Ball Mill Dynamics

GRINDING I – Training Session



Size reduction mechanism

- •Release point and internal dynamics 1st and 2nd chamber
- •1st chamber liners
- •2nd chamber liners
- Fastening types
- Balls
- •Mill head liners





Size reduction mechanism

- •Release point and internal dynamics 1st and 2nd chamber
- •1st chamber liners
- •2nd chamber liners
- Fastening types
- Balls
- •Mill head liners





3 mechanisms of size reduction

•Fractures

Crushing

Chipping

• Crushing and some fines

•Abrasion

• Fine grinding



Internal ball dynamics

Cataracting

- Free fall of the balls
- Emphasis on crushing

Cascading

- Tumbles along charge surface
- Emphasis on fine grinding



Internal dynamics depends on the release point



Size reduction mechanism

- •Release point and internal dynamics 1st and 2nd chamber
- •1st chamber liners
- •2nd chamber liners
- Fastening types
- •Mill head liners
- Material transport



Release points and grinding





KUJ - July 2012 – Grinding I - 7

Release point and mill critical speed

•Speed of rotation at which centrifugal forces overcome gravity forces

• At that speed, the balls no longer fall or cascade but ride on the liners around a full revolution

$$n_{c} = 42.3 / \sqrt{D}$$

•The best grinding efficiency is reached at 75% of the critical speed

$$n_{opt} = 32 / \sqrt{D}$$

•Mill speed is usually between 70 to 78% of n_c



Release points and liners design

•Different liners design can give different release points and therefore different grinding actions





Internal dynamics in first chamber

•Primary grinding of coarse material with large grinding media (Ø 90-60 mm)

•Aim: high activation of the ball charge for CRUSHING action

Good efficiency criteria

- Before intermediate diaphragm:
 - 5% of rejects at 2.5 mm
 - 15 to 25% of rejects at 0.5mm





Internal dynamics in second chamber

 Development of a high fineness with small grinding media (Ø 50-15 mm)

Aim: ATTRITION and PRESSURE grinding

Good efficiency criteria

- Before discharge diaphragm
 - 5% of rejects at 0.5 mm
 - 20 to 30% of rejects at 0.2mm





Size reduction mechanism

- •Release point and internal dynamics 1st and 2nd chamber
- •1st chamber liners
- •2nd chamber liners
- Fastening types
- Balls
- •Mill head liners





Purpose of a liner's design

•Each liner is designed to ensure:

- Lowest specific energy consumption
- Highest production capacity for a shell design

Protect mill shell and ensure efficient grinding action

•With lowest possible specific cost for liners



KUJ - July 2012 – Grinding I - 13











KUJ - July 2012 - Grinding I - 16

Single wave & Duolift liners



Only for first compartments

Single wave

- Negative back slope induces sliding
- Racing is common, wear life is short
- It has good lift

Duolift

- Has a small hump in between lifts to prevent racing
- Liner's life is much better



Installed Duolift liners





KUJ - July 2012 – Grinding I - 18

First chamber - Reminders

•Be careful of the appropriate ratio between

- Critical speed
- Liner lifting action
- Ball filling rate
- Ball size

Risk of broken liners or high wear level



•Liners must be changed when 60% of their effective lifting height has worn away

Consequence 8 to 10% production loss



Size reduction mechanism

- •Release point and internal dynamics 1st and 2nd chamber
- •1st chamber liners
- •2nd chamber liners
- Fastening types
- Balls
- •Mill head liners





Second chamber liners

•Classifying lining with activator profile



•Conventional classifying lining with wave profile



•Wave lining type "Dragpeb" (without classifying effect)





•X-Class



KUJ - July 2012 - Grinding I - 21

Purpose of classifying liners

•Match ball size to particle size (Bond Formula) without





KUJ - July 2012 - Grinding I - 22

Examples of classifying liners



CARMAN LINING





Classifying liners - issues

Causes of poor classification

- Liner's step wear
- Lifting of the charge too low
 - wave-like wear profile
- Ball filling ratio > 35%
- Nibs in the charge
- Overfilling of the compartment
 - circulating load to high
 - Coating

•If no classifying liners

• $D_{max} / D_{min} < 2$







Other types of liners : grooved liners





Other types of liners : Danula or Dam Rings

•Where we find it

• Long mills, in the second chamber

Role

• Keep the grinding media in the same location

Disadvantages

- Lagging material transport in the mill
- Balls must be charged in a specific order to ensure proper ball distribution



Second chamber - Reminders

•Classifying liners

- Causes for poor classification
 - Liner step wear
 - Lifting of the charge too low
 - Liner wave wear
 - Ball filling ratio > 35%
 - Nibs in the charge
 - Overfilling of the compartment
 - Circulating load too high
 - Coating

Without classifying liners





EUROPE TECHNICAL CENTER

KUJ - July 2012 – Grinding I - 29

Size reduction mechanism

- •Release point and internal dynamics 1st and 2nd chamber
- •1st chamber liners
- •2nd chamber liners
- Fastening types
- Balls
- •Mill head liners

•Material transport



Fastening types

Bolted





- Requires a drilling in the mill tube for every plate
- Easy handling during installation and maintenance



Fastening types

Semi-bolted





- Minimum two bolted rows in total
- Requires special tools and experienced fitters



Fastening types

Boltless



Plates are forced-fitted with positive locking without any bolts

Requires precise preparation, special tools and very experienced fitters

EUROPE TECHNICAL CENTER

Liners' wear management

Liner wear optimisation

- Avoid metal / metal contact
 - Minimise purge duration
 - Look for optimal material filling rate

•Bolt holes can result in casting flaws: failures occur there first.

•Boltless liners wear better, but require careful installation



KUJ - July 2012 - Grinding I - 34

Size reduction mechanism

- •Release point and internal dynamics 1st and 2nd chamber
- •1st chamber liners
- •2nd chamber liners
- Fastening types
- •Balls
- •Mill head liners





Balls wear

WEAR RATE FIRST AND SECOND COMPARTMENT	g/t
Portland Cement 96% Clinker + 4% Gypsum (3000 Blaine) as well as a typical raw material	20-45
Portland Cement 96% Clinker + 4% Gypsum (4500 Blaine)	25-50
Cement with 25 to 30% slag or trass (4500 Blaine)	40-60
Cement with \pm 70% slag (3000 Blaine)	50-75
Cement with \pm 70% slag (4500 Blaine)	70-95



Size reduction mechanism

- •Release point and internal dynamics 1st and 2nd chamber
- •1st chamber liners
- •2nd chamber liners
- Fastening types
- •Mill head liners
- Material transport



Mill head lining

Conical design





Mill head lining





Size reduction mechanism

- •Release point and internal dynamics 1st and 2nd chamber
- •1st chamber liners
- •2nd chamber liners
- Fastening types
- Balls
- •Mill head liners





Mass transport

•Reason for mass transport in the mill shell

- Mill inlet feed pushes the material ahead
- Mill sweeping
- Pumping actions of the partition and discharge wall

The mill retention time is about 10 to 15 minutes in closed circuit (20-30 min in open circuit)



KUJ - July 2012 - Grinding I - 41

Material filling ratio



(In practice, it is evaluated with the level of material above or below the charge surface)



KUJ - July 2012 – Grinding I - 42

For filling ratios less than 0.6 there's steel to steel contact

and no grinding

Voids where interparticle grinding takes place

Grinding vs. filling

Nip or Contact points where crushing takes place

 Interparticle grinding occurs when the voids space is properly filled

•The collision of balls causes momentary high pressure compression

> For filling ratios greater than 1,1 balls are pushed apart, cushioning impact



KUJ - July 2012 – Grinding I - 43

Mill bypass

- •Ball charge expands when overloaded
- In the extreme, a stream of material "bypass" the load at his toe

Consequences

- Chips and spitzers will
 accumulate at the discharge end
- Once past a critical point, production drops off





KUJ - July 2012 - Grinding I - 44

How to manage the material filling rate

•Ball charge design

• The charge permeability depends on ball size

•Circulating load level

Tuning of the partition drain effect



KUJ - July 2012 - Grinding I - 45