from national subsidiaries divisions to worldwide product divisions

New approach for reconciliation: -global strategies with global product platforms -greater decentralization -centralization of R&D; creativity and innovation nurturing -new internal management (**Transnational organization 387-388 [...], Center of excellence 389**)

Unilever, Shell, ICI, Philips 385 GM, Fordd, IBM, Cocal cola, Caterpillar, Gillette, Procter & Gamble 386 Honda, Toyota, Matsushita, NEC, YKK 386 Shell, Philips, Ford, P&G, Nomura, Hitachi, NEC 386 HP 386 P&G, Philips, Unilever, Siemens, Toyota, Matsushita, Citigroup, IBM, Philips, Nexans, HSBC, Tetra Pak 388



# **Diversification strategy**

# **Ch.15 Diversification strategy**

# **Themes of chapter**

**1-Introduction and goals** 

2-Trends

**3-Motives** 

**4-Competitive advantage** 

**5-Diversification and performance** 

# **1-Introduction and goals**

#### Value

Diversification can be the best or the worst for a firm's strategy Diversification helps to survive hard times because of the diversity of industries in a firm's portfolio Specialization (Concentration) restricts operations to a single industry and condemns the firm to the fortunes of this industry

#### How

Two questions:

1-How attractive is the industry to be entered? Superior profit potential

2-Can the firm establish a competitive advantage within the new industry? Ability of firms to create competitive advantage in new industry

Attractiveness Assets frame (AA) is OK for decision

Under which conditions does operating a multi business assist a firm in gaining a competitive advantage in each?

Synergy 395

Shell, McDonalds, Caterpillar 394

RJR Nabisco, Reynolds American, ITT, Hanson, Gulf & Western, Cendant, Tyco 394

Microsoft, Nokia, PepsiCo, Cocal cola 394

# 2-Trends

#### **Diversification 1950-1980**

Multiple, unrelated acquisitions and constitution of **conglomerates** 395 No need for industry-specific knowledge; financial techniques for financial and strategic management are enough

#### ITT, Textron, Allied-Signal 395 Hanson, Slater-Walker, BTR 395

#### Refocusing 1980-2006

Divestment of Non core businesses Leveraged buyouts

Emphasis on shareholders' value, and from growth to profitability Turbulent environment increased stress, inefficiency and delay; external factor markets (especially capital market) has become increasingly efficient

In developing countries, large conglomerates dominate their national economies

Strategic management more selective about conditions for diversification: ability to share R&C more efficiently than alternative institutional arrangements and still outweigh the additional cost of exploiting them

Diversification and evolution of management thinking Fig 15.1 p398

Kohlberg, Kravis Roberts KKR, RJR Nabisco 396

Tata, Reliance (India), Charoen Pokhand (Thailand), Astra (Indonesia), Sime Darby (Malaysia), Grupo Alfa, Grupo Carso (Mexico) 397

# **3-Motives**

#### Growth

Quest for growth and profitability possible together Managers have incentives to pursue growth rather than profitability

#### **Risk reduction**

"Spreading risk" so long cash flows of businesses are imperfectly correlated

Does it create value for shareholders? Investor holds a diversified portfolio. Transaction cost to diversify through acquisition is higher than through portfolio diversification (banks, adviser costs; acquisition premium)

Capital Asset Pricing Model CAPM 399 Systematic and unsystematic risks

Studies show generally no shareholder benefit of diversification that simply combines independent businesses But may benefits employees (transferability between businesses)

May benefits lenders (coinsurance effect 400)

#### Profitability

Three tests: 1-Attractiveness test 2-Cost-of-entry test 2 Pottor off tost

3-Better-off test

3M, Canon 399 Tobacco, oil 399 Philip Morris, 7-Up, Miller, Clark, Kraft, General Foods, Exxon 399

Exxon Mobil, BP 400

Pharmaceuticals, management consulting, investment banking 401 Procter & Gamble, Gillette, Wal\*Mart 401 Allianz, Dresdner Bank 401

## **4-Competitive advantage**

#### **Economies of Scope EoSco**

Economies of scope 402, Note 412 Increasing output across several products Economies of Scale EoSca 402 Increasing output for a single product Shared service organization 402

Tangible resources Intangible resources Organizational capabilities General management capabilities 403

#### Economies from internalizing transactions

EoSco in R&C by selling or licensing use of R&C to another firm Relative efficiency determines if diversification more interesting vs. external market contracts: comparison of transaction costs and administrative costs. Depends on characteristics of R&C Cable TV, telephone 402 British Gas 402 Boeing, United technologies 402 General Electric 402 Starbucks LVMH 402 Sharp 403 3M 403

Starbucks, PepsiCo 403 Dreyers, Walt Disney 403 Airport and railroad station operators 403 Walt Disney 404 3M, Apple, Virgin 404

## 4-Competitive advantage

#### Diversified firm as an internal market

EoSco alone are not enough; they must be backed by transaction costs However, transaction costs can offer diversification efficiency gains even if there is no EoSco

1-Internal capital market Cash using and generating business portfolio Better access to information But politicized process of resource allocation

2-Internal labor market Transferring employees inside Attraction of high caliber employees Makron associates, GE, Bershire Hathaway, Hutchison Wampoa, Bouygues, Lagardere, Westfarmers, ITC, Carso 405

Canon, GE, Unilever, nestle 405

# **5-Diversification and performance**

#### Performance and diversification

No consistent and systematic relationship Curvilinear relationship between diversification and profitability because beyond a certain point, deteriorating profitability

Timing is key Association vs. causation Depends on the mode of diversification

#### Related and unrelated diversification

Related diversification more profitable than unrelated But other explanations or rival explanation [...] 407

#### Meaning of relatedness

No unambiguous criteria to determine, but depends on the firm undertaking the diversification (operational and strategic relatedness)

Determinants of strategic relatedness:

- 1-Resource allocation
- 2-Strategy formulation
- 3-Performance management and control

**Dominant logic 408** Managers' cognition of the rationale that links their businesses

Diversification and market power (Appendix 411)

ITT, Hanson, oil and tobacco firms, Daimler-Benz 406

3M, GE, LVMH 407

Berkshire Hathaway, Virgin, Allegis Corp, General Mills 408 Exxon, Vivendi, AT&T, NCR, HP, IBM, 3M, Canon, Samsung, Dupont 409

# Ch.16

# Managing the multibusiness corporation

#### **Themes of chapter**

- **1-Introduction and goals**
- 2-Structure of multibusiness company
- **3-Role of corporate management**
- 4-Managing corporate portfolio
- 5- managing individual businesses
- 6-Managing internal linkage
- 7-Leading change

# **Ch.16 Managing the multibusiness corporation** (Ctd.) 1-Introduction and goals

How should a firm be structured and managed to exploit these sources of value? Critical issue to be addressed in Ch.16.

Generally a Divisional form exists (called Multidivisional) and coordinated by corporate HQ

Roles of corporate HQ and links between the businesses and the corporate center

## 2-Structure of multibusiness company

#### **Common repartition of roles**

Corporate strategy: corporate management Business strategy: divisional management

#### Theory of M-Form (Multi-divisional)

Four key advantages:

1-Adaptation to "bounded rationality", allows decision-making to be dispersed

2-Allocation of decision-making: level according to frequency of decision types

3-Coordination costs: Minimizes because eases information and decision-making burden to top management

4-Goal conflict: avoids such conflicts between divisions

#### Contribution to resolution of two critical problems:

1-Allocation of resources

Politicization in purely hierarchical systems; internal capital market; standardized approval and appraisal

2-Agency problem

Corporate management is interface between owners and divisional managers and can enforce adherence to profit goals; agent of owners to monitor performance

Staffing advantage

Resource allocation advantage

# Problems of M-Form (Multi-divisional)

1-Constraints on decentralization Fiefdoms and divisional high power 2-Standardization of divisional management Powerful forces to standardize which could be obstacle for each division to perform well

Viacom, Alcoa, SAB Miller 416

**GE, Emerson Electric, BP 418** 

Occidental Petroleum, Hughes Corp., Enron, Tyco, Vivendi Universal 418 Exxon 419

#### **3-Role of corporate management**

Administrative and leadership Implementing corporate strategy Participating into business level strategies formulation Coordination of divisions Cohesion, identity and direction

<u>Three main activities</u> 1-Management of corporate portfolio 2-Guidance and control over businesses 3-Management of linkages between businesses

# **Ch.16 Managing the multibusiness corporation** (Ctd.) **4-Managing corporate portfolio**

#### Corporate strategy: composition and balance of portfolio

- 1-Extension
- 2-Deletion
- 3-Change in balance (resource allocation)

#### Innovations:

# 1-Portfolio planning models 420 (two-dimension) 2-SBU 420 3-PIMS database 420

#### **GE/McKinsey Matrix**

-allocation of resources -formulation of SBU strategy -analysis of portfolio balance -performance target setting

Detail of the two dimensions

#### **BCG Matrix**

Very simple Detail of the two dimensions Easy and fast; allows sifting huge amount of information; versatile; useful point of departure But weaknesses



#### Time Warner 422 BMW, Disney 423

## **Ch.16 Managing the multibusiness corporation** (Ctd.) **4-Managing corporate portfolio**

#### **Restructuring pentagon (Mc Kinsey)**

Whether the market value of firm is greater with a particular business or without it? Systematic framework to increase market value of multi-business companies through a five-step sequence:



Oil majors 425

#### **5-Managing individual businesses**

# Standalone influence = corporate parent influence on businesses through a range of means [...] 425

Two primary means: 1-Input control (decisions) 2-Output (performance target) Unavoidable trade-off between the two GE, Exxon, Samsung, Unilever 426 Microsoft, Boeing, Textron 426 Capsule Exxon 427-428

#### Strategic planning system

Distinction CL-S and BL-S more complex BL-S formulated jointly by corporate and divisional managers

Need to create a strategy-making process that reconciles the decentralized decision making to fostering flexibility and responsiveness and sense of ownership at divisional level with ability of corporate level to bring knowledge, vision and responsibility

Strategic planning systems do not make strategy Weak strategy execution (Milestone 426) Balance scorecard Strategy maps Office of management strategy

#### **5-Managing individual businesses**

#### Performance and budgeting systems

Performance targets (financial, strategic, operational)

Incentives Corporate culture Linking personal incentives to company performance goals not so easy (weaknesses)

Strategic planning 430 Strategic control 430

#### Using PIMS database

Developed by GE and SRI 5,000 SBU used to estimate impact of strategy and market structure on business-level profitability 1-Setting performance target 2-Formulate business strategy 3-Allocate resources between businesses

ITT, PepsiCo, BP 429 BP, BOC, Cadburry Schweppes, Lex Group, STC, United Biscuits 430 Hanson, BTR, GE, Ferranti, Tarmac 431

# 6-Managing internal linkages

#### Common corporate services

Strategic planningFinancial controlLittlCash and risk managementLittlAuditto gTaxationGovernment relationsShareholder relationsShareholder relationsResearchEngineeringHRMLegal servicesManagement developmentAdministrative service subject to EoSca or learning

Little incentive to HQ to satisfy needs of divisions, but tendency to grow under their own momentum

#### AB 433

Koor Industries, Berkshire Hathaway 433 Tomkins, Tyco, Textron 433 Carlyle, KKR, Blackstone, Texas Pacific, Alchemy, Candover 434 LVMH, Sharp 433 IBM, Procter & Gamble, American Express, Alcoa 433 Berkshire Hathaway, HP, Pfzizer, Corning, Dow, Virgin, GE, paper companies, financial services 435

#### **Corporate Management Unit**

Support of core Management team for key support activities

# Shared Services Organization

Common services

# 6-Managing internal linkages

# Management of linkages between businesses: four types

1-Portfolio management Autonomous businesses linked only by efficient internal capital market Holding 433

2-Restructuring Acquiring poorly managed businesses, appoint new management, dispose underperforming businesses, restructure liabilities, cut costs

3-Transferring skills Sharing skills, personnel, and best practices

4- Sharing activities

#### EoSco

Coordinating role of corporate management Vehicles for cross business cooperation: corporate identity, mission that integrates business level strategies, incentive for cooperation, inter-business task-forces



Value added corporate parenting 435 Cross-divisional task forces 435 Dominant logic 435 is key (how do top management understands the commonalities between businesses

Exploiting links implies costs

# 7-Leading change

#### Management of multi-business corporation

Shift to value creation, to decentralization, informal coordination, more informal role for HQ (service center, guide for future, knowledge hub)

Change is about involving lower levels of organization

General Electric Capsule 436-437 1-Delayering 2-Changing strategic planning system 3-Role of HQ 4-Role of coordination of corporate

#### Management of contradictions and dilemmas

1-Efficiency but innovation and entrepreneurial spirit
2-Exploit existing and develop new
3-Autonomy and integration
Multiple roles simultaneously
Decentralized flexibility and initiative AND
centralized purpose and integration
Flexible integration necessary
Strategic inflexion point 438

Beyond strategic and operational relatedness, toward a cultural glue

Differentiation and Integration Three central management processes: **1-Entrepreneurial process** 439 **2-Integration process** 439 **3-Renewal process** 439 At three levels of firm: corporate, middle, SBU

Intel, Microsoft, Siemens, Samsung, IBM, McDonalds, De Beers, LVMH 438 ITT, Allegis 440

# Ch.17

# Current trends in strategic management

# **Ch.17 Current trends in strategic management**

# **Themes of chapter**

**1-Introduction** 

**2-External environment** 

**3-Strategic thinking** 

**4-Redesigning organization** 

**5-Leadership** 

# Ch.17 Current trends in strategic management (Ctd.)

# **1-Introduction**

What happened?



Specific strategy responses from firms are required

New thinking about nature of strategies, responsibilities of firms and role of management

# Ch.17 Current trends in strategic management (Ctd.)

# **2-External environment**

1 <sup>st</sup> Revolution Mechanization of production UK	2nd Revolution Modern corporation Utilities US	3rd Revolution Knowledge and new economy Digital and media Worldwide
End 18th	End 19th	End 20th
Kazaa, Skype VoIP, Sony, Microso Telecom operators, internet provi Nintendo, Apple 446 GE, Exxon, Home Depot 446 Wal*Mart, News, Walt Disney, Mar Blackstone Group, KKR, HCA, Eq Aligent, Freescale semiconductor Royal Ahold, Vivendi 447 Gap, Texas Pacific Group 448	oft 446 ider, cable TV, Nokia, RIM, rks & Spencer, IBM 447 juity Office Properties, Philips, r, Enron, Worldcom, Parmalat, Economic and social fit of strategy; social legitimacy 416 Corporate scandals Executive compensation Environmental concerns	Economics of replication, network effects and complementarities between types of knowledge create increasing returns Digitally driven knowledge; internet "Casino of technology" Intensification of competition
		Societal pressures
	Mergers Reversion to private statu <del>s →</del> (often because buy out by private equity firm)	Decline of public corporation

# Ch.17 Current trends in strategic management (Ctd.)

# **3-Strategic thinking**

#### What happened?

Gains from cost cutting and restructuring have been picked Quest for shareholder value had negative consequences (short-termism)

#### Back-to-basics Refocus on fundamental sources of profitability

Skepticism about New economy and new business models Profitability from deploying R&C to exploit external opportunities Unique and customizes strategy that exploit idiosyncratic advantages

Strategic fit

Complementarity among different management practices of a firm Retreat from generalization and rules in favor of particularism Management choices tend to converge to a limited number of configurations

> Lafarge, Holcim, Cemex, Heidelberg, Alcoa, Rusal, Alcan, Norsk Hydro, Pechiney 448

Accessing more complex and difficult-to-reach sources of competitive advantage
# Ch.17 Current trends in strategic management (Ctd.)

# **3-Strategic thinking**

#### Cisco 451

Yahoo, Intel, GE, BP Disney 452 Consumer electronics, packaging, investment banking, Scottish island, North Sea oilfield, petrochemical plant, consumer goods 452

# Accessing more complex and difficult-to-reach sources of competitive advantage

Only sustainable competitive advantage is ability to create new sources of competitive advantage **Dynamic capabilities** 449

#### Quest for a new model of corporation

From mechanistic equilibrium To Change, uncertainty, evolutionary model Longevity and financial conservatism and sensitivity to external environment and cohesion

Learning organization 450

#### **Complexity theory**

**Complex systems** 450

Unpredictability; self-organization; Inertia and chaos

#### Fitness landscape 451

Challenge for managers is to design organizational systems that allow self-organization the best chance of highest performance

#### Recommendations

Simple rules, conditions for incremental and radical change, accelerate evolution through flexible organizational structure, adaptive tension to position at edge of chaos **Boundary rules** 451, **How-to rules, Priority rules, patching** 452

### Real options

Valuation of real option values Initially individual investment projects Analysis relies heavily upon cash flow More volatility and unpredictability mean greater importance of option values Industry attractiveness depends on option value Attractive resource is one that offers opportunities for development

# Ch.17 Current trends in strategic management (Ctd.)

## **4-Redesigning organization**

Higher performance with broader repertoire of capabilities Managing dilemmas: how to reconcile these conflicts

**Capability-based structure** Outstanding capabilities and then coordination

**Beyond unitary structure Exploration vs. ExplorationParallel learning structuresCommunities of practice**

<u>Team, Project, Process-based structures</u> Flexible We know little about dynamics of team interaction

> 3M, GE, Royal Dutch Shell 455 HP, World bank 456 Construction firms, consulting firms, Oticon A/S, Volvo 456 GE, IBM, Microsoft 457 Italian clothing, Italian motorcycle, Aprilia, Italjet, Ducati, Cisco Systems 458 Auto, Fashion clothing, Aerospace, Machine tools, Telecom equipment 458

**Organizing for adaptability** Simple structure to allow individuals to self-organize Ambidextrous organization 457

Identity 457 Modularity Networks

## Ch.17 Current trends in strategic management (Ctd.)

# **5-Leadership**

Change-masters Highly visible, individualistic, hard-driven management styles

Strategic decision makers, direction of firm

More creation and maintenance of organizational environment rather than decision making per se

Clarify and communicate identity

Role of values and purpose: CEO leader of culture, climate, identity and processes for clarifying vision, aligning...

**Emotional intelligence** 459 Self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, social skills

**Social intelligence** 460 Level 5 leadership [6...]

> Chrysler, BP, Disney, News Corp. 458 BP 459 Philip Morris, Nucor, Kimberly-Clark 460 AES, Sun Microsystems, Kao Corp, Yahoo, Oticon 461