### The work plan

My task includes technology description of various technologies in metallurgy for GHG emission reduction in Ukraine and in Europe with allowance different scenarios.

S.O. Semenko National Metallurgical Academy of Ukraine <u>semenko92@mail.ru</u>

V.I. Shatokha National Metallurgical Academy of Ukraine <u>Shatokha@metal.nmetau.edu.ua</u>

## Purpose of my research

1. Overall assessment of the state of opportunities to prevent a climate change.

2

2. My research presents for spurring deployment of the most important clean technologies and for overcoming existing barriers.

3. Development of scenarios for the purpose to show variants of development metallurgy in future with allowance GHG emission reduction.

# The technologies prioritization

#### The price factor

Technologies	Investment cost	Productivity	
HIsarna	100	1 Mt/year	
Finex	460	2 Mt/year	
CCS with BF	107	0.5-5.0 Mt/year Depends on furnace volume	
Blast furnace without any GHG reductions technologies	90	0.5-5.0 Mt/year Depends on furnace volume	
Fastmelt	150	1.5 Mt/year	
Blast furnace TGR configuration	100	0.5-5.0 Mt/year Depends on furnace volume	

## The technologies prioritization

#### The emission factor

Technologies	Type of raw materials	GHG emission		
HIsarna	Char coal, iron ore, scale,	With CCS: -0,33 tCO <sub>2</sub> /t HM		
	agglomerate.	Without CCS: -1.32 tCO <sub>2</sub> /t HM		
Finex	Char coal, iron ore, scale,	With CCS: -0,2313 tCO <sub>2</sub> /t HM		
	agglomerate, coking coal	Without CCS: - 1.864 tCO <sub>2</sub> /t HM		
CCS with BF	iron ore, coking coal,	0,34 tCO <sub>2</sub> /t HM		
	agglomerate, limestone			
Blast furnace without	iron ore, coking coal,	1,742 tCO <sub>2</sub> /t HM		
any GHG reductions	agglomerate, limestone	-		
technologies				
Fastmelt	Char coal, iron ore, scale,	With CCS: -0,76 tCO <sub>2</sub> /t HM		
	agglomerate.	Without CCS – 1,59 $tCO_{7}$ /t HM		
Blast furnace	iron ore, coking coal,	With CCS: -0,79 tCO <sub>2</sub> /t HM		
TGR configuration	agglomerate, limestone	Without CCS – not relevant		

# The initial data

## First scenario







## <sup>8</sup> Structure of existing technologies



NB: efficiency increase in waste gases-fired power plants not in the scope

#### **Development of scenarios**

The impact of the war on steel production in different countries.



# Steel production in Europe 1942-1944

#### Steel production before 1 world war



#### **Development of scenarios**

# The impact of the growth of population on steel production





#### Steel consumption per capita

# <sup>11</sup> Calculation of GHG emission in metallurgy

#### GHG = Ef reducing agent +Ef consump. of fuel +EF energy consump.



## Formula for calculation

$$EF_{i',BL,\text{Re ducing Agent}(s)} = Average \left\{ \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} RAC_{i',j,BL,y'} \times \left(\frac{C_{i',j,BL,y'}}{100}\right) \times \left(\frac{44}{12}\right)}{P_{i',BL,y'}} \right\}$$

$$EF_{i',BL,Fuel(s),y} = Average \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ FC_{i',k,BL,y'} \times (Cp_{i',k,BL,y'} \times (t_{i',k,BL,y'} - t_{ref}) + NCV_{i',k,BL,y'}) \right\} \times EF_{CO_2,i',k,BL,y}}{P_{i',BL,y'}} \right\}$$

# **Emission results**

Technologies	Finex	Finex	Hisarna	Hisarna	Blast furnace with
		with CCS		with CCS	CCS
GHG emission	Average	0,25-0,35	Av. 1,3-1,4	0,33-0,5	Av. 0,35-0,43
	1,6-1,8 t.Co <sub>2</sub> /t.	t.Co <sub>2</sub> /t.	t.Co <sub>2</sub> /t.	t.Co <sub>2</sub> /t.	t.Co <sub>2</sub> /t. metal
	metal	metal	metal	metal	

### The availability of technologies



#### Profit



On the reduced emissions, will be obtained certificate, which could be sold on exchange

### Thank you for your attention

