# **Chapter 8**

#### **Hypothesis Testing with Two Samples**

*Larson/Farber 4th ed* 1

## **Chapter Outline**

- 8.1 Testing the Difference Between Means (Large Independent Samples)
- 8.2 Testing the Difference Between Means (Small Independent Samples)
- 8.3 Testing the Difference Between Means (Dependent Samples)
- 8.4 Testing the Difference Between Proportions

# **Section 8.1**

#### **Testing the Difference Between Means (Large Independent Samples)**

## **Section 8.1 Objectives**

- Determine whether two samples are independent or dependent
- Perform a two-sample *z*-test for the difference between two means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  using large independent samples

## **Two Sample Hypothesis Test**

- Compares two parameters from two populations.
- Sampling methods:
	- **Independent Samples**
		- The sample selected from one population is not related to the sample selected from the second population.
	- **Dependent Samples** (paired or matched samples)
		- Each member of one sample corresponds to a member of the other sample.

#### **Independent and Dependent Samples**

#### **Independent Samples**





**Dependent Samples**



## **Example: Independent and Dependent Samples**

- Classify the pair of samples as independent or dependent.
- •Sample 1: Resting heart rates of 35 individuals before drinking coffee.
- •Sample 2: Resting heart rates of the same individual after drinking two cups of coffee.

#### **Solution:**

**Dependent Samples** (The samples can be paired with respect to each individual)

## **Example: Independent and Dependent Samples**

- Classify the pair of samples as independent or dependent.
- •Sample 1: Test scores for 35 statistics students.
- •Sample 2: Test scores for 42 biology students who do not study statistics.

#### **Solution:**

**Independent Samples** (Not possible to form a pairing between the members of the samples; the sample sizes are different, and the data represent scores for different individuals.)

## **Two Sample Hypothesis Test with Independent Samples**

#### **1. Null hypothesis**  $H_0$

- A statistical hypothesis that usually states there is no difference between the parameters of two populations.
- $\blacksquare$  Always contains the symbol =.
- **2. Alternative hypothesis** *H* **a**
	- **•** A statistical hypothesis that is supported when  $H_0$ is rejected.
	- **•** Always contains the symbol  $\geq$ ,  $\neq$ , or  $\leq$ .

#### **Two Sample Hypothesis Test with Independent Samples**

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nH_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 & H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 & H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 \\
H_a: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2 & H_a: \mu_1 > \mu_2 & H_a: \mu_1 < \mu_2\n\end{array}
$$

Regardless of which hypotheses you use, you always assume there is no difference between the population means, or  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

- Three conditions are necessary to perform a *z-*test for the difference between two population means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ .
- 1.The samples must be randomly selected.
- 2.The samples must be independent.
- 3.Each sample size must be at least 30, or, if not, each population must have a normal distribution with a known standard deviation.

If these requirements are met, the sampling distribution for  $\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2$  (the difference of the sample means) is a normal distribution with

Mean: 
$$
\mu_{\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2} = \mu_{\overline{x}_1} - \mu_{\overline{x}_2} = \mu_1 - \mu_2
$$
  
\nStandard error:  $\sigma_{\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2} = \sqrt{\sigma_{\overline{x}_1}^2 + \sigma_{\overline{x}_2}^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}$   
\nSampling distribution  
\nfor  $\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2$ :

 $\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2$ 

 $\sigma_{\overline{x_{i}}-\overline{x_{i}}}^{1}$ 

 $-\sigma_{\overline{x}_1-\overline{x}_2}^{\perp}$   $\mu_1-\mu_2^{\perp}$ 

- **Test statistic** is  $\overline{x}_1 \overline{x}_2$
- The **standardized test statistic** is

$$
z = \frac{(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sigma_{\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2}} \quad where \quad \sigma_{\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}
$$

• When the samples are large, you can use  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  in place of  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ . If the samples are not large, you can still use a two-sample z-test, provided the populations are normally distributed and the population standard deviations are known.

## **Using a Two-Sample** *z***-Test for the Difference Between Means (Large Independent Samples)**

#### *In Words In Symbols*

- 1. State the claim mathematically. Identify the null and alternative hypotheses.
- 2. Specify the level of significance.
- 3. Sketch the sampling distribution.
- 4. Determine the critical value(s).
- 5. Determine the rejection region(s).

Use Table 4 in Appendix B.

State  $H_0$  and  $H_a$ .

Identify *α*.

## **Using a Two-Sample** *z***-Test for the Difference Between Means (Large Independent Samples)**

#### *In Words In Symbols*

- 6. Find the standardized test statistic.
- 7. Make a decision to reject or fail to reject the null hypothesis.
- 8. Interpret the decision in the context of the original claim.

$$
z = \frac{(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sigma_{\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2}}
$$

If *z* is in the rejection region,  $\text{reject } H_0$ . Otherwise, fail to reject  $H_0$ .

## **Example: Two-Sample** *z***-Test for the Difference Between Means**

A consumer education organization claims that there is a difference in the mean credit card debt of males and females in the United States. The results of a random survey of 200 individuals from each group are shown below. The two samples are independent. Do the results support the organization's claim? Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .



- **•**  $H_0$ **:**  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$
- **•**  $H_a$ **:**  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$
- **•**  $\alpha = 0.05$
- $n_1 = 200$ ,  $n_2 = 200$
- **• Rejection Region:**



- **• Test Statistic:**   $\frac{(2290-2370)-0}{2} = -1.03$  $\frac{750^2}{200} + \frac{800^2}{200}$
- **Decision:** Fail to Reject  $H_0$ At the 5% level of significance, there is not enough evidence to support the organization's claim that there is a difference in the mean credit card debt of males and females.

### **Example: Using Technology to Perform a Two-Sample** *z***-Test**

The American Automobile Association claims that the average daily cost for meals and lodging for vacationing in Texas is less than the same average costs for vacationing in Virginia. The table shows the results of a random survey of vacationers in each state. The two samples are independent. At  $\alpha$  = 0.01, is there enough evidence to support the claim?



## **Solution: Using Technology to Perform a Two-Sample** *z***-Test**

**•**  $H_0$ **:**  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ **•**  $H_a$ **:**  $\mu_1 < \mu_2$ 

TI-83/84set up:<br>2-SampZTest<br>| Inpt:Data **Biene** KIDA 2142  $\sim$ Draw culate

Calculate:







## **Solution: Using Technology to Perform a Two-Sample** *z***-Test**



• **Decision:** Fail to Reject  $H_0$ At the 1% level of significance, there is not enough evidence to support the American Automobile Association's claim.

## **Section 8.1 Summary**

- Determined whether two samples are independent or dependent
- Performed a two-sample *z*-test for the difference between two means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  using large independent samples

# **Section 8.2**

#### **Testing the Difference Between Means (Small Independent Samples)**

## **Section 8.2 Objectives**

• Perform a *t*-test for the difference between two means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  using small independent samples

- If samples of size less than 30 are taken from normally-distributed populations, a *t*-test may be used to test the difference between the population means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ .
- Three conditions are necessary to use a *t*-test for small independent samples.
	- 1. The samples must be randomly selected.
	- 2. The samples must be independent.
	- 3. Each population must have a normal distribution.

• The **standardized test statistic** is

$$
t = \frac{(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sigma_{\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2}}
$$

• The standard error and the degrees of freedom of the sampling distribution depend on whether the population variances  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  are equal.

- **• Variances are equal**
	- Information from the two samples is combined to calculate a **pooled estimate of the standard deviation**  $\hat{\sigma}$ .

$$
\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}
$$

▪ The standard error for the sampling distribution of

$$
\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2 \text{ is}
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_{\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2} = \hat{\sigma} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}
$$
\n
$$
\text{d.f.} = n_1 + n_2 - 2
$$

- **• Variances are not equal**
	- If the population variances are not equal, then the standard error is

$$
\sigma_{\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2} = \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}
$$
  
• d.f = smaller of  $n_1 - 1$  or  $n_2 - 1$ 

## **Normal or** *t***-Distribution?**



## **Two-Sample** *t***-Test for the Difference Between Means (Small Independent Samples)**

#### *In Words In Symbols*

- State the claim mathematically. Identify the null and alternative hypotheses.
- 2. Specify the level of significance.
- 3. Identify the degrees of freedom and sketch the sampling distribution.
- 4. Determine the critical value(s).

State  $H_0$  and  $H_a$ .

Identify *α*.

d.f. =  $n_1 + n_2 - 2$  or  $d.f. = smaller of$  $n_1 - 1$  or  $n_2 - 1$ .

Use Table 5 in Appendix B.

## **Two-Sample** *t***-Test for the Difference Between Means (Small Independent Samples)**

#### *In Words In Symbols*

- 5. Determine the rejection region(s).
- 6. Find the standardized test statistic.
- 7. Make a decision to reject or fail to reject the null hypothesis.
- 8. Interpret the decision in the context of the original claim.

$$
t=\frac{(\overline{x}_1-\overline{x}_2)-(\mu_1-\mu_2)}{\sigma_{\overline{x}_1-\overline{x}_2}}
$$

If *t* is in the rejection region, reject *H*<sub>0</sub>. Otherwise, fail to reject  $H_0$ .

#### **Example: Two-Sample** *t***-Test for the Difference Between Means**

The braking distances of 8 Volkswagen GTIs and 10 Ford Focuses were tested when traveling at 60 miles per hour on dry pavement. The results are shown below. Can you conclude that there is a difference in the mean braking distances of the two types of cars? Use  $\alpha = 0.01$ . Assume the populations are normally distributed and the population variances are not equal. *(Adapted from Consumer Reports)*



- **•**  $H_0$ **:**  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$
- **•**  $H_a$ **:**  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$
- **•**  $\alpha = 0.01$
- **• d.f.** =  $8 1 = 7$
- **• Rejection Region:**



**• Test Statistic:** 

$$
t = \frac{(134 - 143) - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{6.9^{2}}{8} + \frac{2.6^{2}}{10}}} = -3.496
$$

• **Decision:** Fail to Reject  $H_0$ At the 1% level of significance, there is not enough evidence to conclude that the mean braking distances of the cars are different.

## **Example: Two-Sample** *t***-Test for the Difference Between Means**

A manufacturer claims that the calling range (in feet) of its 2.4-GHz cordless telephone is greater than that of its leading competitor. You perform a study using 14 randomly selected phones from the manufacturer and 16 randomly selected similar phones from its competitor. The results are shown below. At  $\alpha = 0.05$ , can you support the manufacturer's claim? Assume the populations are normally distributed and the population variances are equal.



*Larson/Farber 4th ed* 33

- **•**  $H_0$ **:**  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ **• Test Statistic:**
- **•**  $H_a$ **:**  $\mu_1 > \mu_2$
- **•**  $\alpha = 0.05$
- **• d.f.** =  $14 + 16 2 = 28$
- **• Rejection Region:**

**• Decision:**



$$
\sigma_{\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2} = \sqrt{\frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}
$$
  
=  $\sqrt{\frac{(14 - 1)(45)^2 + (16 - 1)(30)^2}{14 + 16 - 2}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{14} + \frac{1}{16}} \approx 13.8018$   

$$
t = \frac{(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sigma_{\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2}} = \frac{(1275 - 1250) \cancel{0}}{13.8018} \approx 1.811
$$

- **•**  $H_0$ **:**  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$
- **•**  $H_a$ **:**  $\mu_1 > \mu_2$
- **•**  $\alpha = 0.05$
- **• d.f.** =  $14 + 16 2 = 28$
- **• Rejection Region:**



- **• Test Statistic:** 
	- $t = 1.811$

• **Decision: Reject** *H***<sub>0</sub><sup>***l***</sup>** At the 5% level of significance, there is enough evidence to support the manufacturer's claim that its phone has a greater calling range than its competitors.

#### **Section 8.2 Summary**

• Performed a *t*-test for the difference between two means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  using small independent samples

# **Section 8.3**

#### **Testing the Difference Between Means (Dependent Samples)**

## **Section 8.3 Objectives**

• Perform a *t*-test to test the mean of the difference for a population of paired data

#### *t***-Test for the Difference Between Means**

• To perform a two-sample hypothesis test with dependent samples, the difference between each data pair is first found:

▪ $d = x_1 - x_2$  Difference between entries for a data pair

- The test statistic is the mean  $\overline{d}$  of these differences.
	- $\overline{d}$   $\overline{d}$   $\overline{d}$  Mean of the differences between paired data entries in the dependent samples

#### *t***-Test for the Difference Between Means**

Three conditions are required to conduct the test.

- 1. The samples must be randomly selected.
- 2. The samples must be dependent (paired).
- 3. Both populations must be normally distributed.
- If these conditions are met, then the sampling distribution for is approximated by a *t*-distribution with  $n-1$  degrees of freedom, where *n* is the number of data pairs.



# **Symbols used for the** *t***-Test for**  $μ_d$



# **Symbols used for the** *t***-Test for**  $μ_d$



#### *t***-Test for the Difference Between Means**

• The **test statistic** is

$$
\overline{d} = \frac{\sum d}{n}
$$

• The **standardized test statistic** is

$$
t = \frac{\overline{d} - \mu_d}{s_d / \sqrt{n}}
$$

• The degrees of freedom are  $d.f. = n - 1$ 

## *t***-Test for the Difference Between Means (Dependent Samples)**

#### *In Words In Symbols*

- 1. State the claim mathematically. Identify the null and alternative hypotheses.
- 2. Specify the level of significance.
- 3. Identify the degrees of freedom and sketch the sampling distribution.
- 4. Determine the critical value(s).

Use Table 5 in Appendix B if  $n > 29$ use the last row (∞) . *Larson/Farber 4th ed* 45

State  $H_0$  and  $H_a$ .

Identify *α*.

d.f. =  $n - 1$ 

## *t***-Test for the Difference Between Means (Dependent Samples)**

#### *In Words In Symbols*

- 5. Determine the rejection region(s).
- 6. Calculate  $\overline{d}$  and  $S_d$ . Use a table.

$$
\overline{d} = \frac{\sum d}{n}
$$

$$
s_d = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (d - \overline{d})^2}{n - 1}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum d^2 - \frac{(\sum d)^2}{n}}{n - 1}}
$$

7. Find the standardized test statistic.

$$
t = \frac{\overline{d} - \mu_d}{s_d / \sqrt{n}}
$$

## *t***-Test for the Difference Between Means (Dependent Samples)**

#### *In Words In Symbols*

- 8. Make a decision to reject or fail to reject the null hypothesis.
- 9. Interpret the decision in the context of the original claim.

If *t* is in the rejection region, reject *H*<sub>0</sub>. Otherwise, fail to reject  $H_0$ .

A golf club manufacturer claims that golfers can lower their scores by using the manufacturer's newly designed golf clubs. Eight golfers are randomly selected, and each is asked to give his or her most recent score. After using the new clubs for one month, the golfers are again asked to give their most recent score. The scores for each golfer are shown in the table. Assuming the golf scores are normally distributed, is there enough evidence to support the manufacturer's claim at  $\alpha = 0.10$ ?



*Larson/Farber 4th ed*  $48$ 

 $d =$  (old score) – (new score)

- **•**  $H_0: \mu_d \leq 0$
- $H_a$ **:**  $\mu_d > 0$
- **•**  $\alpha = 0.10$
- **• d.f.** =  $8 1 = 7$
- **• Rejection Region:**



**• Test Statistic:** 

**• Decision:**



$$
d = (old score) - (new score)
$$

$$
\bar{d} = \frac{\sum d}{n} = \frac{13}{8} = 1.625
$$

$$
s_d = \sqrt{\frac{\sum d^2 - \frac{(\sum d)^2}{n}}{n-1}}
$$

$$
= \sqrt{\frac{87 - \frac{(13)^2}{8}}{8 - 1}}
$$

$$
\approx 3.0677
$$

Г

*Larson/Farber 4th ed* 50  $\sim$  50  $\sim$ 

 $d =$  (old score) – (new score)

- **•**  $H_0: \mu_d \leq 0$
- $H_a$ **:**  $\mu_d > 0$
- **•**  $\alpha = 0.10$
- **• d.f.** =  $8 1 = 7$
- **• Rejection Region:**



- **• Test Statistic:**   $t = {\overline{d} - \mu_d \over s_d/\sqrt{n}} \approx {1.625 - 0 \over 3.0677/\sqrt{8}} \approx 1.498$
- **Decision: Reject** *H***<sub>0</sub></del>** At the 10% level of significance, the results of this test indicate that after the golfers used the new clubs, their scores were significantly lower.

## **Section 8.3 Summary**

• Performed a *t*-test to test the mean of the difference for a population of paired data

# **Section 8.4**

#### **Testing the Difference Between Proportions**

## **Section 8.4 Objectives**

• Perform a z-test for the difference between two population proportions  $p_{_1}$  and  $p_{_2}^{}$ 

#### **Two-Sample** *z***-Test for Proportions**

- Used to test the difference between two population proportions,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ .
- Three conditions are required to conduct the test.
	- 1. The samples must be randomly selected.
	- 2. The samples must be independent.
	- 3. The samples must be large enough to use a normal sampling distribution. That is,  $n_1 p_1 \geq 5$ ,  $n_1 q_1 \geq 5$ ,  $n_2 p_2 \geq 5$ , and  $n_2 q_2 \geq 5$ .

- If these conditions are met, then the sampling distribution for  $\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2$  is a normal distribution
- Mean:  $\mu_{\hat{p}_1 \hat{p}_2} = p_1 p_2$
- A weighted estimate of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  can be found by using  $\overline{p} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2}$ , where  $x_1 = n_1 \hat{p}_1$  and  $x_2 = n_2 \hat{p}_2$
- Standard error:

$$
\sigma_{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2} = \sqrt{\overline{pq} \left( \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}
$$

- The **test statistic** is  $\hat{p}_1 \hat{p}_2$
- The **standardized test statistic** is

$$
z = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\overline{pq}\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}}
$$

where

$$
\overline{p} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2} \text{ and } \overline{q} = 1 - \overline{p}
$$

Note:  $n_1\overline{p}$ ,  $n_1\overline{q}$ ,  $n_2\overline{p}$ , and  $n_2\overline{q}$  must be at least 5.

#### *In Words In Symbols*

- 1. State the claim. Identify the null and alternative hypotheses.
- 2. Specify the level of significance.
- 3. Determine the critical value(s).
- 4. Determine the rejection region(s).
- 5. Find the weighted estimate of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ .

State  $H_0$  and  $H_a$ .

Identify *α*.

Use Table 4 in Appendix B.

 $\overline{p} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2}$ 

#### *In Words In Symbols*

6. Find the standardized test statistic.

$$
z = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\overline{pq} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}}
$$

- 7. Make a decision to reject or fail to reject the null hypothesis.
- 8. Interpret the decision in the context of the original claim.

If *z* is in the rejection region, reject  $H_0$ . Otherwise, fail to reject  $H_0$ .

In a study of 200 randomly selected adult female and 250 randomly selected adult male Internet users, 30% of the females and 38% of the males said that they plan to shop online at least once during the next month. At  $\alpha$  = 0.10 test the claim that there is a difference between the proportion of female and the proportion of male Internet users who plan to shop online.

#### **Solution:**

 $1 =$ Females  $2 =$ Males

- $H_0: p_1 = p_2$
- $H_a: p_1 \neq p_2$
- **•**  $\alpha = 0.10$
- $n_1 = 200$ ,  $n_2 = 250$
- **• Rejection Region:**



**• Test Statistic:** 

**• Decision:**

$$
x_1 = n_1 \hat{p}_1 = 60 \qquad x_2 = n_2 \hat{p}_2 = 95
$$

$$
\overline{p} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{60 + 95}{200 + 250} \approx 0.3444
$$

$$
\overline{q} = 1 - \overline{p} = 1 - 0.3444 = 0.6556
$$

#### Note:

 $n_1\overline{p} = 200(0.3444) \ge 5$   $n_1\overline{q} = 200(0.6556) \ge 5$  $n_2\overline{p} = 250(0.3444) \ge 5$   $n_2\overline{q} = 250(0.6556) \ge 5$ 

$$
z = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\overline{pq} \cdot (\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}} \approx \frac{(0.30 - 0.38) - (0)}{\sqrt{(0.3444) \cdot (0.6556) \cdot (\frac{1}{200} + \frac{1}{250})}}
$$
  
~  $\approx -1.77$ 

- $H_0: p_1 = p_2$
- $H_a: p_1 \neq p_2$
- **•**  $\alpha = 0.10$
- $n_1 = 200$ ,  $n_2 = 250$
- **• Rejection Region:**



**• Test Statistic:** 

 $z = -1.77$ 

• **Decision: Reject** *H***<sub>0</sub>** At the 10% level of significance, there is enough evidence to conclude that there is a difference between the proportion of female and the proportion of male Internet users who plan to shop online.

A medical research team conducted a study to test the effect of a cholesterol reducing medication. At the end of the study, the researchers found that of the 4700 randomly selected subjects who took the medication, 301 died of heart disease. Of the 4300 randomly selected subjects who took a placebo, 357 died of heart disease. At  $\alpha$  = 0.01 can you conclude that the death rate due to heart disease is lower for those who took the medication than for those who took the placebo? *(Adapted from New England Journal of Medicine)*

#### **Solution:**

 $1 =$ Medication  $2 =$ Placebo

- $H_0: p_1 \geq p_2$
- $H_a: p_1 < p_2$
- **•**  $\alpha = 0.01$
- $n_1 = 4700$ ,  $n_2 = 4300$
- **• Rejection Region:**



**• Decision:**

**• Test Statistic:** 

$$
\hat{p}_1 = \frac{x_1}{n_1} = \frac{301}{4700} = 0.064 \qquad \hat{p}_2 = \frac{x_2}{n_2} = \frac{357}{4300} = 0.083
$$

$$
\overline{p} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{301 + 357}{4700 + 4300} \approx 0.0731
$$

$$
\overline{q} = 1 - \overline{p} = 1 - 0.0731 = 0.9269
$$

Note:

 $n_1\overline{p} = 4700(0.0731) \ge 5$   $n_1\overline{q} = 4700(0.9269) \ge 5$  $n_2\overline{p} = 4300(0.0731) \ge 5$   $n_2\overline{q} = 4300(0.9269) \ge 5$ 

$$
z = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\overline{pq} \cdot (\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}} \approx \frac{(0.064 - 0.083) - (0)}{\sqrt{(0.0731) \cdot (0.9269) \cdot (\frac{1}{4700} + \frac{1}{4300})}}
$$
  
 
$$
\approx -3.46
$$

- $H_0: p_1 \geq p_2$
- $H_a: p_1 < p_2$
- **•**  $\alpha = 0.01$
- $n_1 = 4700$ ,  $n_2 = 4300$
- **• Rejection Region:**



**• Test Statistic:** 

 $z = -3.46$ 

• **Decision: Reject** *H***<sub>0</sub><sup>** $\alpha$ **</sup>** At the 1% level of significance, there is enough evidence to conclude that the death rate due to heart disease is lower for those who took the medication than for those who took the placebo.

## **Section 8.4 Summary**

• Performed a *z*-test for the difference between two population proportions  $p_{_1}$  and  $p_{_2}^{}$