MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND SCIENCE OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN K.A.YASSAWI INTERNATIONAL KAZAKH-TURKISH UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY AND CHEMSTRY

The theme:

# Chemical potential. Chemical potential of an ideal gas

TEACHER OF DICEPLINE: ALTYNBEKOVA M.O. PREPARED BY: ASAN ASEL GROUP : JHM-611F

### FREE ENERGY AND EQUILIBRIA

- $\Delta G < 0$  process is spontaneous
- $\Delta G > 0$  reverse process is spontaneous
- $\Delta G = 0$  no change is spontaneous: **Equilibrium**

Le Châtelier's principle gives us some understanding of how the equilibrium of a system changes when we perturb it.

"Any change in one of the variables that determines the state of a system in equilibrium causes a shift in the position of equilibrium in a direction that tends to counteract the change in the variable under consideration " what obstacles keep them out of equilibrium. The free energy, or more correctly, the **chemical potential** is our measure of roughly how far we have to go to come to equilibrium. It is a potential energy of sorts.

## **CHEMICAL POTENTIAL**

The chemical potential can be used to give quantitative meaning to Le Châtelier's principle.

Chemical potential of component A,  $\mu_A$ , is defined as the partial molar Gibbs free energy:

$$\mu_{A} \equiv \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{G}}{\partial n_{A}}\right)_{T, P, n_{j} \neq n_{A}}$$

This is the change in G with respect to a infinitesimal change in the amount of component A with all other parameters held constant.

It is essentially the free energy increase (or decrease) associated with adding a little of A to the system.

### DIRECTIONALITY OF A CHEMICAL REACTION

Consider a closed system of four components (A, B, C, and D) undergoing a reversible chemical reaction:

 $aA + bB \leftrightarrow cC + dD$ 

 $dG = -SdT + VdP + \mu_A dn_A + \mu_B dn_B + \mu_C dn_C + \mu_D dn_D$ 

In a closed system,  $\frac{dn_A}{a} = \frac{dn_B}{b} = -\frac{dn_c}{c} = -\frac{dn_d}{d} \equiv -d\alpha \qquad dn_A = -ad\alpha \\
dn_B = -bd\alpha \\
dn_B = -bd\alpha \\
dn_B = -bd\alpha \\
M$   $= (c\mu_C + d\mu_D - a\mu_A - b\mu_B)d\alpha \\
= -((a\mu_A + b\mu_B) - (c\mu_C + d\mu_D))d\alpha$ 

At equilibrium:

 $a\mu_A + b\mu_B = c\mu_C + d\mu_D$ 

### EXAMPLE

 $H_2O(l) \xrightarrow{100 \circ C} H_2O(g)$ 

#### This is at constant T and P. Its reversible. So,

 $\Delta G^{\circ}_{vap} = 0 = \Delta H^{\circ}_{vap} - T \Delta S^{\circ}_{vap}$ 

Note that this implies at equilibrium:

 $\mu_{H_2O(I)} = \mu_{H_2O(g)}$ 

### CHEMICAL POTENTIAL AND PARTIAL PRESSURE

We found last time that  $G(P_2) - G(P_1) = nRT \ln \left( \frac{P_2}{P_1} \right)$  constant)

Defining the standard state:  $G(P_1 = 1atm) = G^0$ 

Then,

$$G(P) = G^{0} + nRT \ln\left(\frac{P}{1atm}\right)$$
$$\mu_{A} \equiv \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial n_{A}}\right)_{T, P, n_{j} \neq n_{A}}$$

SO,

and,

 $\mu = \mu^0 + RT \ln \left(\frac{P}{1atm}\right)$ In a mixture, the chemical potential of A can thus be expressed in terms of its partial pressure and its standard (pure) chemical potential:

$$\mu_A = \mu_A^0 + RT \ln\left(\frac{P_A}{1atm}\right)$$

### **∆G OF MIXING**

Consider the isobaric, isothermal mixing of two gases:



$$\Delta G_{mix} = n_A RT \ln X_A + n_B RT \ln X_B$$
  

$$\Delta G_{mix} = -T\Delta S_{mix}$$
  

$$\Delta S_{mix} = -n_A R \ln X_A - n_B R \ln X_B$$
  

$$X_i = \frac{n_i}{\sum n_i}$$
  
Mole Fraction component *i*

$$X_A = \frac{n_A}{n_A + n_B}$$
 and  $X_B = \frac{n_B}{n_A + n_B}$ 

j

### **EQUILIBRIUM CONSTANT**

The Haber process of nitrogen fixation:  $N_2 + 3H_2 \rightarrow 2NH_3$ 

2

$$AG = 2\mu_{NH_3} - \mu_{N_2} - 3\mu_{H_2}$$
  
=  $2\left(\mu_{NH_3}^0 + RT\ln\left(\frac{P_{NH_3}}{1atm}\right)\right) - \left(\mu_{N_2}^0 + RT\ln\left(\frac{P_{N_2}}{1atm}\right)\right) - 3\left(\mu_{H_2}^0 + RT\ln\left(\frac{P_{H_2}}{1atm}\right)\right)$ 

Rewrite letting  $P_i = \frac{P_i}{-\text{unitless}}$  pressure ratio. No units inside "ln".

$$\Delta G = 2\mu_{NH_3}^0 - \mu_{N_2}^0 - 3\mu_{H_2}^0 + RT \left( 2\ln P_{NH_3} - \ln P_{N_2} - 3\ln P_{H_2} \right)$$
$$= \Delta G^0 + RT \left( \ln \left( \frac{P_{NH_3}^2}{P_{N_2} P_{H_2}^3} \right) \right)$$

We have used the identity:  $a \ln x = \ln x^{a}$ 

### **EQUILIBRIUM CONSTANT**

At any temperature and pressure there exists and equilibrium state for this reaction.

A combination of 
$$P_{N_2}$$
,  $P_{H_2}$  and  $P_{M_2}$ ,  $P_{H_2}$  and  $P_{M_2}$ ,  $P_{H_2}$  and  $P_{M_2}$ ,  $P_{M_3}$ ,  $P_{M_2}$ ,  $P_{M_$ 

This equation holds for equilibrium values of  $P_{N_2}$ ,  $P_{H_2}$ ,  $P_{NH_3}$ 

## EQUILIBRIUM CONSTANT $\Delta G^{0} = -RT \left( ln \left( \frac{\left( P_{NH_{3}}^{eq} \right)^{2}}{\left( P_{N_{2}}^{eq} \right) \left( P_{H_{2}}^{eq} \right)^{3}} \right) \right)$

Define equilibrium constant (at constant T and P):

$$K = \frac{\left(P_{NH_3}^{eq}\right)^2}{\left(P_{N_2}^{eq}\right)\left(P_{H_2}^{eq}\right)^3}$$

$$\Delta G^{0} = -RT \ln K$$

More generally:  $aA + bB \longrightarrow + dD$ 

$$K = \frac{\left(P_{C}^{eq}\right)^{c} \left(P_{D}^{eq}\right)^{d}}{\left(P_{A}^{eq}\right)^{a} \left(P_{B}^{eq}\right)^{b}}$$

Most generally:

$$K \equiv \frac{\prod \left(P_i^{eq}\right)^{n_i}}{\prod \left(P_i^{eq}\right)^{n_i}}$$
reactants

$$Q \equiv \frac{\prod \left(P_{i}\right)^{n_{i}}}{\prod \left(P_{i}\right)^{n_{i}}}$$
$$\frac{\prod \left(P_{i}\right)^{n_{i}}}{\prod eactants}$$

### **CHEMICAL POTENTIAL EXAMPLE**



Chemical potential is a measure of the *thermodynamic free energy*. It tells us what the *equilibrium distribution* of reactants and products must be It does **not** tell us the *kinetic rate*.

### **EQUILIBRIUM EXAMPLE**

Oxidation of CO:  $2 \text{ CO}(g) + \text{O}_2(g)$ 

The free energy change for this reaction is simply:

$$\Delta G^{\circ}_{rxn} = 2 \Delta G^{\circ}_{289}(CO_2) - 2 \Delta G^{\circ}_{289}(CO) - \Delta G^{\circ}_{289}(O_2)$$

We can calculate this using numbers from the appendix:

 $\Delta G^{\circ}_{rxn} = 2 (-394.36) - 2(-137.17) - 0 (kJ/mol) = -514.38 kJ/mol$ 

A relatively large negative number.

 $\Delta G^{\circ}_{rxn} = -RTlnK$   $K = e^{514,380/RT} = e^{207} =$ 



The equilibrium for this reaction lies far in favor of the products. Large negative  $\Delta G$  means the reaction goes forward with high probability.

## **EQUILIBRIUM EXAMPLE**





Products  $(CO_2)$ 

**Reaction coordinate** 

Reactants

 $(CO,O_{\gamma}) \Delta \mu^{\circ}, \Delta G^{\circ}$ 

For other reactions like

 $2 \operatorname{H}_{2}(g) + \operatorname{O}_{2}(g) \qquad 2 \operatorname{H}_{2} O(1) \xrightarrow{}$ 

We need a catalyst (e.g. platinum surface), and ideally we wish to convert the released energy to work.

Catalysts enable a reaction to take an alternative path, with lower activation barrier.

### ΔH<sup>o</sup> FOR SOME NONCOVALENT INTERACTIONS Reaction ΔH<sup>o</sup>(kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>)





Proteins have a native state. (Really, they tend to have a tight cluster of native states.)

Denaturation occurs when heat or denaturants such as guanidine, urea or detergent are added to solution. Also, the pH can affect folding.

When performing a denaturation process non-covalent interactions are broken.

Ionic, van der-Waals, dipolar, hydrogen bonding, etc. Solvent is reorganized.



Let's consider denaturation with heat. We can determine a great deal about the nature of the protein from such a consideration.

The experimental technique we use for measuring thermodynamic changes here is the differential scanning calorimeter.

Basic experiment: Add heat to sample, measure its temperature change.



In differential scanning calorimetry you have two samples: Your material of interest Control

You put in an amount of heat to raise the temperature of the control at a constant rate, then measure the rate of change in temperature of the other sample as a function of the input heat.

This is a measure of the heat capacity!



Fig. 1. Differential scanning thermograms of GAPDHs isolated from rabbit muscle (1,2), *E. coli* (3,4) and *B. stearothermophilus* (5,6). Holoenzymes were used, the protein concentrations being 1 mg/ml (7.1  $\mu$ M calculated per tetramer). The measurements were performed in 100 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>-KOH buffer containing 1 mM NAD<sup>+</sup> at pH 8.0 (samples 1, 3 and 5) and pH 6.0 (samples 2, 4 and 6). Heating rate, 1°C/min. The widths of the peaks were measured at half-heights of the peaks.

Data for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.

Is the protein more stable at pH 8 or 6? Why is B. stear. more stable?

We are given the following data for the denaturation of lysozyme:



Where is the denaturation temperature?

What then is special about the temperature at which the denaturation is spontaneous?