Method Validation and Verification Protocols for Test Methods

What is it ?

- Method validation & verification provides <u>objective</u> <u>evidence</u> that a <u>test method</u> is <u>fit for purpose</u>,
 i.e. that the particular requirements for a specific <u>intended use are fulfilled</u>.
- The term 'method' includes kits, individual reagents, instruments, platforms and software.
- Method Validation : in-house and modified standard methods
- Method Verification : standard methods

When it is required?

- Method Validation : in-house and modified standard methods
- Method Verification : standard methods

Method	Requirement
Fully validated standard methods	Verification
Standard methods – modifications	Validation
Standard methods – outside their intended scope	Validation
Laboratory developed and non-standard methods	Validation

Why it is necessary?

• <u>A test method must be shown to be fit for</u> <u>purpose</u> by validation and verification for the customers to gain confidence in the test <u>results</u>

Verification

- Standard validated methods AOAC, ASTM, ISO, etc
- Peer accepted methods published in scientific literature
- Commercial test kits

Laboratory needs to verify that **analysts** using their **equipment** in their **laboratory environment** obtain the **same outcomes** as defined in the validation data

Verification

- Method performance demonstrated by
 - blanks or un-inoculated media to assess contamination;
 - laboratory control samples to assess <u>accuracy</u>;
 - duplicates to assess precision
 - <u>calibration check standards</u> for quantitative analyses
 - monitoring quality control samples, and
 - participation in a <u>PT testing program</u>

Some examples

Method	Requirement
using the same type of chromatographic column from a different manufacturer	Verification
a slight change in a non-critical incubation temperature	Verification
use of a different non-selective growth medium,	Verification
differences in details of sample dilutions as a consequence of expected counts	Verification

Some examples

Method	Requirement
use of a different extraction solvent; use of HPLC instead of GLC	Validation
differences in the formulation of the selective/differential medium (e.g. addition of an alternative antibiotic)	Validation
different antibiotic concentration to the base medium	Validation
a change to a critical incubation temperature or time (e.g. 3 days rather than 5 days incubation)	Validation
different confirmation procedure (e.g. use of an alternative suite of biochemical tests other than those specified)	Validation

Key parameters for verification

Tests	Parameters
For quantitative results	measurement of <u>bias</u> and measurement of <u>precision</u> - minimum requirements
For trace analyses	limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ)
For qualitative methods	correlation studies with validated methods or comparisons with known outcomes
For diagnostic methods	sensitivity and selectivity (specificity)

Validation

- Non-standard and in-house-developed methods
- Scope and validation criteria to be defined and documented

Tools to demonstrate the method performance

- Blanks
- Certified Reference Material (CRMs)
- Fortified materials
- Replication
- Statistical analysis

Types of Validation

- Comparative Validation
 - •To demonstrate equivalent <u>performance between two</u> <u>methods</u> (validated and revised analytical method)
- Primary Validation
 - an exploratory process to <u>establish operational limits and</u> <u>performance characteristics</u> for alternative or new method

Validation

Two steps

- 1. to specify what you <u>intend to identify</u> or measure
- 2. to determine selected <u>performance parameters</u>

Validation Parameters

- 1. Linearity range
- 2. Measuring interval
- 3. Matrix effects
- 4. Selectivity
- 5. Sensitivity
- 6. Accuracy.
- 7. Precision
- 8. Repeatability
- 9. Reproducibility
- 10. Trueness
- 11. Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ)
- 12. Ruggedness
- 13. Measurement Uncertainty.

Analytical Performance Characteristics Procedure

- Before validation, design, maintain, calibrate and validate the analytical system (protocol, conc. range and specified material)
- Train all the personnel who perform the validation testing
- *Get approval of method validation protocol from CA before execution*.

1. Specificity

Test procedure: Investigate by <u>injecting of the extracted</u> <u>sample</u> to demonstrate the absence of interference with the elution of analyte

Documentation : Print chromatograms.

Acceptance criteria : The excipient compounds must not interfere with the analysis of the targeted analyte.

2. Linearity

- Test procedure :
- Prepare <u>standard solutions at six concentrations</u>, typically 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, and 200% of target conc.
- Analyze <u>three individually prepared replicates</u> at each concentration.
- Use same method of standard preparation and number of injections as in the protocol
- Documentation:
- Record results on a datasheet.
- Calculate the mean, standard deviation, and RSD for each conc.
- Plot <u>concentration (x-axis) versus mean response (y-axis</u>) for each conc.
- Calculate the <u>simple regression or weighted regression</u> <u>equation</u> <u>& correlation coefficient</u> and record.

2. Linearity

- Acceptance criteria :
- The <u>correlation coefficient for six conc. levels will be ≥ 0.999</u> for the range of 80 to 120% of the target conc.
- The <u>y-intercept must $\leq 2\%$ of the target conc. response</u>.
- A plot of response factor vs conc. must show all <u>values within</u> <u>2.5% of the target level response factor.</u>
- The coefficient for active ingredients should be ≥ 0.997, for impurities 0.98 and for biologics 0.95

3. Range

- Test procedure :
- Use the data obtained during <u>linearity and accuracy</u> studies to assess the range of the method.
- We can use the <u>precision data</u> for this assessment, if precision of the three replicate samples is analyzed at each level in the accuracy studies.
- **Documentation** : Record the range on the datasheet.
- Acceptance criteria

Acceptable range (- defined as <u>the conc. interval over which</u> <u>linearity and accuracy are obtained</u>)

It yields a precision of \leq 3% RSD.

4. Accuracy

Test procedure

- Prepare spiked samples at <u>three conc. over the range of 50 to</u> <u>150%</u> of the target conc.
- Analyze three individually prepared replicates at each conc..
- When it is impossible or difficult to prepare known sample, use a low concentration of a known standard.
- Documentation :
- For each sample, report the <u>theoretical value</u>, <u>assay value</u>, <u>and</u> <u>percent recovery</u>.
- Calculate the <u>mean, standard deviation, RSD, and percent</u> recovery for all <u>samples</u>.
- Record results on the datasheet.

4. Accuracy

- Acceptance criteria
- The mean recovery will be <u>within 90 to 110%</u> of the theoretical value for non-regulated products.
- For the U.S. pharmaceutical industry, 100 ± 2% is typical for an assay of <u>an active ingredient in a drug product</u> over the range of 80 to 120% of the target concentration.
- Lower percent recoveries may be acceptable based on the needs of the methods.
- Health Canada states that the required accuracy is a <u>bias of ≤</u> <u>2% for dosage forms and ≤ 1% for drug substance.</u>

5. Precision - Repeatability

- Test procedure:
- Prepare <u>one sample solution</u> containing the target level of analyte
- <u>Make ten replicates</u> from this sample solution
- Documentation:
- Record retention time, peak area, & peak height on datasheet.
- Calculate the mean, standard deviation, and RSD.
- Acceptance criteria:
- FDA states typical RSD should be 1% for drug substances and drug products, ± 2% for bulk drugs and finished products.
- HC states RSD should be 1% for drug substances and 2% for drug products. For minor components, it should be ± 5% but may reach 10% at the LOQ.

6. Intermediate Precision

- Test procedure:
- Demonstrate Intermediate precision (within-laboratory variation) by <u>two analysts</u>, using <u>two HPLC systems</u> on different days and evaluate the relative percent purity data across the two HPLC systems at three conc. levels (50%, 100%, 150%) covering range of 80 to 120%.
- Documentation:
- Record the <u>relative % purity (% area</u>) of each conc. on the datasheet.
- Calculate the mean, standard deviation, and RSD for operators and instruments.
- Acceptance criteria:
- The results obtained by two operators using two instruments on different days should have a <u>statistical RSD ≤ 2%</u>.

7. Limit of Detection

• Test procedure

- Determine <u>the lowest concentration of the standard solution</u> by sequentially diluting the sample.
- Make <u>six replicates</u> from this sample solution.
- Documentation
- Print the chromatogram and record the lowest detectable concentration and RSD on the datasheet.
- Acceptance criteria
- The International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) references a <u>signal-to-noise ratio of 3:1.2</u>
- Health Canada recommends a signal-to-noise ratio of 3:1.
- Some analysts calculate the standard deviation of signal (or response) of a number of blank samples and then multiply this number by 2 to estimate the signal at LOD

- 8. Limit of Quantitation
- Test procedure
- Determine the <u>lowest concentration at which an analyte</u> in the sample matrix can be measured with the accuracy & precision.
- This value may be the <u>lowest concentration</u> in standard curve.
- Make <u>six replicates</u> from this solution.

Documentation

- Print the chromatogram and record the <u>lowest quantified</u> <u>concentration and RSD</u> on the datasheet.
- Provide data that demonstrates the <u>accuracy and precision</u> required in the acceptance criteria.

8. Limit of Quantitation

• Acceptance criteria:

- The limit of quantitation for chromatographic methods is described as the conc. that gives a signal-to-noise ratio of 10:1.2
- Quantitation limit is the <u>best estimate of a low conc.</u> that gives an <u>RSD of approx. 10%</u> for a minimum of six replicate determinations.

9. System Suitability

- Test procedure
- Perform system suitability tests on both HPLC systems to determine the accuracy and precision of the system by injecting <u>six injections</u> of a solution containing analyte at 100% of test conc..
- Determine plate count, tailing factors, resolution, & reproducibility (% RSD of retention time, peak area, & height)

• Documentation:

• Print the chromatogram and record the data on the datasheet

9. System Suitability

- Acceptance criteria:
- Retention factor (k): the peak of interest be well resolved from other peaks and the void volume; generally k <u>should be ≥2.0</u>.
- Resolution (Rs): <u>Rs should be ≥2</u> between the peak of interest and the closest eluted peak (impurity, excipient, and degradation product).
- Reproducibility: RSD for peak area, height, and retention time will be 1% for six injections.
- Tailing factor (T): <u>T should be 2</u>.
- Theoretical plates (N):<u>≥2000</u>

10. Robustness

- Measures the capacity of an analytical method to remain unaffected <u>by small but deliberate variations</u> in method parameters.
- Provides some indication of the <u>reliability of an analytical</u> <u>method</u> during normal usage.
- Parameters investigated <u>% organic content</u> in the mobile phase or <u>gradient ramp</u>, <u>pH</u> of the mobile phase, <u>buffer</u> concentration, <u>temperature</u>, and <u>injection volume</u>.
- Evaluate these parameters one factor at a time or simultaneously as part of a factorial experiment.

10. Robustness

- Compare the chromatography obtained for a sample containing representative impurities, when using modified parameter(s), to the chromatography obtained using the target parameters.
- Determine the effects of the following changes in chromatographic conditions :
 - methanol content in mobile phase adjusted $by \pm 2\%$,
 - mobile phase pH adjusted by ± 0.1 pH units,
 - Column temperature adjusted by $\pm 5^{\circ}$ C.
- If these changes are within the limits that produce acceptable chromatography, incorporate in the method procedure.

11. Measurement Uncertainty

 Calculation of measurement uncertainty by mathematical model according to law of propagation of uncertainty

u [y (x1. x2....)] =
$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{2} c_{i}^{2}}$$

u(x_i)²

Where

u [y (x1. x2....)] is a function of several independent variables x1, x2, ...

 c_i is a sensitivity coefficient evaluated as $ci = \delta y / \delta x$, the partial differential of y with respect to x_i

u(xi) and u(y) are **standard uncertainties** i.e measurement uncertainties expressed as SD

So, u [y (x1. x2....)] is referred as a combined standard uncertainty

Estimation of Uncertainty

Uncertainty calculation for Chloramphenicol analysis

- Type A and Type B errors are the sources to calculate uncertainty.
- Type A Due to sample (Repeatability Measurement) (U_{Rep})
- Type B a). Due to Equipments (U_{Equip})
 b). Due to Purity of Chemicals and CRM (U_{Pur})
 c). Due to Glassware (U_p)
- Coverage factor k = 2 at 95 % confidence level.

Type A Error

Repeatability Readings Xi	Average X	Std.	$\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{STD}} = \mathbf{Sd}/\sqrt{\mathbf{n}}$
0.28000		0.02100	0.00860
0.27300			
0.30000	0.2012		
0.26700	0.2912		
0.32000			
0.30700			

Type B

i. Uncertainty due to Equipments

Equipment	Uncertainty	k	U. Equip= U/k	
Weighing				
Balance	0.09	2	0.045	
Refrigerated				
Centrifuge	0.06	2	0.03	
Vortex Mixer	0.06	2	0.03	

ii. Uncertainty due to Chemicals and CRM (Upur)

Chemical	Purity %	U. Chem %	% Conv = U	k	Std Uncertainty = U/k
Chloramphenic					
ol (CRM)	99.7	0.3	0.003	2	0.0015
Acetonitrile	99.9	0.1	0.001	1.732	0.0006
Carbon					
Tetrachloride	99	1	0.01	1.732	0.0058
Ethyl Acetate	99.7	0.3	0.003	1.732	0.0017

iii. Due to Standard Uncertainty Glassware (Ug)

Glassware	Capacity	Std Uncertainity
Volumentric Flask (U _{vol})	10 ml	0.00200
Measuring Cylinder	25 ml	0.00200
Micro Pipette (U _{Pip})	1000 μl	0.11000
Micro Pipette (U _{Rip})	100 µl	0.01000
Micro Pipette (U _{Pip}) Micro Pipette (U _{Pip}) Micro Pipette (U _{Pip})	20 µl	0.09500

Calculation of Combined Standard Uncertainity

Uncertainity Sources	Value X	Standard Uncertainity U _(X)	Relative Uncertainity $U_{R} = U_{(X)} / X$		
U _{Rep}	0.2912	0.0086	$\frac{U_{R} = U_{(X)} / X}{0.029536}$		
U _{Bal}	2.0050	0.04500	0.022444		
U.RF	6000.00	0.03000	0.000005		
U. VM	2500.00	0.03000	0.000012		
$U_{pur(CAP)}$	99.70	0.0015	0.000015		
U _{pur (CAP)} Upur (ACN)	99.90	0.0006	0.000006		
Upur (Ethyl Acetate)	99.70	0.0017	0.000017		
Upur (Carbon Tetrachloride)	99.00	0.0058	0.000059		
Volumentric Flask (U _{vol})	10.00	0.0020	0.000200		
Measuring Cylinder	25.00	0.00200	0.000080		
Micro Pipette (U _{Pip})	1000.00	0.00200	0.000002		
Micro Pipette (U_{Pip})	100.00	0.11000	0.001100		
Micro Pipette (U _{Pip})	20.00	0.01000	0.000500		
T IP					
Combined Standard Uncertainity $\sqrt{U_R^2} =$ 0.01080707					

Therefore, Chloramphenicol residues in shrimp (ppb) = 0.2912 ± 0.011