# Лекция 3



FIG. 2. Algae across the eukaryotes. Presented is a tree of eukaryotes, based on data from reference 123, showing the six "supergroups." For ease of visualization, the SAR clade has been split into its three constituent lineages (stramenopiles, alveolates, and rhizaria). Chromalveolate groups are shaded in orange. Photosynthetic phyla are shown in colored text to indicate the chloroplast lineage, as shown in the key. The majority have just one lineage, but for some (dinoflagellates and cercozoa) there are two or more. Nonphotosynthetic phyla that contain organelles believed to be derived from ancestral chloroplasts are in italics.



Figure 7. Schematic representation of the evolutionary relationships and divergence times for the red, green, glaucophyte and chromist algae, according to Yoon *et al.*<sup>64</sup>. The branches on which the cyanobacterial (CB) primary and red algal chromist secondary endosymbioses occurred are shown. Divergence times in the evolution of eukaryotic phototrophs; Mya, million years; CB, Paleo, Paleozoic.



Copyright @ Pearson Education, Inc., publishing as Benjamin Cummings.

В отличие от эукариот, некоторые цианобактерии (в том числе и прохлорофиты) способны использовать сероводород в качестве донора электронов, образуя серу (Oscillatoria Lyngbya, Phormidium, Synechocystis, Prochlorothrix, Microcoleus).

•  $2H_2S + CO_2 \rightarrow CH_2O + 2S + H_2O$ 



Такие цианобактерии – факультативно аноксигенные фототрофы, они могут обитать при достаточном освещении в анаэробных условиях богатых серой. Например, Oscillatoria limnetica, обитающая в гиперсалинном озере Солар-Лейк в районе залива Эйлат (Красное море).

Figure 17-20 Brock Biology of Microorganisms 11/e © 2006 Pearson Prentice Hall, Inc.



Figure 2 Chemical structures of Chl a, b d and f. The x and y molecular axes are shown for Chl a but are the same for the other pigments.

Min Chen, Robert E. Blankenship

Expanding the solar spectrum used by photosynthesis

Trends in Plant Science, Volume 16, Issue 8, 2011, 427 - 431

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2011.03.011

		Хлорофилл	Хлорофилл <i>b</i>	Хлорофилл с	Хлорофилл d
		а		– подобный	
CH <sub>3</sub>   .CH <sub>2</sub>	Prochlorothrix	+	+		
	Prochloron	+	+	+	
	Prochlorococcus	+	+	+	
		форма а,	форма b,		
	Acaryochloris	< 5%	L		>95%
	marina				
	Все остальные	+			
	цианобактерии				

Chi b: II-3 = CHO Chi b: II-3 = CHO Chi d: I -2 = CHO Chi c<sub>1</sub>: IV-7 = CH=CHCOOH; двойная связь при IV-7, 8 Chi c<sub>2</sub>: IV-7 = CH=CHCOOH; двойная связь при IV-7, 8 II-4 = CH=CH<sub>2</sub>

Chl a: как показано на рисунке

Рис. 27. Структура хлорофиллов

СН₂ ||

СН

'IV

CH<sub>2</sub> | CH<sub>2</sub>

c\_0

OC20 H39

H<sub>3</sub>C

H<sub>3</sub>C ~

н



CH<sub>3</sub>

п

ш

 $c \equiv o$ 



β- Каротин

Каротиноиды цианобактерий: β-каротин у них содержится в больших пропорциях, чем у эукариот, встречаются α-каротин, зеаксантин эхиненон, миксоксантофилл, осциллаксантин, кантаксантин

#### Рис.28. Структура фукоксантина и в-каротина.



FIGURE 2 | Structural organization of the antenna system of PSII for red algae and cyanobacteria (A) and energy transfer steps including charge separation (photochemical reaction) at the PSII RC (B) for cyanobacteria. The energy of absorbed photons is passed through a number of antenna molecules [phycoerythrin (absent in most cyanobacteria)  $\rightarrow$  phycocyanin  $\rightarrow$  allophycocyanin] until it reaches the RC Chl *a* (P680). The excited P680 donates its electron, which is in the excited state of the molecule, to an electron acceptor (A). The electron vacancy of the Chl *a* is filled by the electron from an electron donor (D). The wavelength numbers (nm) inside the circles represent pigments corresponding to the long wavelength absorption maxima of these pigments.



## Light Capturing "Antennae"

 phycobiliproteins act as both light antennae and reserves of cellular nitrogen







Supplementary online material to: Walker G., Dorrell R.G., Schlacht A., Dacks J.B. (2011): Eukaryotic systematics: a 2011 user's guide for cell biologists and parasitologists. *Parasitology* **138**, 1-26. The distribution of photosynthesis across the eukaryotes.



Dorrell R G , Howe C J J Cell Sci 2012;125:1865-1875





Figure 6. Evolutionary relations of plastids. The main branches diverging from the primary endosymbiotic event are those going to Chlorophyta (the 'green line') and Rhodophyta (the 'red line'), but even before their divergence the Glaucophyta plastids branch-off. For an explanation of other relationships, see text. From Gould *et al.*<sup>59</sup>. Reprinted, with permission, from the *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, vol. 59. © 2008 by Annual Reviews <u>http://www.annualreviews.org/</u>.

CURRENT SCIENCE, VOL. 96, NO. 11, 10 JUNE 2009



Рис. 24. Схема строения хлоропластов у эукариотных водорослей (по: Lee, 1999). *А* - тилакоиды расположены по одному, отсутствует хлоропластная ЭПС (Rhodophyta); *Б* - ламеллы двухтилакоидные, две мембраны хлоропластной ЭПС (Cryptophyta); *B* - трехтилакоидные ламеллы, одна мембрана хлоропластной ЭПС (Dinophyta, Euglenophyta); *Г* - ламеллы трехтилакоидные, две мембраны хлоропластной ЭПС (Ochrophyta, Prymnesiophyta); *Д* - двух- шеститилакоидные ламеллы, отсутствует хлоропластная ЭПС (Chlorophyta, Charophyta).

# Отдел Glaucocystophyta



















The rhizarian amoeba *Paulinella chromatophora* harbors two photosynthetically active and deeply integrated cyanobacterial endosymbionts acquired ~60 million years ago. Recent genomic analyses of *P. chromatophora* have revealed the loss of many essential genes from the endosymbiont's genome, and have identified more than 30 genes that have been transferred to the host cell's nucleus through endosymbiotic gene transfer (EGT).





The endosymbiont genome has already been reduced compared to free-living cyanobacteria, but not as much as the primary plastids of the Archaeplastida





Figure 2. Evolution of photosynthetic *Paulinella* species. A, Schematic maximum likelihood (RAxML) phylogenetic tree of plastid-derived 16S rDNA from P. chromatophora, P. microporus, algal and plant (Plantae) plastids, and the cyanobacterial donors of these organelles. Note the clear independent origins of Plantae and photosynthetic Paulinella plastids. See <u>Yoon et al. (2009)</u> for details. B, Light micrograph images of P. chromatophora (top two images) and *P. microporus* (bottom two images). The scale bar indicates 5 μm.







Figure 6. Evolutionary relations of plastids. The main branches diverging from the primary endosymbiotic event are those going to Chlorophyta (the 'green line') and Rhodophyta (the 'red line'), but even before their divergence the Glaucophyta plastids branch-off. For an explanation of other relationships, see text. From Gould *et al.*<sup>59</sup>. Reprinted, with permission, from the *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, vol. 59. © 2008 by Annual Reviews <u>http://www.annualreviews.org/</u>.

CURRENT SCIENCE, VOL. 96, NO. 11, 10 JUNE 2009



b) A Spheroid body of the diatom *Rhopalodia gibba*. SM: Symbiontophoric membrane SBM: Spheroid body membrane.



## Отдел Chlorarachniophyta





## Hatena











Fig. 1<u>ERAD</u>, SELMA, and protein import into complex plastids of diatoms. Entry into the (chloroplast) ER is host-derived (h): nucleus-encoded preproteins are equipped with an N-terminal signal peptide (SP) and enter the (c)ER cotranslationally through the Sec61 complex. During translocation, the SP of ER-resident or secretory proteins and, most likely, plastidal preproteins is cleaved off by the signal peptidase complex (SPC). The majority of ER or secretory proteins are N-glycosylated in the (c)ER lumen by an oligosaccharyl transferase (OST), folded into their native conformation via chaperones (hBiP) and are further transported through vesicles (e.g., COPII) towards the endomembrane system. The host machinery of the ERAD-L pathway recognizes misfolded or defective proteins (asterisks) in the (c)ER lumen, translocates them into the cytosol and polyubiquitinates the substrates for proteasomal degradation. For detailed information on the hostERAD-L system, see text. Passage of the second outermost membrane (PPM) and subsequent transport steps are symbiont derived (s): In addition to a SP, plastidal preproteins possess a transit peptide-like sequence (TPL) at their N-terminus, which is recognized in the cER lumen by an as yet unknown factor. Preproteins cross the PPM through the symbiont-specific ERAD-like machinery (SELMA), representing a recycled symbiontic ERAD system installed for translocation of plastidal preproteins. The ubiquitin-dependent translocation is supposed to be mediated by the AAA-ATPase Cdc48 with its cofactors (see text). PPC-resident proteins (+X) are processed by an as yet unidentified transit peptide peptidase and folded in the PPC. In addition to the SELMA system, an incomplete proteasomal s20S complex is present in the PPC of diatoms, which is believed to be uncoupled from translocation. Passage of the two inner membranes: stromal preproteins (+F) are further transported across the two inner membranes of the complex plastid via TOC- (ptOmp85) and TIC-related systems prior processing and folding in the stroma. Note that vesicular traffic (not shown) may be an alternative model for transport of stromal preproteins between PPM and OEM. Question mark unknown function; *cER* chloroplast endoplasmic reticulum; *OEM/IEM* outer/inner chloroplast envelope membrane; IMS intermembrane space; TOC/TIC translocon at the outer/inner chloroplast membrane

# Отдел Cryptophyta



#### Fig. 5.

Genome sizes of the four genomes of the cryptophyte *Guillardia theta* according to Douglas et al. (2001). Nature 410: 1091-1096



The periplast

Morphology of a cryptophyte cell

Genome and proteome mosaicism in complex algae.



John M. Archibald PNAS 2015;112:10147-10153



©2015 by National Academy of Sciences

## Summary of known or estimated nucleomorph genome sizes in cryptophyte and chlorarachniophyte algae







# Характеристики геномов нуклеоморф

Genome characteristics	Guillardia theta	Hemiselmis andersenii	Bigelowiella natans
Evolutionary origin Genome size (bp) Chromosome number/size Chromosome structure	Red algae 551 264 3 (196.2, 180.9, 174.1 kbp) Subtelomeric inverted repeats including rDNA genes	Red algae 571 872 3 (207.5, 184.7, 179.6 kbp) Subtelomeric inverted repeats, only 3 with complete rDNAs	Green algae 372 870 3 (140.6, 134.1, 98.1 kbp) Subtelomeric inverted repeats including rDNA genes
Telomeric sequence/length	([AG] <sub>7</sub> AAG <sub>6</sub> A) <sub>11</sub>	(G[A] <sub>17</sub> ) <sub>4-7</sub>	(TCTAGGG) <sub>25-45</sub>
Genomic A + T content Inverted repeats (including rDNA) (%) Single-copy DNA (%)	~55 65–77	~60 ~ 75	~50 >65
Number of genes Protein genes Non-mRNA (rRNA, tRNA, snRNA, and snoRNA) Pseudogenes Total Gene density Introns and size range Plastid genes	465 67 1 513 1.07 kb/gene 17 (42–52 bp) 30	472 53 1 525 1.09 kb/gene None 30	293 42 5 340 1.10 kb/gene 852 (18–21 bp) 17



320 M. Oborník et al.



**Figure 55.** Current view of the evolution of chromerids. A schematic tree shows evolutionary relationships among chromerids and apicomplexans. The green line in the tree indicates photosynthetic organisms. Losses of photosynthesis or plastids are indicated. We propose that photosynthesis was lost once in chromerids with respect to colpodellids and once in the lineage evolving to apicomplexan parasites. We suppose chromerids to form a sister groups, mainly based on their unique pigmentation and molecular phylogeny.

## Vitrella brassicaformis





Figure 54. Composition of the photosynthetic pigments in *Vitrella brassicaformis* and *Nannochloropsis limetica*.



conoid with polar rings (apical complex) rod-shaped micronemes inner membrane complex (=alveoli) club-shaped rhoptries apicoplast nucleus Golgi complex micropore mitochondrion dense granules acidocalcinomes



Наиболее часто встречающийся тип пластид у динофитов – перединин содержащие, окруженные тремя мембранами. В этих пластидах обнаружена форма II РуБисКо, известная также для некоторых бактерий, состоящая только из 2-х больших субъединиц и кодирующаяся ядерным геномом. У ряда динофитовых с такими пластидами хлоропластный геном сильно редуцирован (осталось менее 20 действующих генов) и пластидная ДНК фрагментирована на отдельные кольцевые фрагменты 2-3 кб, содержащие по одному гену. Такая форма хлоропластной ДНК и максимальная передача хлоропластных генов в ядро уникальна для водорослей. В хлоропластах встречаются пиреноиды различной формы. 4. Пресноводные формы запасают преимущественно крахмал, откладываемый в цитоплазме, а морские – липиды и стеролы.



Fig. 1. Models explaining evolutionary origin of the peridinin plastid. (a) According to the secondary model, the peridinin plastid evolved from a red alga that harboured a cyanobacterium-derived plastid surrounded by two membranes: the inner membrane (IM) and the outer membrane (OM). There are two versions of this model: a myzocytotic scenario (left) and phagocytotic scenario (right). In myzocytotic engulfment of the red alga, the peridinin plastid would be surrounded by three membranes: IM, OM, and the phagosomal membrane of the host (PHM). In phagocytotic engulfment, the envelope initially would be composed of four membranes (IM, OM, the endosymbiont plasmalemma (EP), and PHM) and one of them would have to be lost. It is assumed that this membrane was the EP. (b) The tertiary model postulates that the peridinin plastid is derived from a heterokont alga which possessed a red alga-derived plastid surrounded by four membranes: IM, OM, EP, and the plastid endoplasmic reticulum (PER). There is a myzocytotic (left) and phagocytotic (right) version of this model. In myzocytotic engulfment of the heterokont, the peridinin plastid would be initially surrounded by five membranes: IM, OM, EP, and the plastid endoplasmic reticulum (PER). There is a myzocytotic (left) and phagocytotic (right) version of this model. In myzocytotic engulfment of the heterokont, the peridinin plastid would be initially surrounded by five membranes: IM, OM, EP, PER, and PHM. In phagocytotic engulfment, its envelope would additionally contain the plasmalemma of the heterokont endosymbiont (PHE). This means that the ancestral peridinin plastid must have lost two (myzocytotic scenario) or three (phagocytotic scenario) membranes. Regardless of the scenario followed, it currently is undear which membranes were eliminated. N: typical eukaryotic nucleus; n: highly reduced eukaryotic nucleus known as the nucleomorph.

 Рrasinophyte-производные «пластиды»:
Постоянные зеленые хлоропласты в Lepidodinium (Watanabe et al. 1991, Elbrächter and Schnepf 1996, Hansen et al. 2007). Ряд генов из эндосимбионта перемещен в ядро хозяина

(Ming



Fig. 1. Light micrographs of a live cell of *Lepidodinium chlorophorum* showing general morphology. Left: Appearance near the surface of cell. Note the apical groove (arrowheads), shape of chloroplasts and both cingulum and sulcus. Right: different focus image near the center of cell, showing the nucleus located in the center of the cell. Scale bar=20  $\mu$ m.



#### •Haptophyte-произошедшие пластиды:

•В этой ветви (*Karenia, Karlodinium, Takayama*) фотосинтезирующие органеллы представляют настоящие пластиды – гены для многих белков, участвующие в фотосинтезе, находятся в ядре (Ishida and Green 2002,

Patron et al. 2006, Nosenko et al. 2006). При таком типе возникновения пластид, эукариота съела другую эукариоту, у которой пластида произошла в результате вторичного эндосимбиоза. Такой тип эндосимбиоза называется третичным. У *Dinophysis mitra* гаптофит существует как клептопластида (Koike et al. 2005).





Karenia brevis

Karlodinium micrum

•Dictyophyte-производные пластиды:

Один вид динофлагеллят (*Podolampas bipes*) содержит постоянный диктиохофициевый эндосимбионт с хлоропластами и ядром (Schnepf and Elbrächter 1999, Schweikert and Elbrächter 2004).



### •Diatom-производные фотосинтезирующие эндосимбионты: некоторые динофдагелляты (e.g., Durinskia baltica, Kryptoperidinium foliaceum, Peridinium quinquecorne) постоянно содержат диатомовые эндосимбионты с хлоропластами и ядром (e.g., Dodge 1971, Horiguchi and Pienaar 1991, 1994, Schnepf and Elbrächter 1999). Такие водоросли содержат 2 ядра. Диатомоморфные динофлагелляты культивируются, более года поддерживаются в культуре. Одноядерные нефотосинтезирующие представители некоторых из этих видов встречаются в природе, указывая, что эндосимбиоз произошел недавно.







Peridinium quinquecorne

Durinskia baltica

Galeidinium rugatum



Fig. 1 Dinoflagellates with a diatom endosymbiont. a. Durinskie baltica, b. Durinskie sp. c. Kryptoperidinium foliaceum, d. Peridinium quinquecome, e. Peridiniopsis rhomboids, f. Gymn-odinium quadrilobatum, g. P-18 strain from Palau, h. Dinothriz paradoxa. Scale bars = 10 μm

These include Durinskia baltica (Levander) Carty et Cox (=Peridinium balticum (Levander) Lemmermann),

Durinskia capensis (Pienaar et al., 2007), Kryptoperidinium foliaceum (Stein) Lindemann (=Peridinium foliaceum Stein), Gymnodinium quadrilobatum (Horiguchi and Pienaar 1994), Peridinium quinquecorne Abe´ (Horiguchi

and Pienaar 1991), *Durinskia* sp. (Horiguchi and Pienaar 1988),

*Dinothrix paradoxa* Pascher (Horiguchi and Chihara 1993), *Galeidinium rugatum* Tamura et Horiguchi.



J. Phycol. 41, 658–671 (2005)



Fig. 2 Hypothesis regarding the origin of a diatom-harboring dinoflagellate as well as type B eyespot.

### •Cryptophyte-клептохлоропласты:

 Большинство видов рода Dinophysis содержат или целые криптомонады или только их хлоропласты (Schnepf and Elbrächter 1988, 1999, Janson 2004).
D.acuminata содержит пластиды, покрытые только 2 мембранами, отсутствуют нуклеоморфа и ядро криптомонады. Показано, что 5 ядерных генов кодируют хлоропластные белки (Wisecaver and Hackett BMC Genomics 2010, 11:366)











**Figure 1 Kleptoplast acquisition in** *M. rubra* and *D. acuminata*. The cryptophyte nucleus (A) and complete cryptophyte plastid and mitochondria (B) are retained in *M. rubra*. When the plastid is acquired by *D. acuminata* the outer two membranes and nucleomorph are lost (C). 1, cryptophyte nucleus; 2, plastid; 3, nucleomorph; 4, cryptophyte mitochondrion; 5, cryptophyte nucleus and cytoplasm surrounded by host membrane; 6, ciliate nucleus; 7, plastid-mitochondrial complex surrounded by host membrane; 8, ciliate mitochondrion; 9, dinoflagellate nucleus; 10, kleptoplast; 11, dinoflagellate mitochondrion. Light photomicrographs of the cells are shown above the cartoon for each organism (scale bar = 10 µm).



Figure 3. Hypothetical intermediate steps of plastid integration.

The relationship between *Convoluta roscoffensis* and *Tetraselmis convolutae* 



Symsagittifera roscoffensis

2–7.10<sup>4</sup> endosymbionts per animal



- Функционирующие клептопласты широко распространены среди моллюсков рода Elysia (менее 10 дней у *E. hedgpethi* (Greene, 1970), до 6 недель для *E. (=Tridachia) crispata, E. (=Tridachiella) diomedea* и *Placobranchus ianthobapsus*) (R.Trench, 1969; Greene,1970), до нескольких месяцев (три у *E. viridis*) (Hinde and Smith, 1972), 9 и более у *E.chlorotica*) (Pierce *et al.*, 1996; Rumpho *et al.*, 2001; Mondy and Pierce, 2003).
- E.viridis Codium fragile;
- Elysia timida Acetabularia acetabulum;
- E. furvacauda Codium и Microdictyon , красные водоросли и бурая Sargassum
- E. crispata (R. Trench et al., 1969) и E.diomedea (R. Trench, 1975) Caulerpa.





*Fig. 5.* Scanning electron micrographs of the mollusc *E. chlorotica.* Young, small (about 3 to 4 mm in length) animals were fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde, processed and their external structure examined with an AMRay 1000 scanning electron microscope. (a) Dorsal image illustrating the extensive vascular system which branches from the heart as two major ducts and spreads throughout the animal. The raised pericardium which houses the heart is very obvious in this image. (b) Head image illustrating the sensory tentacles and recessed, sucking mouth. Within the mouth structure are the uniserate radular teeth used to puncture the algal filaments prior to sucking out the chloroplasts. H, heart; P, parapodia; T, tentacle.









#### Species of Costasiella investigated.



Figure 1. Species of Costasiella investigated. A. C. ocellifera (Florida Keys). B. C. nonatoi (Florida Keys). C. C. kuroshimae (Guam). D. C. sp. 2 (Guam). E. C. sp. 1 (Guam). F. Phylogenetic relationship of Costasiella based on partial sequences of 16S, 1st and 2nd positions of COI, H3 and 28S. Shown is a 50% majority-rule tree based on a Bayesian analysis. Numbers at nodes represent posterior probabilities (Bayesian analysis) and bootstrap values (maximum-likelihood analysis). Siphonaria pectinata was chosen as outgroup. Stars indicate food sources of Costasiella species identified by barcoding using rbcL: brown, Avrainvillea; red, Tydemania; orange, Rhipilia; green, Pseudochlorodesmis; beige, Bryopsis. Blue clade shows Costasiella with no functional retention of kleptoplasts, green clade indicates species with functional retention.

#### Christa G et al. J. Mollus. Stud. 2014;mollus.eyu026

© The Author 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of The Malacological Society of London, all rights reserved

#### 732 P. J. Keeling Review. The origin and fate of plastids





(a) Origin of complex algae with red plastids via a single secondary endosymbiosis with a red alga and successive tertiary and quaternary endosymbioses.



N: nucleus; M: mitochondrion; P: plastid. (b) Scenario of plastid evolution among CASH lineages according to the rhodoplex hypothesis. X-ray images of the Russian Matryoshka dolls indicate independent events of plastid endosymbioses. All CASH plastids originate from an initial engulfment of a rhodophyte (see [a]), but the genuine secondary endosymbiont and the order of subsequent endosymbioses remains to be determined (indicated by 2nd/3rd and 3rd/4th). The typical plastid of PCD may represent a reduced apicomplexan alga (see current study). The gain of rhodophycean plastids as well as the loss of photosynthesis/plastids is indicated by the red horizontal lines. With respect to stramenopiles, only a subset of separate lineages is shown. Micrograph courtesy of Peter Vontobel, Sven Gould, Woody Hastings, and Manfred Rohde.

Petersen J et al. Genome Biol Evol 2014;6:666-684