Quality Assurance and Quality Control



Presented: James Dendle

Date: 29/11/2011 Location: Park Plaza

© SRK Consulting (UK) Ltd 2011. All rights reserved.



Content and Structure

Content:

Definitions and Principles – why implement QAQC? Types of QAQC:

Drilling

Survey (downhole, collars)

Geological logging (structural data collection)

- Density analysis
- Sample preparation
- Laboratory analysis
- Database and sample management

What QAQC data to we deal with?

SRK QAQC analysis

What is required?

How is the analysis done?

Auditing labs and preparation facilities – what to look for Summary

QAQC covers all data capture from drillhole collars to sample analysis to database management

Definitions and Principles – why implement QAQC?

Typically over looked - QAQC should be a **continual process** and not something that is done because SRK requires QAQC to support Mineral Resource estimates.



- Poor Quality Data=Poor MRE
- QAQC is a fundamental preliminary stage
- Is the Data Quality fit for purpose?
- Survey/topographic data Quality?
- Sampling Methodology appropriate and unbiased?
- Drilling recovery?
- Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QAQC) procedures and results appropriate?
- Sample preparation appropriate?
- Sample Analysis by reputable/accredited laboratory?
- Analysis Precision/accuracy/repeatability?
- Independent Verification?
- Sample Security?
- Has data been collected following Industry standards and best practices with Quality Assurance in place, i.e. documented protocols

Data Quality: Examples of Common Issues



- Several phases of drilling and sampling using different techniques with different Quality
- Lack of Quality Control information
- Core has been lost/disposed or bad condition so no re-sampling or re-logging can be done
- Coarse Rejects and pulps not retained
- Missing Core logs (multiple reasons)
- Assays missing, incomplete or suspicious
- Missing Collar and survey information
- Co-ordinate system problems: Soviet/Local/UTM
- Core Recovery not recorded or too low
- Inappropriate orebody intersection angles and lack of orientated core
- Compatibility of mixed and old and new data
- Limited SG/Density Data
- Lack of Twin drilling of different drilling methods

CP must ensure QAQC Protocols are in place and adequate CP must decide if the data meets JORC/CRIRSCO Data Quality Standards Definitions and Principles – why implement QAQC?

Data Quality: <u>Quality Assurance</u>/Quality Control (QAQC)

- Ensuring good design, protocols and procedures prior to data collection to ensure "correctness" of sampling
 - A sample is correct when each particle is given equal opportunity of being accepted
 - Sampling "correctness" is hard (if not impossible) to verify experimentally
- Planning and defining activities
- Eliminating of known or predictable causes of poor quality data

Data Quality: Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QAQC)

- Monitoring of quality of data collected including:
 - Drilling/sample recoveries
 - Correct splitting of samples
 - Weighing/measurement calibration checks
 - Sample preparation hygiene/contamination (blanks)
 - Analytical ACCURACY (Standards/CRM's/External lab checks)
 - PRECISION associated with sampling stage (duplicates: ¼ core, pulps, coarse rejects)

SRK has not assigned Mineral Resource classification some project based on poor QAQC

"Poor Data in, Poor Estimates Out.....put politely"

Definitions and Principles – why implement QAQC?

Precision: the ability of a measurement to be consistently reproduced

Accuracy: the <u>degree of closeness</u> of measurements of a quantity to that quantity's <u>actual (true) value</u>



Precision:

Things to look out for

Sample recovery:

- •ls a representative sample being recovered?
- •Does the sample accurately represent the downhole position?

Spatial location:

- •Is the collar correctly located?
- •Is the down hole survey reliable?

Geometry

•Is core orientation being accurately captured?

Method:

Has an appropriate drilling method been used? Does the quality of the drilling vary between exploration programs, rigs or location?

Drilling Sample Recovery









Important to understand the drilling method and the physical properties of the material

Sample Recovery

RIG	-	SHIFT	*	Average of RECOVERY(%)	Sum of LENGTH	
BLY1		DAY		86	377	
		NIGHT		82	411	
BLY1 Total			84	788		
■OSD2		DAY	Ľ.	74	222	
		NIGHT		67		
OSD2 Total			71	387		
OSD4		DAY		90	274	
8		NIGHT	١.,	79	321	
OSD4 Total			84	595		
■OSD7		DAY		70	119	
		NIGHT		78	94	
OSD7 Total		30	73	212		
Grand Total			80	1981		





contractors!

Sample Recovery – loss of fines & Clays



Statistical Analysis of drilling types can highlight potential issues



Multiple drilling methods – statistical comparison



Certain drilling methods may be relatively biased

= srk consulting

Drilling Survey

Textbook example where collars do not match the topography (one or other is wrong); happens on 80 to 90% (if not more).



In this case historical survey could not be resolved – hole was projected from surface inclination instead.



Density Analysis

Things to check:

- Scales must be calibrated and monitored.
- •Water bath must be clean and free of debris.
- •Paraffin wax must be a the correct temperature (where required for porous material).
- •Is the correct formula being used?





The aim of a good QAQC program:

- Practices and procedures used in the sampling program should be <u>appropriate</u> for the objective of the program.
- •QAQC programs should be tailored to reflect the requirements of the mineralisation and sample type required.
- •Methods must be **documented** and **justified**.
- •Emphasis should be placed on **full** and **open** disclosure.
- •Best practice guides must be followed and accredited labs used.
- •The QP/CP must document sampling, assaying and QAQC.
- •Out of **159** NI 43-101 compliant reports filed over 30 days in 2009:
 - 24 cases of early exploration phase where no QAQC was used
 - 25 cases (projects with resources and reserves) with no reference to QAQC

Sampling, Assaying, Rice and Risk

•50,000 grains in 1 kg of rice.

 1g/t Au (0.0001%) is equivalent to a grain of rice in 1 kg. Or 1 minute of every 2 years!



•Getting a representative sample and ensure that there is no contamination is not easy!

What are QAQC samples? What's the point?

- **Field Duplicates**: duplication of core samples (quartered core), RC chips etc, inserted onsite prior to an sample crushing, etc. **Sampling error.**
- Preparation Duplicates: submission two samples which are a split of a sub-sample. Preparation error.
- •<u>Analytical Duplicates/Repeats:</u> double analysis of a single sample. **Analytical precision.**
- **<u>Field/hard Blanks</u>**: blank rock or chip samples inserted early, prior to any crushing. Test of contamination in the sample preparation and analytical process.
- •<u>Certified/Standard Reference Materials</u>: homogenous, well characterised material with known grades that have been analysed by a large number of accredited labs globally. These samples are associated with a certified mean confidence limits and standard deviation.



Based on analysis presented at PDAC by ASL: data is derived from a review of 160 NI43-101 Reports



The reality:

•There is no definitive answer – the QAQC insertion rate is deposit and sample type dependent

•Field blanks - 1:20

•Field duplicates - 1:20

•Standards - 1:20

•Results in an insertion rate of 3/20 = 15%

•This may need to be increased to 20% to 30% for certain types of mineralisation, such a nugget/coarse gold where a higher rate of field duplicates, blanks and blind duplicates may be required

How many QAQC Samples do I use?

Frequency of Inserting QAQC Material in Assay Batches

- •The number of quality control samples and the frequency of their insertion in analytical batches should be sufficient for systematic monitoring of assay quality.
- •Recommended quality control materials <u>vary from 5% to 20%</u> of the total analyses depending on <u>mineralization type</u>, <u>location of the mining project</u>, and <u>stage of the project evaluation</u>.
- •A brief overview of the different recommendations on frequency of insertion of QAQC materials is given below (Abzalov, 2008):
 - *Garrett (1969)* -**10%** of geochemical samples should be controlled by collection of duplicate samples.
 - *Taylor (1987)* **5%** to **10%** of samples analysed by a laboratory should be reference materials.
 - Leaver et al. (1997) analyse 1 in-house reference material with every 20 assayed samples, & >1 CRM
 - Vallée et al. (1992) >10% of the determinations in exploration or mining projects should be QAQC samples (standards, blanks, and duplicates).
 - Long (1998) >5% of pulps (crushed and pulverized sample material); 5% of field and/or coarse rejects should have a second pulp prepared and analyzed by the primary laboratory; and every sample batch 1% to 5% of CRM.
 - Sketchley (1998) 10% to 15% of QAQC samples. In particular, every batch of 20 samples should include at least one standard, one blank, and one duplicate sample.

When should QAQC samples be inserted?

How **not** to do it:......we need to obscure the sequence from the lab!

Sample 124: Regular sample Sample 125: 25th sample duplicate, Sample 126: 26th sample blank, Sample 127: 27th sample CRM, Sample 128: Regular sample

Sample 150: 50th sample duplicate, Sample 151: 51st sample blank, Sample 152: 52nd sample CRM,etc.,

•Sample numbers/codes should <u>not</u> highlight the presence of QAQC samples. Do not do the following:

Coding QAQC samples with a suffix "**B**" for blinds, "**D**" for duplicate, "S1" for standard/CRM 1, "S2" for standard/CRM 2, etc.

•QAQC sample insertion should be as random as possible. There are cases where some samples may be paired to help identify specific problems.

•Difficult to get right!

Standards

•Test of both analytical accuracy and precision •Critical to select standards that reflect the grade range and distribution.

•Matrix-matched samples are desirable but not always available.

 Standards can be purchased from a many difference suppliers:

Rocklabs

- •OREAS
- •AMIS
- MineralStats Inc
- Geostats PTY LTD
- Nrcan (Canada natural resources)

	Nic	kel Stati	stics (pp	m)	Copper Statistics (ppm)			
Product Code	Mean	Stdev	Count	95% CI	Mean	Stdev	Count	95% CI
GBM903-11	43030	1609	43	+/- 501	4100	221	30	+/- 84
GBM903-13	24337	845	67	+/- 208	28953	805	91	+/- 169
GBM903-16	97	10	19	+/- 5	213328	7836	43	+/- 2440
GBM304-11	65	18	24	+/- 8	104011	3070	45	+/- 933
GBM304-13	21	10	32	+/- 4	97125	3310	77	+/- 756
GBM304-16	16	11	14	+/- 6	22721	915	48	+/- 268
GBM904-11	17	20	16	+/- 11	8088	403	33	+/- 145
GBM305-12	42	8	17	+/- 4	119	42	43	+/- 13
GBM305-16	6503	304	41	+/- 97	381	62	44	+/- 19
GBM905-11	38	8	16	+/- 4	31758	1017	47	+/- 302
GBM905-12	62	11	20	+/- 5	21853	743	46	+/- 223
GBM905-14	531	36	24	+/- 15	173667	5097	43	+/- 1587
GBM306-12	9483	336	27	+/- 135	14804	347	47	+/- 103
GBM306-14	34435	1124	63	+/- 285	16709	594	87	+/- 127
GBM306-16	157	14	20	+/- 7	13409	355	45	+/- 108
GBM906-11	nr	nr	nr	nr	369	30	49	+/- 9
GBM906-13	nr	nr	nr	nr	21862	781	50	+/- 224

Certified Ore Grade Base Metal Reference Material Product Code



Certified Control Values

	Ore Grade Base Metal Analyses					
Element	Grade	Standard Deviation	No of Analyses	Confidence Interval		
Nickel (ppm)	21	10	32	+/- 4		
Copper (ppm)	97125	3310	77	+/- 756		
Zinc (ppm)	59274	2603	86	+/- 561		
Lead (ppm)	235648	7889	71	+/- 1881		
Cobalt (ppm)	nr	nr	nr	nr		
Silver (ppm)	nr	nr	nr	nr		
Sulphur (%)	nr	nr	nr	nr		

CRM Details



Assay distributions are checked and processed statistically, producing monitoring statistics for these standards. Materials are tested regularly to ensure stability and homogeneity 10A Marsh Close, O'Connor, Western Australia 6163

Phone: +61 8 9314 2566, Fax: +61 8 9314 3699 e-mail : pjh@geostats.com.au, srr@geostats.com.au Website http://www.geostats.com.au

Neodymium Strontiun

Standards – what interpretations can be made?





(A) **Accurate data**, with statistically valid distribution of the standard values .

- (B) Presence of "**outliers**" suggesting transcription errors
- (C) Biased assays
- (D) Rapid decrease in data variability
- (E) Drift of the assayed standard values

When it goes wrong:

•Standards had not been routinely analysed, despite being submitted.

•Poor precision and poor accuracy.

•There is no point submitting QAQC samples unless someone is going to bother to analyse them – this is a less obvious statement than many people think!



GIOP17 - Fe Total%

Be careful of analysing averages – they can hide all manner of errors





Duplicates

•Bias at an onsite lab (iron ore XRF).

- •Not detected for several weeks no checks were being performed.
- High data frequency around the cut-off grade (57% to 58% Fe Total) result in correct allocation of marginal versus on-spec' ore.

•Fortunately analysis was still being undertaken by an accredited lab



Duplicates Analysis



Duplicates Analysis

•There are **<u>numerous ways to calculate the difference or relative error</u>** between duplicate samples (be clear about what formula you use).

•<u>Coefficient of variation (CoV)</u> - the standard deviation (σ) divided by the mean (μ) is a useful statistic.

•Each of the following is proportional to the CoV – so offer no more information than the CoV itself (comes down to presentation).

Measurement	Conceptual Formula	Single Duplicate Pair Formula	Average Formula for Several Duplicate Pairs	Relationship with CV
Coefficient of Variation (<i>CV</i>)	$C\mathcal{V} = \frac{\sigma}{\mu}$	$CV = rac{2}{\sqrt{2}} rac{ x_1 - x_2 }{(x_1 + x_2)}$	$\overline{CV} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{ x_{1i} - x_{2i} }{(x_{1i} + x_{2i})} \right)^2}$	С٧
Relative Precision (<i>RP</i>)	$RP = \frac{2\sigma}{\mu}$	$RP = \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{ x_1 - x_2 }{(x_1 + x_2)}$	$\overline{RP} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{ x_{1i} - x_{2i} }{(x_{1i} + x_{2i})} \right)^2}$	2×CV
Relative Variance (<i>RV</i>)	$RV = \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^2}$	$RV = 2 \frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{(x_1 + x_2)^2}$	$\overline{RV} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(2 \frac{(x_{1i} - x_{2i})^2}{(x_{1i} + x_{2i})^2} \right)$	CV^2
Absolute Relative Difference (<i>ARD</i>)	$ARD = \frac{\left x_1 - x_2\right }{\mu}$	$ARD = 2\frac{\left x_{1} - x_{2}\right }{\left(x_{1} + x_{2}\right)}$	$\overline{ARD} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(2 \frac{ x_{1i} - x_{2i} }{(x_{1i} + x_{2i})} \right)^2}$	$\sqrt{2} \times C V$
Half Absolute Relative Difference (<i>HARD</i>)	$HARD = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left x_1 - x_2\right }{\mu}$	$HARD = \frac{\left x_{1} - x_{2}\right }{\left(x_{1} + x_{2}\right)}$	$\overline{HARD} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\left x_{1i} - x_{2i} \right }{\left(x_{1i} + x_{2i} \right)} \right)^2}$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \times C \mathcal{V}$

•Sourcing blanks can be difficult for some projects – matching the colour with out matching the grade can be hard (especially in iron ore)!

•Blanks provide a measure of sample contamination throughout the preparation process.

• Coarse gold example – sample preparation contamination (jaw crusher). 15g/t Au is not a blank!!



•The problem: crushing and pulverising equipment was not adequately clean between samples. Gold particles can easily be held up in the equipment (cracks, corners, grease).

•All blanks in this case have high background gold grades.



V srk consulting

Laboratory QAQC

Decisions Points



SRK Coal QAQC

Coal QAQC:

Data Collection - common problems:

- Geophysical logs and Lithologically and Structural Logging
 - Not all holes have geophysical logs
 - Depth correction of lithologically logged seams with the geophysical log is not done
 - Cored holes are logged and sampled without the lithological log so there is sample contamination and the lithological logging may not be reliable
 - Digital format of geophysical logs may not be available for cross checking and verification- scale adjustment in a different software package
 - Holes are vertically drilled so a teliviewer is the only way of gaining reliable and useful structural information perception that it is expensive with most clients
- Diamond Core drilling and sampling
 - Core is not wrapped immediately and sealed to prevent moisture loss before sampling Exposure in hot arid climates dries the coal and can significantly change the coal quality for ROM calculations
 - Core loss it high because the core barrel is too small and the pressure on it too great (inexperienced drillers!)
 - Core that has been stored for a long time will weather and deteriorate therefore duplicates are not stored as they will produce unrepresentative results if sampled and analysed in the future
 - Typically a percentage of the holes are cored 25% so the distribution of quality data may not be representative in variable depositsCoal Analysis
- Coal Analysis has it been analysed and sampled appropriately for the resource declared?
 - i.e. coking tests are there enough to declare a coking coal resource if it is declaring coking coal does the resource defined have the quality and quantity of data that can prove the continuity of coking coal?
 - Wash testing similar assumptions, have the main seams been characterised with the distribution and quality of the data?
 - Has more than one laboratory been used and is at least one laboratory accredited typically round robin approach used for coal
- Coal Analysis Proximate, must do basis and analysis checks?
 - Air Dry Basis: inherent moisture + ash + volatiles + fixed carbon = 100
 - As Received Basis (ROM) total moisture + ash + volatiles + fixed carbon = 100
 - Calorific Value what basis and what units BTU, Kcal/Kg, MJ/Kg etc
 - Coal Analysis Deleterious elements are not analysed early stage
 - Sulphur and Phosphorous, (Chlorine), must be appropriate for process i.e. Metallurgical coal or thermal how critical are boiler specs?
 - Analysis Washability Testing (more and more applicable as poorer coal deposits are developed)
 - Wash testing on different size fractions can produce significantly different curves used as part of the economic criteria for a resource
 - Can we make assumptions from adjacent properties and our own knowledge at the early stage of a project this becomes more problematic as new areas are developed i.e. Pakistan, Mozambique

SRK Coal QAQC

Laboratory Data – Graphically investigating procedures and bias



- Regression analysis plotted shows the relative coal quality between laboratories
 - Calorific Value vs Ash
 - Ash vs Volatiles
 - Ash vs Relative Density
- Suppressed volatiles typically indicates proximity to intrusions
- High volatiles and high ash indicate a high iron content
- Statistical comparisons between laboratories and seams are used to analyse bias

QAQC Databases



Auditing Laboratories and Preparation Facilities – What to Look out for!

Are samples stored and delivered in an appropriate and orderly manner?

Good, orderly





A QAQC disaster in the making!





Auditing Laboratories and Preparation Facilities – What to Look out for!

- •Are samples identifiable, labelled, etc?
- •Are the sample transferred to and from the oven safely (no risk of injury, dropping the pans or confusion)
- •Does stacking allow for complete drying? •Is the temperature correct?







Auditing Laboratories and Preparation Facilities – What to Look out for!

28-Aug-07

•The Good, Bad and the Ugly





Gran Notigene Area			
5	samples sent to Lab	27-Nov-6	б
GNM0282647 ☑ Uran	*GNM0282648* 🗹 Utan	'GNM0282649' 🖉 Uran	*GNN0292550* Duran
MRC. P. Ecc: 705	MRD: PL Box 705	VRQ: PL Bor: 706	MRC: PL Box: 705
GNM0282651 🗹 Uran	"GNM0282652" Uran	"GNM0282653" Uran	*GNINC282554* Utran
MRC: P. Boo: 705	MRD: PL Box 745	HRC: PL Box: 766	MRC: PL Box: 705
"GNM0282655" Uran	"GNM0282656" Utan	"GNM0282657" URIN	"GNM 0282556" Uran
uro: P. Bo: 705	MR0: PL Box 765	URIQ: PL Bio: 765	MRC: PL Bac: 705
"GNM0282659" Uran	"GNMD282660" Litran	"GNM0282661" Uttin	"GNM0292562" Uran
MRC: P. Box 705	MRC: PL Box 765	VPC: PL Box 766	MRQ: PL Bac: 706
"GNM0282863" Uran	*GNM0292664* Ukan	"GNM0282666" Usin	*GNM0292566* Utan
MIC: P. Ito: 705	MR0: PL Rec 765	MRC: PL Bs: 766	MR0: PL Bac 398
"GNM0282667" □ Uran	"GNM0282668" Ukan	'GNM0282669' □ Usan	"GNN0282670" Uran
wno: P. Bos: 705	MRC: PL Box 765	unc: PL Bs: 766	MRC: PL Bac: 706
"GNM0282871" Uran	*GNM0202672* Utan	"GNM0292673" Utan	"GNM0292674" Uran
MRX: R. Ro: 705	MR0: PL Rox 765	WR2: FL Box 766	MRC: PL Bax: 705
"GNM0282675" 🖌 Uran	"GNMD262675" 🗹 Uran	"GNM0282678" 🗹 Uran	"GNM0292676" 🛃 Uran
MRC: P. Box: 705	MRC: MM Box 765	uRC: MM Box: 766	MR0: PL Bax: 706
GNM0282677 😿 Uran	*GNM0282677* 🗹 Utan	"GNM(0282678" 🛃 Utan	*GNM0292676* 🛃 Uran
⊌Ro: MM Bos: 705	MRC: PL Exc 765	UPC: MM Bot: 766	MRC: PL Bac: 706
"GNIMO282679" 🗹 Uran	"GNM0282679" Duan	"GNMC282680" 🖬 Uran	*GNM0292580* 🗹 Uran
MRO: MM Boo: 706	MRC: PL Box 765	VPC: PL Bo: 766	MRC: MM Bax: 706
"GNIM0283211" 💽 Uran	"GNM0283211" Vitan	"GNM0263212" 😿 Uran	*GNN0293212* 🖌 Uran
WRX: L3 Box: 708	MAD: LS Box 796	MPC: LS Bx: 705	MPC: LS Bax: 706

Page 1 of 10



Crushing and Pulverising

•Blanks should detect any contamination

Crushing and Pulverising

Summary

Critical component

Often overlooked

- •QAQC needs to be monitored on a continual basis in all aspects
- •Errors can be significant and have a large effect on Mineral Resource classification